Schedules / SCHED11 – Special Amenity Landscapes

Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED11 – Special Amenity Landscapes	John Tiley	142.30	Amend	Considers that the lack of inclusion of the ridgelines and hilltops in the schedules and the title of NFL-P2 (Use and development within ridgeline and hilltops) demonstrates that ridgelines and hilltops are not protected to any significant degree.	Seeks that the 18 ridgelines and hilltops (and Marshalls Ridge) are listed in either SCHED11 - Special Amenity Landscapes and/or SCHED12 - High Coastal Natural Character Areas.
				Considers that it is extraordinary that policies NFL-P3 to P7 set out how ONFL and SAL areas are subject to development, defying any reasonable expectation that such areas would be highly valued by the city and developments would be prohibited.	
Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED11 – Special Amenity	Thomas Brent Layton	164.8	Oppose	Considers that the WCC should abandon the adoption of the Special Amenities Landscape as its application to all the "outer green belt" shows that its purpose is to	Remove the Special Amenities Landscape overlays from the Proposed District Plan.
Landscapes				constrain the urban development of the city.	[Inferred decision requested]
				Considers that it is not about landscapes with special amenities as there is nothing special or unusual about the amenity the "outer green belt" provides. The landscape of flattish tops punctuated by streams in steep valleys is very common in the region; it is not special.	
				Considers that the green belt idea stops the expansion of the city to areas where housing would be suitable and economic because of proximity to infrastructure. It tells those interested in capital gains from land holding within the urban boundary that they need not worry about much expansion in supply.	
				[Refer to original submission for full reasons].	
Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED11 – Special Amenity Landscapes	Thomas Brent Layton	164.9	Oppose	Opposes the application of the Special Amenities Landscape overlay to 183, 241, 249 and 287 South Karori Road.	Remove the Special Amenities Landscape overlay from 183, 241, 249 and 287 South Karori Road.
Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED11 – Special Amenity Landscapes	Churton Park Community Association	189.30	Amend	Considers that the lack of inclusion of the ridgelines and hilltops in the schedules and the title of NFL-P2 (Use and development within ridgeline and hilltops) demonstrates that ridgelines and hilltops are not protected to any significant degree.	Seeks that the 18 ridgelines and hilltops (and Marshalls Ridge) are listed in either SCHED11 - Special Amenity Landscapes and/or SCHED12 - High Coastal Natural Character Areas.
				Considers that it is extraordinary that policies NFL-P3 to P7 set out how ONFL and SAL areas are subject to development, defying any reasonable expectation that such areas would be highly valued by the city and developments would be prohibited.	
Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED11 – Special Amenity Landscapes	Horokiwi Quarries Ltd	271.95	Support in part	Considers that, in relation to objectives and policies in the Natural Features and Landscapes Chapter, while the values for particular sites are outlined in Schedule 11, the characteristics are not. Clarification on the characteristics would assist with plan interpretation and application.	Clarify what characteristics of special amenity landscapes are in the PDP, and in particular the Natural Features and Landscapes Chapter.
Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED11 – Special Amenity Landscapes	Kilmarston Developments Limited and Kilmarston	290.73	Amend	Considers that development within the MDRZ area of the Submitters land can contribute to the existing urban form, providing land resources that can facilitate quality development.	Amend Schedule 11 to remove special amenity landscape from submitter's land zoned Medium Density Residential Zone.
	Properties Limited			However, the proposed SAL overlay which the MRZ area that the land is subject to will restrict the potential medium density development of the land.	
				Similar to the proposed SNA mapping of the land, the SAL overlay should not include the proposed MRZ area of the Submitters land.	

Date of export: 21/11/2022 Page 1 of 3

Schedules / SCHED11 – Special Amenity Landscapes

Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED11 – Special Amenity Landscapes	Kilmarston Developments Limited and Kilmarston Properties Limited	290.74	Support	Supports that Mount Kaukau and the Outer Green Belt Special Amenity Landscape are Special Amenity Landscapes.	Retain Mount Kaukau as an Special Amenity Landscape in Schedule 11 as notified
Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED11 – Special Amenity Landscapes	Kilmarston Developments Limited and Kilmarston Properties Limited	290.75	Support	Supports that Mount Kaukau and the Outer Green Belt Special Amenity Landscape are Special Amenity Landscapes.	Retain Outer Green Belt Special Amenity Landscape as an Special Amenity Landscape in Schedule 11 as notified
Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED11 – Special Amenity Landscapes	Kilmarston Developments Limited and Kilmarston Properties Limited	290.76	Oppose in part	Considers that there is a conflict between these provisions and the SAL overlay provisions which make residential development on this land restrictive and adds uncertainty. Notes that NFL-R11 requires buildings and structures within the SAL overlay to be no more than 8m in height. The MRZ height restriction is 11m. The proposed MRZ over the Submitters land is appropriate to support the strategic direction of the PDP.	Seeks that submitter's land zoned Medium Density Residential Zone, be removed from Schedule 11.
Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED11 – Special Amenity Landscapes	Kilmarston Developments Limited and Kilmarston Properties Limited	290.77	Amend	Considers that the MDRZ area of the land should not be included in this SAL schedule 11. Considers the inclusion MDRZ land within the SAL overlay, it restricts the land from being efficiently utilized for medium density residential development. Furthermore, the zoning layout has principal support from GWRC both in terms of policy direction (i.e. Policy 27) and the consented layout. The landscape identified to be 'distinctive and widely recognised by the community for the contribution to the amenity and quality of the environment' is predominantly located within the balance land which includes Crows Nest and the Skyline Walkway Trailhead.	Seeks that submitter's land zoned Medium Density Residential Zone, be removed from Schedule 11.
Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED11 – Special Amenity Landscapes	Kilmarston Developments Limited and Kilmarston Properties Limited	290.78	Amend	Considers that the MDRZ area of the land should not be included in this SAL schedule 11. Considers that to fully realise the objectives and policies of the proposed zoning, the SAL overlay should be removed. This portion of the land has always been zoned for residential development, and this potential should be maintained as part of this planning process.	Seeks that submitter's land zoned Medium Density Residential Zone, be removed from Schedule 11.
Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED11 – Special Amenity Landscapes	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.415	Support in part	Opposes the values as written. The identified values of SALs in the coastal environment are insufficient to give effect to the NZCPS. Furthermore, the "Relevant values under Policy 28 of the RPS" as identified in SCHED11 are uncertain and do not provide the level of information required to determine whether the effects of an activity can be adequately avoided, remedied or mitigated. Seek inclusion of the values of each SAL in SCHED11 to give effect to the RPS and NZCPS.	Amend SCHED11 - Special Amenity Landscapes to include values of each SAL.

Date of export: 21/11/2022 Page 2 of 3

Schedules / SCHED11 – Special Amenity Landscapes

Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
Schedules Subpart / Schedules /	Royal Forest and	345.416	Support in	Include Outer Green Belt Special Amenity Landscape in SCHED11 as identified using	Amend SCHED11 - Special Amenity Landscapes to include new SAL Outer Green Belt Special Amenity
SCHED11 – Special Amenity	Bird Protection		part	criteria set out in Policy 27 of the RPS, and those areas of SAL identified in accordance	Landscape.
Landscapes	Society			with the adopted amendment by the Planning and Environment Committee on 23 June	
				2022.	
Schedules Subpart / Schedules /	Taranaki Whānui	389.140	Amend	Considers that overlays to significantly restrict future development and opportunities	Seeks that SAL schedule be amended to reflect historical and current built development over the
SCHED11 – Special Amenity	ki te Upoko o te			for Taranaki Whānui to exercise tino rangatiratanga over our ancestral lands.	Wellington Prison site (Part Lot 1 DP 4741, Section 4 SO 477035, PT LOT 1 DP 4741 - WELLINGTON
Landscapes	Ika				PRISON, Section 1 SO 477035).
Schedules Subpart / Schedules /	Penny Griffith	418.7	Support	Supports the inclusion of the Outer Green Belt as a Special Amenity Landscape.	Retain SCHED11 - Special Amenity Landscapes as notified (With the Outer Green Belt locations
SCHED11 – Special Amenity					included).
Landscapes					

Date of export: 21/11/2022 Page 3 of 3