

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	40.5	Amend	The NOISE chapter has no provisions for the intermittent use of aircraft for agricultural aviation activities.	Seeks that the NOISE chapter includes provisions for the intermittent use of aircraft for agricultural aviation activities as permitted activity.
General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Shailesh Kumar Patel	49.1	Amend	Considers that current restrictions on subdivision and infill housing in the Air Noise boundary are against the spirit of current government housing solutions and the housing crisis. It disadvantages house owners within the boundary who cannot add value to their property/section.	Seeks that council ease restrictions on subdivision and infill housing in the Air Noise Boundary so that these sites have the development potential available under the MDRS.
General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Yvonne Weeber	340.74	Amend	Considers that the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter should be amended to provide further information on the airport noise issues within the introduction. A major change is occurring with the development of the Outer Air Noise Overlay and it deserves being recognised in the introduction of this chapter.	Amend the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter as follows: ... Some activities that generate noise are exempt from the noise rules set out in this chapter. This is because they are not controlled by the RMA, e.g. vehicles being driven on a road, or aircraft above 1,000 feet in flight over built up areas. In addition, the Civil Aviation Act 1990 imposes certain rules requiring noise abatement procedures for aircraft operating in the vicinity of Wellington International Airport. <u>The air noise boundary overlays (inner and outer) place development restrictions on properties affected by Wellington International Airport.</u> ...
General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Yvonne Weeber	340.75	Amend	Considers that the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter should be amended to recognise the 2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region in the introduction as these guidelines provide up to date research on adverse effects to aircraft noise on people over an extended period. The 2018 WHO Guidelines are a widely accepted contemporary and authoritative reference on the adverse effects of transportation noise on communities.	Amend the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter to include a reference to the <u>2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region.</u>
General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Restaurant Brands Limited	349.34	Support	Support	Retain NOISE – Te Oro – Noise as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Woolworths New Zealand	359.35	Support	Noise levels and standards are generally supported, especially the restricted discretionary activity status where noise standards cannot be complied with.	Retain the Noise chapter as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Strathmore Park Residents Association Inc	371.1	Amend	Considers that a programme not less than the Quieter Homes requirement be also applied to existing homes which become located within the 60dB Outer Air Noise Overlay, and further that the programme be actioned in a much more timely manner than that to date homes within the ANB. The submitters experience to date with properties inside the ANB is that the "Quieter Homes" insulation and ventilation requirement for the defined existing homes within our Suburb has now been delayed for over 20 years since the recommendation became operative & now for 10 years since the detailed proposals and (the 650) house locations were publicly notified. To date the submitter understands that just over 100 existing houses have been treated & also up to 50 or so have been purchased & demolished. Accordingly the submitter requests that a time limit be imposed to provide Quieter Homes remediation from the time that they are formally identified to be within the 60dB contour.	Seeks the addition of rule(s) to require the Quieter Homes ventilation and/or insulation are for existing homes within the 60dB Outer Air Noise Overlay. And impose a time limit to provide the Quieter Homes package in a more timely manner once they are formally identified to be within the 60dB Outer Noise Overlay.
General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	BP Oil New Zealand, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited (the Fuel Companies)	372.99	Support	Supports the introduction to the Noise Chapter.	Retain the introduction to the Noise Chapter.

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	BP Oil New Zealand, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited (the Fuel Companies)	372.100	Support	The Noise chapter is generally supported.	Retain the Noise Chapter, with amendment.
General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.284	Oppose in part	All rules in the Noise chapter are opposed due to the fact that the technical nature of these breaches requires technical and/or engineering assessments, and public participation by way of limited or public notification will unlikely add anything to the consideration of the effects of these breaches. Amendments sought.	Opposes all Rules in the Noise chapter and seeks amendment.
General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.285	Amend	Considers that all rules in the Noise chapter should have a notification preclusion statement (for both public and limited notification) for restricted discretionary activities. The technical nature of these breaches requires technical and/or engineering assessments, and public participation by way of limited or public notification will unlikely add anything to the consideration of the effects of these breaches. Particularly, the notification statuses for SUB-R1 generally relate to the land use activity and associated standards, and the subdivision itself is not generating additional effects that should trigger notification.	Amend all Rules in the Noise chapter to include a notification preclusion statement for activities under Restricted Discretionary as follows:  <u>Notification:</u> <u>Applications under this rule are precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with section 95A or section 95B of the RMA.</u>
General District wide Matters / NOISE / General NOISE	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.404	Amend	Supports the specific recognition afforded to aircraft activities.	Retain NOISE chapter introduction as notified.
General District wide Matters / NOISE / General NOISE	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.405	Amend	Considers that a new suite of policies is required to address the management of noise sensitive activities within the Air Noise Boundary and 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary.  [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Add new Policy to NOISE chapter as follows:  <u>NOISE-P7 Management of Activities Sensitive to Aircraft Noise:</u>  <u>Within the Air Noise Boundary or 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary:</u>  <u>1. Avoid the establishment of new noise sensitive activities within the Open Space, Natural Open Space and General Industrial Zones;</u>  <u>2. Discourage the establishment of new or the intensification of existing noise sensitive activities within all other zones unless the reverse sensitivity effects on Wellington International Airport can be appropriately avoided.</u>
General District wide Matters / NOISE / General NOISE	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.406	Amend	Considers that a new suite of policies is required to address the management of noise sensitive activities within the Air Noise Boundary and 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary.  [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Add new Policy to NOISE chapter as follows:  <u>NOISE-P8: Acoustic treatment of activities sensitive to aircraft noise.</u>  <u>Require, as necessary, sound insulation and/or mechanical ventilation within any new buildings or any additions or alterations to existing buildings that contain noise sensitive activities within the Air Noise Boundary or 60dB Ldn noise contour.</u>

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.407	Amend	<p>Submitter expresses concern about the approach to Aircraft noise and land use effects in the NOISE chapter.</p> <p>Considers that the PDP is more permissive than the NZ Standard for Airport Noise Management and Land Use Planning recommendations, but less permissive than the Operative District Plan.</p> <p>Considers that the decision requested will recognise that aircraft noise is anticipated to increase over time, therefore the noise environment that is experienced now is not the noise environment that will be experienced, 10, 20 or 30 years into the future as aircraft operations increase within the authorised limits of the ANB and 60dB Ldn.</p> <p>[See original submission for full reason]</p>	Seeks that all new noise sensitive activities within the Air Noise Boundary or 60dB Ldn noise boundary should be subject to a resource consent requirement and WIAL being considered an affected party to any application under section 95E of the RMA.
General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.408	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - see original submission]	Seeks that the Outer Air Noise Overlay is amended to establish a policy framework where resource consents can be declined within existing residential zones for noise sensitive activities on reverse sensitivity grounds;
General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.104	Support in part	Supports the inclusion of noise controls, however, has proposed the standard noise control sought by KiwiRail which provides an alternative framework for developers to achieve a compliance pathway, thus providing greater flexibility. KiwiRail is also seeking vibration controls to ensure that vibration effects are appropriately addressed.	Supports the inclusion of noise controls, with amendments.
General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Save Our Venues	445.5	Not specified	<p>Considers that live music venues, which are an integral part of the cultural identity of Wellington, are confronted with the possibility of closure where residential urban densification has not coincided with adequate protections within the current WCC District Plan for their intrinsic need to produce sound.</p> <p>Considers that protecting established and new venues in the urban mixed-use areas is crucial and would significantly contribute to a sustainable future for live music in the city.</p> <p>Considers that there is a reality that a new neighbour has the right to complain about the sound output of a music venue and restrict their ability to operate at a reasonable volume is an existential threat to their continued viability.</p>	Not specified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Save Our Venues	445.6	Amend	<p>Considers that there are significant issues with current Noise Control enforcement processes.</p> <p>Considers that the current methodology of measuring sound is subjective and places the onus on the complainant.</p> <p>Considers that a model where measuring the sound at the boundary of the property from where the sound is emanating, as well as inside the complainant's property, would enable Council to ensure that both the venue and the residential property are compliant with noise standards and acoustic mitigation standards respectively. This will allow for mediation between both parties and ensure reasonable steps can be taken to mitigate noise before the venue is restricted in its ability to operate at all.</p> <p>[Refer to original submission for full reason]</p>	Seeks that a standardised objective model for Noise control should be incorporated into the new District Plan.

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Guardians of the Bays	452.29	Amend	Considers amendments are required to the introduction to provide further information on the airport noise issues within the introduction. A major change is occurring with the development of the Outer Air Noise Overlay and it deserves being recognised in the introduction of this chapter.	Amend paragraph two of the introduction as follows: <u>The air noise boundary overlays (inner and outer) place development restrictions on properties affected by Wellington International Airport.</u>
General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Guardians of the Bays	452.30	Amend	Considers amendments are required to introduction to recognise the 2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region in the introduction as these guidelines provide up to date research on adverse effects to aircraft noise on people over an extended period. The 2018 WHO Guidelines are a widely accepted contemporary and authoritative reference on the adverse effects of transportation noise on communities.	Amend introduction to include the wording as follows: <u>2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region.</u>
General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	40.6	Amend	Considers that the PDP should provide for the intermittent use of rural airstrips and helicopter landing areas by agricultural aircraft for the purposes of agricultural aviation including primary production and conservation purposes as a permitted activity.	Add a new rule NOISE-R14 (General Rural Zone, Open Space Zone and Natural Open Space Zone) as follows:  <u>General Rural Zone, Open Space Zone and Natural Open Space Zone</u>  <u>Activity Status: Permitted:</u>  <u>Agricultural aviation noise for the purposes of agricultural aviation activities for primary production and conservation purposes.</u>
General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.157	Amend	<p>Considers that due to urban growth, population changes and commitments to response times, FENZ may need to locate anywhere within the urban and rural environment.</p> <p>Noise will be produced on site by operational activities such as cleaning and maintaining equipment, training activities and noise produced by emergency sirens. Training may take place anywhere between 7:00am and 10:00pm. Cleaning and maintenance will generally take place during the day; however, it can take place after a call out which can occur at any time. Generally, FENZ has assessed that a fire station will be capable of meeting the standards set out in NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3 - Guideline residential upper noise limits), with the exemption of noise created by emergency sirens (discussed later).</p> <p>Some of the noise limits within residential zones in the district plan are more restrictive than those provided for in NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3 - Guideline residential upper noise limits). In order to ensure that fire stations and associated training activities can take place in residential areas in compliance with the District Plan, it is necessary that a new or amended rule makes an allowance for such essential activities within both urban and rural environments, within reasonable limits. It is considered that the standards within NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3) set reasonable limits for the protection of residential amenity in accordance with NOISEP1.</p> <p>Notwithstanding the above, it is not possible for emergency sirens to comply with NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3). Sirens play a crucial role in facilitating a prompt emergency response and can be the most effect means of communication in alerting volunteers who generally live and work in close proximity to fire stations. Sirens also provide assurance to the people who have made the call and the general public that help is on its way.</p> <p>Allowing noise associated with the operation of emergency services provides for the</p>	<p>Add new NOISE objective:</p> <p><u>NOISE-OX</u> <u>Where the locational, functional or operational needs are such that activities of importance to the community could not otherwise meet noise and vibration standards, enable these activities by allowing a whole or partial exemption, or relaxation, from those noise standards.</u></p>

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
				<p>operational requirements of FENZ and enables it to meet its statutory obligations in a manner that provides for the ongoing health and safety of people and communities.</p> <p>As such, FENZ has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated by emergency sirens.</p> <p>FENZ therefore also seeks the addition of an exemption for noise activity under new rule NOISE-R16 to ensure that emergency service operations are enabled to meet its obligations in a manner that provides for the ongoing health and safety of people and communities.</p>	
General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.158	Amend	<p>Considers that due to urban growth, population changes and commitments to response times, FENZ may need to locate anywhere within the urban and rural environment.</p> <p>Noise will be produced on site by operational activities such as cleaning and maintaining equipment, training activities and noise produced by emergency sirens. Training may take place anywhere between 7:00am and 10:00pm. Cleaning and maintenance will generally take place during the day; however, it can take place after a call out which can occur at any time. Generally, FENZ has assessed that a fire station will be capable of meeting the standards set out in NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3 - Guideline residential upper noise limits), with the exemption of noise created by emergency sirens (discussed later).</p> <p>Some of the noise limits within residential zones in the district plan are more restrictive than those provided for in NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3 - Guideline residential upper noise limits). In order to ensure that fire stations and associated training activities can take place in residential areas in compliance with the District Plan, it is necessary that a new or amended rule makes an allowance for such essential activities within both urban and rural environments, within reasonable limits. It is considered that the standards within NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3) set reasonable limits for the protection of residential amenity in accordance with NOISE-P1.</p> <p>Notwithstanding the above, it is not possible for emergency sirens to comply with NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3). Sirens play a crucial role in facilitating a prompt emergency response and can be the most effect means of communication in alerting volunteers who generally live and work in close proximity to fire stations. Sirens also provide assurance to the people who have made the call and the general public that help is on its way. Allowing noise associated with the operation of emergency services provides for the operational requirements of FENZ and enables it to meet its statutory obligations in a manner that provides for the ongoing health and safety of people and communities.</p> <p>As such, FENZ has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated by emergency sirens.</p> <p>FENZ therefore also seeks the addition of an exemption for noise activity under new rule NOISE-R16 to ensure that emergency service operations are enabled to meet its obligations in a manner that provides for the ongoing health and safety of people and communities.</p>	<p>Add new NOISE policy:</p> <p><u>NOISE-PX</u>  <u>To allow a marginal relaxation of noise standards, but not exceeding National Standards, where the noise generating activity is of importance to the safety of the community, such as the operation of emergency services.</u></p>

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.159	Amend	<p>Considers that due to urban growth, population changes and commitments to response times, FENZ may need to locate anywhere within the urban and rural environment.</p> <p>Noise will be produced on site by operational activities such as cleaning and maintaining equipment, training activities and noise produced by emergency sirens. Training may take place anywhere between 7:00am and 10:00pm. Cleaning and maintenance will generally take place during the day; however, it can take place after a call out which can occur at any time. Generally, FENZ has assessed that a fire station will be capable of meeting the standards set out in NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3 - Guideline residential upper noise limits), with the exemption of noise created by emergency sirens (discussed later).</p> <p>Some of the noise limits within residential zones in the district plan are more restrictive than those provided for in NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3 - Guideline residential upper noise limits). In order to ensure that fire stations and associated training activities can take place in residential areas in compliance with the District Plan, it is necessary that a new or amended rule makes an allowance for such essential activities within both urban and rural environments, within reasonable limits. It is considered that the standards within NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3) set reasonable limits for the protection of residential amenity in accordance with NOISE-P1.</p> <p>Notwithstanding the above, it is not possible for emergency sirens to comply with NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3). Sirens play a crucial role in facilitating a prompt emergency response and can be the most effect means of communication in alerting volunteers who generally live and work in close proximity to fire stations. Sirens also provide assurance to the people who have made the call and the general public that help is on its way. Allowing noise associated with the operation of emergency services provides for the operational requirements of FENZ and enables it to meet its statutory obligations in a manner that provides for the ongoing health and safety of people and communities.</p> <p>As such, FENZ has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated by emergency sirens.</p> <p>FENZ therefore also seeks the addition of an exemption for noise activity under new rule NOISE-R16 to ensure that emergency service operations are enabled to meet its obligations in a manner that provides for the ongoing health and safety of people and communities.</p>	<p>Add new NOISE policy:</p> <p><u>NOISE-PX</u>  <u>To allow noisy activities of limited duration and frequency which are of importance to the community, such as noise associated with the operation of emergency services and temporary military training activities, subject to appropriate controls.</u></p>
General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.160	Amend	<p>Notes that due to urban growth, population changes and commitments to response times, FENZ may need to locate anywhere within the urban and rural environment.</p> <p>Noise will be produced on site by operational activities such as cleaning and maintaining equipment, training activities and noise produced by emergency sirens. Training may take place anywhere between 7:00am and 10:00pm. Cleaning and maintenance will generally take place during the day; however, it can take place after a call out which can occur at any time. Generally, FENZ has assessed that a fire station will be capable of meeting the standards set out in NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3 - Guideline residential upper noise limits), with the exemption of noise created by emergency sirens.</p>	<p>Add new NOISE rule:</p> <p><u>NOISE -RX:</u>  <u>Noise from Emergency Services Facilities and Temporary Emergency Services Training Activity (All zones)</u>  <u>1. Activity status: Permitted</u>  <u>Where:</u>  <u>a. Compliance is achieved with the following standards:</u>  <u>i. NOISE-S1 or</u>  <u>ii. NOISE -S13.</u></p>

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
				Some of the noise limits within residential zones in the district plan are more restrictive than those provided for in NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3 - Guideline residential upper noise limits). In order to ensure that fire stations and associated training activities can take place in residential areas in compliance with the District Plan, it is necessary that a new or amended rule makes an allowance for such essential activities within both urban and rural environments. For reasons set out above for new objective NOISE -O3 and policy NOISE -P5, FENZ also has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated by emergency sirens. FENZ therefore also seeks the addition of an exemption for noise activity under new rule NOISE -R16 to ensure that emergency service operations are enabled to meet its statutory obligations in a manner that provides for the on -going health and safety of people and communities	<u>b. The noise source is a warning device or siren (including their routine testing and maintenance) associated with an Emergency Service Activity or Temporary Emergency Services Training Activity when measured within the site boundary of a receiving site, or within the notional boundary of rural dwellings or habitable buildings.</u>
General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.161	Amend	As noted in the previous submission point, FENZ proposes a new standard that has a partial relaxation on some of the DP’s residential noise standards to allow for the operational needs of fire stations in residential areas.	Add new NOISE standard:  <u>NOISE-SX</u> <u>Noise emitted from Emergency Services Facilities and Temporary Emergency Services Training Activity within All Zones as received in other areas (All Zones) must not exceed the guideline residential upper noise limits set out at NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3 - Guideline residential upper noise limits), as identified below, unless otherwise permitted in accordance with NOISE-S1.</u>  <u>Table X – Guideline residential upper noise limits</u> <u>Daytime: 55dB LAeq (15min)</u> <u>Evening: 50dB LAeq (15min)</u> <u>Night-time: 45dB LAeq (15min)</u> <u>Night-time Lmax: 75dB LAFmax</u>
General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Bruce Crothers	319.15	Amend	Considers that there should be stronger noise restrictions for aircraft and stricter limits on the number of flights unless they are electrically powered and much quieter.	Seeks stronger noise restrictions for aircrafts, including limits on the number of flights allowed.
General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.409	Amend	[See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Add new Objective to NOISE chapter as follows:  <u>NOISE-O3: Reverse sensitivity effects on Wellington International Airport</u> <u>Wellington International Airport is protected from reverse sensitivity effects.</u>
General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.410	Amend	[See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Add new Objective to NOISE chapter as follows:  <u>NOISE-O4: Noise Management at Wellington International Airport</u> <u>The adverse effects of noise from Wellington International Airport on noise sensitive activities are appropriately remedied or mitigated.</u>
General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.411	Amend	[See paragraphs 4.65 to 4.72 of original submission for full reason]  [Submitter is seeking independent expert advice on the specific ventilation requirements. NOISE-S17 is drafted based on the general structure of NOISE-S6, with the starting assumption being that the Council has undertaken technical assessments to confirm the standard is fit for purpose. Accordingly submitter reserves its position on this matter in the context of this submission]	Add new Standard to NOISE chapter as follows:  <u>NOISE-S16 Acoustic Treatment – Noise Sensitive Activities within the Air Noise Boundary or 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary.</u>  <u>All Zones</u>  <u>1. Any new habitable room within the Air Noise Boundary or 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary must be designed and constructed to achieve an internal level of Ldn 40dB with doors and windows closed. The certification of an approved acoustical engineer will be accepted as evidence that the design meets the insulation standard.</u>

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.412	Amend	<p>[See paragraphs 4.65 to 4.72 of original submission for full reason]</p> <p>[Submitter is seeking independent expert advice on the specific ventilation requirements. NOISE-S17 is drafted based on the general structure of NOISE-S6, with the starting assumption being that the Council has undertaken technical assessments to confirm the standard is fit for purpose. Accordingly submitter reserves its position on this matter in the context of this submission]</p>	<p>Add new Standard to NOISE chapter as follows:</p> <p><u>NOISE-S17 Acoustic Treatment – Noise Sensitive Activities within the Air Noise Boundary or 60B Ldn Noise Boundary</u></p> <p><u>All Zones</u></p> <p>1. <u>The internal design level in NOISE-S16 must be achieved at the same time as the ventilation requirements of the New Zealand Building Code. An alternative means of ventilation must be provided unless compliance with the above acoustic insulation standards can be met with ventilating windows open.</u></p> <p>2. <u>Where a habitable room relies on openable windows to meet the ventilation requirements of the New Zealand Building Code, and where these windows must remain closed to achieve compliance with NOISE-S4, a positive supplementary source of fresh air ducted from outside is required at the time of fit-out. For the purposes of this requirement, a bedroom is any room intended to be used for sleeping. The supplementary source of air is to achieve a minimum of 7.5 litres per second per person; and</u></p> <p>3. <u>Confirmation of compliance with this standard will be required by a qualified professional.</u></p>
General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.413	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - see original submission]	Seeks a requirement that acoustic treatment and/or mechanical ventilation for new, or additions or alterations to existing buildings containing noise sensitive activities.
General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.414	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - see original submission]	Seeks that standalone reverse sensitivity requirements are added for noise sensitive activities within the Air Noise Boundary and Outer Air Noise Overlay.
General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.415	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - see original submission]	Seeks that military aircraft operations are given their own provisions within the NOISE chapter, where WIAL does not have control over the military aircraft operations.



Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.105	Amend	Supports an alternative rule and standard framework. Seeks a new standard NOISE-SX to provide options for developers in achieving an appropriate level of amenity for residents who live within 100m of the rail corridor. KiwiRail seeks that this standard be considered under the Part One Schedule 1 process of the RMA.	<p>Add NOISE-SX as follows:                      [Refer to original submission for full detail of new NOISE-SX including a table detailing building type, occupancy/activity, and maximum railway noise level LAeq(1h)].</p> <p><u>Within 100m of a Railway Corridor</u></p> <p><u>Indoor railway noise</u>                      1. Any new building or alteration to an existing building that contains an activity sensitive to noise where the building or alteration:                      (a) Shall be designed, constructed and maintained to achieve indoor design noise levels resulting from the railway not exceeding the maximum values in the following table; or                      (b) is at least 50 metres from any railway network, and is designed so that a noise barrier completely blocks line-of-sight from all parts of doors and windows, to all points 3.8 metres above railway tracks</p> <p><u>Indoor railway vibration</u>                      2. Any new buildings or alterations to existing buildings containing an activity sensitive to noise, closer than 60 metres from the boundary of a railway network:                      (a) is designed, constructed and maintained to achieve rail vibration levels not exceeding 0.3 mm/s vw.95 or                      (b) is a single-storey framed residential building with:                      i. a constant level floor slab on a full-surface vibration isolation bearing with natural frequency not exceeding 10 Hz, installed in accordance with the supplier’s instructions and recommendations; and                      ii. vibration isolation separating the sides of the floor slab from the ground; and                      iii. no rigid connections between the building and the ground.                      3. A report is submitted to the council demonstrating compliance with clauses (1) to (2) above (as relevant) prior to the construction or alteration of any building containing an activity sensitive to noise. In the design:                      (a) railway noise is assumed to be 70 LAeq(1h) at a distance of 12 metres from the track, and must be deemed to reduce at a rate of 3 dB per doubling of distance up to 40 metres and 6 dB per doubling of distance beyond 40 metres.</p> <p><u>Assessment criteria where the standard is infringed:</u>                      1. Whether the activity sensitive to noise could be located further from the railway network.                      2. The extent to which the noise and vibration criteria are achieved and the effects of any non-compliance.                      3. The character of, and degree of, amenity provided by the existing environment and proposed activity.                      4. The reverse sensitivity effects on the rail network, and the extent to which mitigation measures can enable their ongoing operation, maintenance and upgrade.                      5. Special topographic al, building features or ground conditions which will mitigate vibration impacts;                      6. The outcome of any consultation with KiwiRail.</p> <p><u>Notification: Application for resource consent under this rule will be decided without public notification. KiwiRail are likely to be the only affected person determined in accordance with section 95B of the Resource Management Act 1991.</u></p>

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Guardians of the Bays	452.31	Amend	Considers an amendment to Rule four as Wellington Airport International Airport Limited Designation for the East Side Area does not allow take off or landing within the designation as per condition 34	Add new category of activity in NOISE-R4 as follows: <u>Activity Status: Prohibited activity</u> <u>Where no activity for the landing and take off of helicopter will be granted within the East Side Area designation.</u>
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O1	Yvonne Weeber	340.76	Support	NOISE-O1 is supported. The management of noise generating activities such as the airport and consideration of the effects it has on peoples health and wellbeing are supported.	Retain Objective NOISE-O1 (Managing noise generation and effects) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O1	Waka Kotahi	370.211	Support	Supports the inclusion of this objective to protect the health and amenity of occupants from noise. Waka Kotahi promotes the protection of noise sensitive activities from adverse noise and effects in the existing environment	Retain NOISE-O1 (Managing noise generation and effects) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O1	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.286	Support in part	Objective NOISE-O1 is partially supported and an amendment is sought.	Retain Objective NOISE-O1 (Managing noise generation and effects) with amendment.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O1	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.287	Amend	Considers that Objective NOISE-O1 should be amended to articulate the balance more clearly between providing for noise generating activities, whilst appropriately managing effects on the community. Amendments sought.	Amend Objective NOISE-O1 (Managing noise generation and effects) as follows:  Amenity values and peoples' health and well-being are <u>not compromised</u> <del>protected</del> from adverse noise <u>generating activities</u> levels, consistent with the anticipated outcomes for the receiving environment.
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-O1	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.416	Oppose	[See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]  Opposes NOISE-O1 (Managing noise generation effects).	Not specified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O1	Guardians of the Bays	452.32	Support	Supports the management of noise generating activities such as the airport and consideration of the effects it has on peoples health and wellbeing.	Retain NOISE-O1 (Managing noise generation and effects) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.162	Support	Supports the objective as it seeks to protect existing and authorised noise generating activities from reverse sensitivity effects.	Retain NOISE-O2 (Reverse sensitivity) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2	Yvonne Weeber	340.77	Not specified	Considers that authorised activities that generate high levels of noise should be constantly reducing their noise levels through different technology and management therefore, reducing the need to be 'protected from reverse sensitivity effects'.	Not specified
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2	Waka Kotahi	370.212	Support	Supports the inclusion of this objective to protect existing and authorised activities that generate high levels of noise. This will enable the continued operation of existing state highway operations.	Retain NOISE-O2 (Reverse sensitivity) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.288	Oppose	Objective NOISE-O2 is opposed, as noise generating activities should not compromise health and wellbeing. Deletion is sought.	Delete Objective NOISE-O2 (Reverse sensitivity) in its entirety.
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-O2	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.417	Oppose	[See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason].  Opposes NOISE-O2 (Reverse Sensitivity).	Not specified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.106	Support	Supports the recognition of the value and function of existing rail activities, and the aim to protect these activities from reverse sensitivity effects. The Wellington yard, ferry terminal, Johnsonville Line, Wairapara Line and North Island Main Trunk are existing assets which extend through Wellington City.	Retain NOISE-O2 (Reverse sensitivity) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2	New Zealand Defence Force	423.13	Support	Considers that protecting existing and authorised activities that generate high levels of noise from reverse sensitivity effects, such as the Point Jerningham Saluting Battery Site and operations at the Rongotai Air Movement Terminal, is important and appropriate.	Retain NOISE-O2 (Reverse sensitivity) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2	Guardians of the Bays	452.33	Not specified	The submitter considers authorised activities that generate high levels of noise should be constantly reducing their noise levels through different technology and management therefore, reducing the need to be 'protected from reverse sensitivity effects'.	Not specified.

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P1	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.163	Support	Supports the policy as it permits noise generation within reasonable limits. For reasons set out above for new objective NOISE-OX and policy NOISE-PX, FENZ has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated by emergency sirens, whilst also having more flexible noise limits in residential areas to allow for day-to-day operational activities. FENZ therefore seeks the addition of a new rule to allow for more permissible noise activity in association with emergency service operations to ensure that FENZ is able to meet its statutory obligations in a manner that provides for the on-going health and safety of people and communities	Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P1	Yvonne Weeber	340.78	Support	NOISE-P1 is supported. The provision seeks to maintain amenity values of the receiving environment in a way that does not compromise the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities.	Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P1	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.289	Support in part	NOISE-P1 is supported as it enables the generation of noise where appropriate, but an amendment is sought.	Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) with amendment.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P1	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.290	Amend	Considers that NOISE-P1 should be amended to not require amenity values to be maintained. The District Plan should recognise that amenity values change over time.	Amend NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as follows:  Enable the generation of noise from activities that:  1. <del>Maintain</del> <u>Are compatible with the anticipated</u> amenity values of the receiving environment; and 2. <del>Does</del> not compromise the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P1	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.418	Support in part	Supports this policy insofar as it relates to the management of land based noise emanating from the Airport Zone.	Supports NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) and seeks amendment.
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P1	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.419	Amend	Supports this policy insofar as it relates to the management of land based noise emanating from the Airport Zone.	Amend NOISE-P1 (general management of noise) as follows:  NOISE-P1 General Management of noise  Enable the generation of <u>land based</u> noise from activities that:  ... (Option A).
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P1	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.420	Oppose	Supports this policy insofar as it relates to the management of land based noise emanating from the Airport Zone.	Delete NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) in its entirety. (Option B).
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P1	New Zealand Defence Force	423.14	Support	Considers that providing for noise generating activities that maintain the amenity values of the receiving environment and do not compromise the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities is appropriate.	Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P1	Guardians of the Bays	452.34	Support	Supports the general management of noise to maintain amenity values of the receiving environment in a way that does not compromise the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities.	Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P2	Yvonne Weeber	340.79	Not specified	[No specific reason given - refer to original submission].	Not specified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P2	Waka Kotahi	370.213	Support	Supports the proposed policy which enables construction activity subject to appropriate management of effects. Construction is an essential activity in relation to the state highway network and it is not always practicable to achieve specific noise limits, so the approach should be to focus on managing effects.	Retain NOISE-P2 (Construction noise) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P2	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.291	Support	NOISE-P2 is generally supported.	Retain NOISE-P2 (Construction noise) as notified.

# General District wide Matters / Noise

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P2	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.421	Support	Supports NOISE-P2	Retain NOISE-P2 (Construction noise) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P2	Guardians of the Bays	452.35	Not specified	Submitter is 'neutral' on provision. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Not specified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P3	Yvonne Weeber	340.80	Not specified	[No specific reason given - refer to original submission].	Not specified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P3	Waka Kotahi	370.214	Support	Supports the proposed policy which provides for higher noise levels to be generated within State Highway networks. This protects the continued operation of the existing state highway operations and the associated noise effects	Retain NOISE-P3 (Higher noise areas) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P3	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.292	Oppose in part	NOISE-P3 is partially opposed, despite the support of the provision of a higher noise level to be able to be generated in the identified zones. The policy as notified reads overly ambiguous with no clear direction or outcome.	Opposes NOISE-P3 (Higher noise areas) and seeks amendment.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P3	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.293	Amend	Considers that NOISE-P3 should be amended to clarify what direction and outcomes are sought.	Clarify NOISE-P3 (Higher noise areas) to more clearly specify the direction and outcomes sought from allowing higher noise levels in the identified zones.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P3	CentrePort Limited	402.133	Support	Support the intent of this policy.	Retain NOISE-P3 (Higher noise areas) as notified.
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P3	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.422	Support	Supports this policy insofar as it relates to the management of land based noise emanating from the Airport Zone.	Retain NOISE-P3 (Higher noise areas) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P3	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.107	Support	Supports recognition that railway network activities generate higher noise levels	Retain NOISE-P3 (Higher noise areas) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P3	New Zealand Defence Force	423.15	Support	Considers that it is important and appropriate to allow for higher level of noises to be generated within the Airport Zone and associated airspace, in order to enable military aircraft operations associated with the operation of NZDF's Rongotai Air Movement Terminal at WIA.	Retain NOISE-P3 (Higher noise areas) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P3	Guardians of the Bays	452.36	Not specified	Submitter is 'neutral' on provision. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Not specified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Yvonne Weeber	340.81	Amend	Considers that NOISE-P4 should be amended to describe the Airport Noise Overlay with both the Inner and Outer Noise Overlay.	Amend NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as follows:  Require sound insulation and / or mechanical ventilation for new noise sensitive activities within: ... 6. The Air Noise Overlay ( <u>Inner Air Noise Overlay and Outer Air Noise Overlay</u> ); and 7. Identified corridors adjacent to the State Highways and railway networks. ...
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Waka Kotahi	370.215	Support	Support this policy with the inclusion of the identified state highway corridor mapped.	Retain NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as notified.

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Strathmore Park Residents Association Inc	371.2	Amend	Considers that the policy is not clear whether the Air Noise Boundary refers to both the Inner Air Noise Overlay and the Outer Air Noise Overlay.	Amend NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as follows: Require sound insulation and / or mechanical ventilation for new noise sensitive activities within:  1. The City Centre Zone; 2. The Waterfront Zone; 3. The Centres Zones; 4. The Mixed Use Zones; 5. Outer Port Noise Overlay; 6. The Air Noise Overlay ( <u>Inner &amp; Outer</u> ); and 7. Identified corridors adjacent to the State Highways and railway networks.  Two standards of acoustic insulation are prescribed to achieve acceptable indoor acoustic amenity in habitable rooms.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	BP Oil New Zealand, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited (the Fuel Companies)	372.101	Support in part	NOISE-P4 is supported as it encourages acoustic treatment for new Noise Sensitive Activities within specific zones and overlays. However, it is considered that this policy should also extend to new noise sensitive activities that share a common boundary with the specified zones and overlays to ensure adequate acoustic treatment is encouraged to minimise reverse sensitive effects from existing lawfully established activities.	Retain NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) with amendment.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	BP Oil New Zealand, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited (the Fuel Companies)	372.102	Amend	Considers that this policy should also extend to new noise sensitive activities that share a common boundary with the specified zones and overlays to ensure adequate acoustic treatment is encouraged to minimise reverse sensitive effects from existing lawfully established activities. This could be achieved by the following amendment to NOISE-P4 which would be consistent with the intent of APP4- Permitted Noise Standards which contains separate noise limits for different receiving environments.	Amend NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as follows:  Require sound insulation and / or mechanical ventilation for new noise sensitive activities within, <u>or on a site which shares a common boundary with</u> :  1. The City Centre Zone; 2. The Waterfront Zone; 3. The Centres Zones; 4. The Mixed Use Zones; 5. Outer Port Noise Overlay; 6. The Air Noise Overlay; and 7. Identified corridors adjacent to the State Highways and railway networks.  Two standards of acoustic insulation are prescribed to achieve acceptable indoor acoustic amenity in habitable rooms.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.294	Oppose in part	NOISE-P4 is partially opposed and an amendment is sought.	Opposes NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) and seeks amendment.

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.295	Amend	Considers that NOISE-4 should be amended.	Amend NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as follows:  <del>Require</del> <u>Encourage and promote</u> sound insulation and / or mechanical ventilation for new noise sensitive activities within:  1. The City Centre Zone; 2. The Waterfront Zone; 3. The Centres Zones; <del>4. The Mixed Use Zones;</del> <del>5. Outer Port Noise Overlay;</del> <del>6. The Air Noise Overlay; and</del> 7. Identified corridors adjacent to the State Highways and railway networks.  <del>Two standards of acoustic insulation are prescribed to achieve acceptable indoor acoustic amenity in habitable rooms.</del>
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Ministry of Education	400.81	Amend	Seeks NOISE-P4 be amended to exclude educational facilities. The submitter seeks an amendment to this proposed rule to exclude educational facilities as these facilities have their own acoustic treatment requirements specific to school uses.	Amend NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as follows:  Require sound insulation and / or mechanical ventilation for new noise sensitive activities ( <u>excluding educational facilities</u> ) within: ...
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	CentrePort Limited	402.134	Support	Support the intent of this policy.	Retain NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as notified.
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P4	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.423	Oppose in part	Considers that it is the buildings that contain a noise sensitive activities rather than the noise sensitive activity itself that can be acoustically treated. Amendments are therefore required to the chapeau of the policy to make this matter clear.	Opposes NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) and seeks amendment.
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P4	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.424	Amend	Considers that it is the buildings that contain noise sensitive activities rather than the noise sensitive activity itself that can be acoustically treated. Amendments are therefore required to the chapeau of the policy to make this matter clear.  [Note that submitter has recommended replacing the definition “Air Noise Overlay”, as set out earlier in the submission].	Amend NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as follows:  Require sound insulation and / or mechanical ventilation <u>of new buildings or additions or alterations to existing buildings that contain</u> <del>for new</del> noise sensitive activities within:  1. The City Centre Zone; 2. The Waterfront Zone; 3. The Centres Zones; 4. The Mixed Use Zones; 5. Outer Port Noise Overlay; <del>6. The Air Noise Overlay</del> <u>The Air Noise Boundary or 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary;</u> and 7. Identified corridors adjacent to the State Highways and railway networks.  <del>Two standards of acoustic insulation are prescribed to achieve acceptable indoor acoustic amenity in habitable rooms.</del>  (Option A).
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P4	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.425	Oppose in part	Considers that it is the buildings that contain noise sensitive activities rather than the noise sensitive activity itself that can be acoustically treated. Amendments are therefore required to the chapeau of the policy to make this matter clear.  [Note that submitter has recommended replacing the definition “Air Noise Overlay”, as set out earlier in the submission].	Delete NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) in its entirety. (Option B).

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.108	Amend	The rail network is a 24 hour a day, seven day a week operation, and the frequency, length and weight of trains can change without community consultation. Noise and vibration can have an impact on the internal amenity of a building. Appropriate mitigation, installed to ensure that the health and wellbeing of those living and working near to the rail network are not adversely affected, is pivotal to ensure that undue restrictions are not placed on the operation of the rail network. Rail activities not only generate noise, but also vibration effects. KiwiRail seek amendment to require acoustic and vibration treatment for sensitive activities within identified corridors adjacent to the railway networks to ensure an appropriate level of internal amenity is achieved in buildings adjacent to the rail corridor.	Amend NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as follows:  Acoustic <u>and vibration</u> treatment for noise sensitive activities  Require sound <u>and vibration</u> insulation and / or mechanical ventilation for new noise sensitive activities within:  <u>All residential zones</u> 1. The City Centre Zone; 2. The Waterfront Zone; 3. The Centres Zones; 4. The Mixed Use Zones; 5. Outer Port Noise Overlay; 6. The Air Noise Overlay; and Identified corridors adjacent to the State Highways and railway networks. Two standards of acoustic insulation are prescribed to achieve acceptable indoor acoustic amenity in habitable rooms.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Guardians of the Bays	452.37	Amend	Considers an amendment appropriate to describe the Airport Noise Overlay with both the Inner and Outer Noise Overlay.	Amend NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as follows: ... 6. The Air Noise Overlay ( <u>Inner Air Noise Overlay and Outer Air Noise Overlay</u> )...
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	Yvonne Weeber	340.82	Amend	Considers that NOISE-P6 should be amended to describe the Airport Noise Overlay with both the Inner and Outer Noise Overlay.	Amend NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as follows:  Restrict the development of noise sensitive activities within: 1. The Inner Air Noise Overlay ( <u>Inner Air Noise Overlay and Outer Air Noise Overlay</u> ); and 2. Other locations where ventilation and acoustic insulation standards are not met.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	Waka Kotahi	370.216	Support	Support policy wording that restricts development of noise sensitive activities where noise and acoustic insulation standards are not met.	Retain NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	Strathmore Park Residents Association Inc	371.3	Amend	Considers that the policy needs to refer to both the inner and outer ANB.	Amend NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as follows:  Restrict the development of noise sensitive activities within: 1. The Inner <u>and Outer</u> Air Noise Overlay; and 2. Other locations where ventilation and acoustic insulation standards are not met.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.296	Oppose in part	NOISE-P6 is partially opposed and an amendment is sought.	Opposes NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) and seeks amendment.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.297	Amend	Considers that NOISE-P6 should be amended to enable noise sensitive activities within the Inner Air Noise Overlay where appropriate ventilation and acoustic insulation can be achieved.	Amend NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as follows:  Restrict the development of noise sensitive activities within:  1. The Inner Air Noise Overlay <u>where ventilation and acoustic insulation standards are not met</u> ; and 2. Other locations where ventilation and acoustic insulation standards are not met.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	Ministry of Education	400.82	Amend	Seeks NOISE-P6 be amended to exclude educational facilities. The submitter seeks that educational facilities are excluded as the policy restricts the development of noise sensitive activities in the Inner Air Overlay.	Amend NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as follows:  Restrict the development of noise sensitive activities ( <u>excluding educational facilities</u> ) within:  1. The Inner Air Noise Overlay; and 2. Other locations where ventilation and acoustic insulation standards are not met.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	CentrePort Limited	402.135	Support	Support the intent of this policy.	Retain NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as notified.

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P6	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.426	Oppose	Considers that a new suite of policies is required to address the management of noise sensitive activities within the Air Noise Boundary and 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary.  [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Opposes NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) and seeks amendment.
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P6	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.427	Amend	Considers that a new suite of policies is required to address the management of noise sensitive activities within the Air Noise Boundary and 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary.  [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Amend NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as follows:  Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities  Restrict the development of noise sensitive activities <del>within:</del>  <del>1. The Inner Air Noise Overlay; and</del> <del>1.2. Other locations where ventilation and acoustic insulation standards are not met.</del>
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.109	Support	Supports policy which restricts development of noise sensitive activities in certain locations where ventilation and acoustic insulation standards are not met.	Retain NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	New Zealand Defence Force	423.16	Support	Considers that restricting the development of noise sensitive activities within the Inner Air Noise Overlay is appropriate in the management of reserve sensitivity effects on activities undertaken within the Airport Zone and associated airspace, including military aircraft operations.	Retain NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	Guardians of the Bays	452.38	Amend	Considers an amendment appropriate to describe the Airport Noise Overlay with both the Inner and Outer Noise Overlay.	Amend NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as follows: Restrict the development of noise sensitive activities within: 1. The Inner Air Noise Overlay ( <u>Inner Air Noise Overlay and Outer Air Noise Overlay</u> ) ; and 2. Other locations where ventilation and acoustic insulation standards are not met.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R1	Yvonne Weeber	340.83	Not specified	[No specific reason given - refer to original submission].	Not specified.
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R1	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.428	Support	Supports the default activity status, subject to compliance with NOISE-S1.	Retain NOISE-R1 (Noise not otherwise provided for in this chapter) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R1	Guardians of the Bays	452.39	Not specified	Submitter is 'neutral' on provision. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Not specified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R2	Yvonne Weeber	340.84	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain NOISE-R2 (Noise from construction, maintenance, earthworks, and demolition activities) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R2	Waka Kotahi	370.217	Support	Support permitted hours and thresholds for construction, maintenance, earthworks, and demolition works, and RD activity status where not met.	Retain NOISE-R2 (Noise from construction, maintenance, earthworks, and demolition activities) as notified.
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R2	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.429	Support	Supports the retention of a construction specific noise rule within the Proposed Plan.	Retain NOISE-R2 (Noise from construction, maintenance, earthworks, and demolition activities) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R2	Guardians of the Bays	452.40	Support	Supports NOISE-R2 (Noise from construction, maintenance, earthworks, and demolition activities).	Retain NOISE-R2 (Noise from construction, maintenance, earthworks, and demolition activities) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Shailesh Kumar Patel	49.2	Amend	Considers that sites in the Air Noise Boundary should have the same development rights as sites outside this (i.e. be able to build in accordance with the MDRS).	Seeks that Council amends NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) to allow increased development potential in line with the MDRS, subject to noise attenuation measures described at NOISE-S4.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Yvonne Weeber	340.85	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.77	Oppose in part	Considers the standards referred to in NOISE-R3 should be amended to integrate consideration of noise matters on a case-by-case basis for new buildings, and in alterations / additions to existing buildings.	Amend NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) by integrating consideration of noise matters on a case-by-case basis for new buildings, or in alterations/additions to an existing building.



Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.78	Oppose in part	Considers that acoustic insulation standards referred to in NOISE-R4 should be amended to allow noise matters to be considered on a case-by-case basis, with consideration given to the distance of noise sensitive activities from high noise areas.	Amend NOISE-R4 (Acoustic insulation - high noise areas) to integrate consideration of individual site characteristics/circumstances, and the distance of noise sensitive activities from high noise areas.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Waka Kotahi	370.218	Support in part	NOISE-R3.1 is supported.	Retain NOISE-R3.1 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building), subject to amendments.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Waka Kotahi	370.219	Amend	Considers amendments to have immediate legal effect and to require compliance with ventilation standards.  In lieu of the provision having immediate legal effect, Waka Kotahi seeks that this rule be included as a qualifying matter for development in the Medium- and High-Density Zones	Amend NOISE-R3.1 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) to require compliance with NOISE-S6 (Ventilation Requirements) and amend rule so that it has immediate legal effect.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Waka Kotahi	370.220	Support in part	Support the inclusion of NOISE-R3.2, with default distance from State Highway to be extended to 100m or otherwise incorporate the Waka Kotahi noise contours along state highways so that the provisions only apply as needed.	Retain NOISE-R3.2 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building), subject to amendments.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Waka Kotahi	370.221	Amend	In relation to NOISE-R3.2 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building), the submitter would prefer that the noise contours are included rather than a blanket rule of 100m. As above, Waka Kotahi also support this with amendment to have immediate legal effect and condition to comply with ventilation standard.  In lieu of the provision having immediate legal effect, Waka Kotahi seeks that this rule be included as a qualifying matter for development in the Medium and High-Density Zones.  It is noted that the submitter would generally define distances from edge of traffic lane (as that where is the source of noise is).	Amend NOISE-R3.2 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as follows (or amend to adopt Waka Kotahi noise contours) and amend rule so that it has immediate legal effect:  2. Activity status: Permitted  Where:  a. Compliance with <u>NOISE-S5 (Moderate Noise Areas) and NOISE-S6 (Ventilation Requirements)</u> is achieved within: i. The area between 40m <del>and 80m</del> - 100m of a State Highway;...
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Waka Kotahi	370.222	Support in part	Support NOISE-R3.3 restricted discretionary activity status where NOISE-S4 or NOISE-S5 cannot be achieved.	Retain NOISE-R3.3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building), subject to amendments.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Waka Kotahi	370.223	Amend	Considers the wording of NOISE-R3.3b is confusing and seems to contradict with R3.1, Would interpret that this is intended to apply to those activities that do not comply with the requirements of NOISE-S4 and NOISE-S5, AND are within land subject to R3.2. This should be amended to be made more clear.  The rule should also be amended to include noncompliance with the ventilation standards.	Amend NOISE-R3.3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as follows:  3. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where:  a. Compliance with the requirements of <u>NOISE-S4, or NOISE-S5, or NOISE-S6</u> cannot be achieved; and b. <del>Any</del> the noise sensitive activity is proposed on a site within land sub ject to NOISER3.2; or c. Two residential units are proposed on a site within the Inner Air Noise Overlay; or <del>and</del> d. Four or more residential units are proposed on a site within the Outer Air Noise Overlay.  Matters of discretion are: 1. The matters of assessment in <u>NOISE-S4 and NOISE-S5</u> ; and 2. The extent and effect of non-compliance with any relevant standard as specified in the associated assessment criteria for the infringed standard  Note: This rule does not oblige Wellington International Airport Limited (WIAL) to provide or upgrade mechanical ventilation or noise insulation in a residential unit which has already received such treatment.

Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Waka Kotahi	370.224	Amend	The submitter does not understand the intent of this rule and requests that the wording is amended to clarify that any noise sensitive activity within the areas in NOISE-R3.1.a (including within 40m of the state highway) is a discretionary activity. If this is the intention, this conflicts with the permitted activity status for noise sensitive activities in these areas that comply with the stated standards.	Amend NOISE-R3.4 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as follows:  4. Activity status: Discretionary  Where:  a. Any noise sensitive activity is proposed on a site within land subject to NOISE-R3.1 <u>where NOISE-S4 and NOISE-S6 cannot be achieved</u> ; and...
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Ministry of Education	400.83	Support	Supports NOISE-R3.1 as the submitter supports the requirement for acoustic insulation in high noise areas to reduce any reverse sensitivity effects and to enable productive classroom environments for educational facilities.	Retain NOISE-R3.1 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Ministry of Education	400.84	Support	Supports NOISE-R3.2 as the submitter supports the requirement for acoustic insulation in high noise areas to reduce any reverse sensitivity effects and to enable productive classroom environments for educational facilities.	Retain NOISE-R3.2 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Ministry of Education	400.85	Support in part	Supports NOISE-R3.3 in part.	Retain NOISE-R3.3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) with amendments.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Ministry of Education	400.86	Amend	Seeks that NOISE-R3.3 be amended. The submitter seeks clarity on NOISE-R3.3.b as the submitter considers that it currently reads that any noise sensitive activity on land subject to NOISE-R3.2 is automatically a restricted discretionary activity, despite compliance with the permitted activity standards.	Amend NOISE-R3.3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as follows:  3. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary  Where:  a. Compliance with the requirements of NOISE-S4 or NOISE-S5 cannot be achieved; b. Any noise sensitive activity <u>that does not comply with proposed on a site within land subject to NOISE-R3.2</u> ; ... ...
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Ministry of Education	400.87	Support	Supports NOISE-R3.4 as the submitter supports the management of noise sensitive activities in NOISE-R3.	Retain NOISE-R3.3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as proposed.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.430	Oppose	Opposes NOISE-R3.  [See paragraphs 4.65 to 4.72 of original submission for full reason]	Opposes NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) and seeks amendment.

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R3	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.431	Amend	<p>Opposes NOISE-R3.</p> <p>[See paragraphs 4.65 to 4.72 of original submission for full reason]</p>	<p>(Option A). Amend NOISE R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as follows:</p> <p>1. Activity status: Permitted Where:</p> <p>b. Compliance with NOISE-S4 (High Noise Areas) is achieved within:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. 40m of a State Highway;</li> <li>ii. 40m of a Railway corridor;</li> <li>iii. General Industrial Zone; <del>or</del></li> <li><del>iv. Inner Air Noise Overlay.</del></li> </ul> <p>Note: Distances from a state highway or railway corridor shall be measured from the closest habitable room to the closest point of a state highway or railway designation.</p> <p>2. Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. ...</li> <li><del>ix. Outer Air Noise Overlay.</del></li> </ul> <p>...</p> <p>3. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary</p> <p>Where:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Compliance with the requirements of NOISE-S4 or NOISE-S5 cannot be achieved <u>and</u>.</li> <li>...</li> <li><del>c. Two residential units are proposed on a site within the Inner Air Noise Overlay. d. Four or more residential units are proposed on a site within the Outer Air Noise Overlay.</del></li> <li>...</li> </ul> <p>Note: <del>This rule does not obligate Wellington International Airport Limited (WIAL) to provide or upgrade mechanical ventilation or noise insulation in a residential unit which has already received such treatment.</del></p> <p>4. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary</p> <p>Where:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <u>Located within the Air Noise Boundary or 60 dB Ldn Noise Boundary; and</u></li> <li>b. <u>Compliance with the requirements of NOISE-S16 and NOISE-S17 achieved.</u></li> </ul> <p><u>Matters of discretion are:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <u>The matters in NOISE-P7;</u></li> <li>2. <u>The ability to achieve acceptable outdoor acoustic amenity;</u></li> <li>3. <u>Any proposed mitigation of aircraft noise, in accordance with a best practicable option approach (e.g. site layout and design, design and location of structures and buildings and outdoor amenity areas.</u></li> <li>4. <u>The extent to which effects, as a result of the sensitivity of activities to current and future noise generation from aircraft, are proposed to be managed, including avoidance of any effect that may limit the operation, maintenance or upgrade of Wellington International Airport.</u></li> </ul> <p><u>Notification status: For a resource consent application made in respect of Rule NOISE R3.5 where a noise sensitive activity is proposed within the Air Noise Boundary or 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary, WIAL must be considered to be an affected person in accordance with Section 95E of the RMA.</u></p>

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
					<p>5. Activity status: Discretionary Where:</p> <p>a. Any noise sensitive activity is proposed on a site within <u>the Air Noise Boundary or 60dB Ldn Boundary; and compliance with the requirements of NOISE-S16 or NOISE-S17 is not achieved. and subject to NOISE R3.1.</u></p> <p><del>b. Three or more residential units are proposed on a site within the Inner Air Noise Overlay.</del></p> <p><del>Note: This rule does not obligate Wellington International Airport Limited (WIAL) to provide or upgrade mechanical ventilation or noise insulation in a residential unit which has already received such treatment.</del></p> <p><u>Notification status: For a resource consent application made in respect of Rule NOISE R3.5 where a noise sensitive activity is proposed within the Air Noise Boundary or 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary, WIAL must be considered to be an affected person in accordance with Section 95E of the RMA.</u></p>
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R3	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.432	Oppose	<p>Opposes NOISE-R3.</p> <p>[See paragraphs 4.65 to 4.72 of original submission for full reason]</p>	Delete NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) in its entirety. (Option B).
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.110	Amend	<p>Supports the inclusion of noise and vibration controls requiring acoustic insulation and ventilation to be installed in new (or altered) sensitive uses within 100m of the railway corridor.</p> <p>However, KiwiRail provide an alternative rule and standard framework which includes compliance pathways to mitigate noise impacts, including building setbacks and noise barriers. This approach is intended to assist developers and the Council in achieving compliance without requiring expert noise input into design. The standards seek to provide options for developers to protect future noise sensitive activities from noise associated with the rail corridor.</p> <p>KiwiRail also seeks controls within 60m of the railway corridor, for buildings containing new (or altered) sensitive uses to be constructed to manage the impacts of vibration. These controls are important to ensure new development is undertaken in a way that achieves a healthy living environment for people locating within proximity to the railway corridor, minimising the potential for complaints about the effects of the railway network.</p>	<p>Amend NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as follows:</p> <p>1. Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. Compliance with NOISE-S4 (High Noise Areas) <u>and NOISE-SX</u> is achieved within:</p> <p>i. 40m of a State Highway;</p> <p>ii. <del>100</del><u>40</u>m of a Railway corridor;</p> <p>iii. General Industrial Zone; or</p> <p>iv. Inner Air Noise Overlay.</p> <p>...</p> <p>2. Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. Compliance with NOISE-S5 (Moderate Noise Areas) is achieved within:</p> <p>i. The area between 40m and 80m of a State Highway;</p> <p>ii. <del>The area between 40m and 100m of a Railway corridor;</del></p> <p>iii. City Centre Zone;</p> <p>iv. ...</p>

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Save Our Venues	445.7	Amend	<p>Considers that new residential developments in the immediate vicinity of existing live music venues currently have a low acoustic insulation standard. This creates an issue of reverse sensitivity.</p> <p>Considers that venues, otherwise compliant with DP noise guidelines, may still face enforcement action in response to noise complaints from new residents, severely restricting their ability to operate.</p> <p>Considers that in other high-noise locations - such as near the airport, or close to motorways - it has been amply demonstrated that it is possible to build dwellings to an acoustic standard that adequately mitigates potential noise issues when required by planning rules, and the modest cost of doing so has not been a disincentive to residential development in those areas. However, in a highly competitive residential market, developers have little incentive to take mitigation action above and beyond the minimum required.</p> <p>[Refer to original submission for full reason]</p>	<p>Amend NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as follows:</p> <p>1. Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. Compliance with NOISE-S4 (High Noise Areas) is achieved within:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. 40m of a State Highway;</li> <li>ii. 40m of a Railway corridor;</li> <li>iii. General Industrial Zone; or</li> <li>iv. Inner Air Noise Overlay;</li> <li>v. <u>Within 40m of a lawfully established established live music venue;</u></li> <li>vi. <u>Central Area.</u></li> </ul> <p>...</p>
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Save Our Venues	445.8	Amend	<p>Considers that as currently proposed, NOISE-R3 does not take effect until the new plan is fully ratified (which could take years), whereas the new permitted residential development rules would take immediate legal effect</p> <p>Considers that this is likely to result in a rush on development, meaning that by the time the new noise rules would come into effect, it would be too late for any affected venues.</p>	<p>Seeks that the WCC give immediate effect to NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) alongside densification provisions.</p>
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Guardians of the Bays	452.41	Support	<p>Supports the NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building).</p>	<p>Retain NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as notified.</p>
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R4	New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	40.7	Amend	<p>Considers that the PDP should provide for the intermittent use of helicopter landing areas by agricultural aircraft for the purposes of agricultural aviation including primary production purposes and conservation purposes as a permitted activity.</p>	<p>Amend NOISE-R4.2 (Helicopter landing noise) as follows:</p> <p>...</p> <p>2.</p> <p>a. Compliance with the recommended limits and noise management provisions as set out in NZS6807:1994 Noise Management and Land Use Planning for Helicopter Landing Areas is achieved; <u>or</u></p> <p>b. <u>The activity is for the purposes of agricultural aviation activities for primary production and conservation purposes.</u></p>
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R4	Paul Van Houtte	92.2	Amend	<p>Considers that helicopter landing noise from commercial activity should not be permitted at the waterfront, as this compromises amenity values and the enjoyment of pedestrians.</p>	<p>Seeks that NOISE-R4 (Helicopter Landing Areas) is amended to so that helicopter landing noise from commercial activity is not permitted at the waterfront.</p>
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R4	Yvonne Weeber	340.86	Amend	<p>Considers that NOISE-R4 should be amended to have a prohibited activity status where no activity for the landing and take off of helicopter will be granted within the East Side Area designation. Wellington Airport International Airport Limited Designation for the East Side Area does not allow take off or landing within the designation as per condition 34 which states: There shall be no aircraft engine testing, take-off or landing on land within the ESA Designation.</p>	<p>Amend NOISE-R4 to add a 'Non-compliant' activity status for the landing and take off of helicopters within the East Side Area designation.</p>
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R4	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.433	Support	<p>Supports the permitted activity status for helicopter operations within the Airport Zone.</p>	<p>Retain NOISE-R4 (Helicopter landing noise) as notified.</p>
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R4	New Zealand Defence Force	423.17	Support	<p>Considers that it is appropriate to provide for noise associated with helicopter landings in the Airport Zone as a permitted activity with no permitted activity criteria.</p>	<p>Retain NOISE-R4.1 (Helicopter landing noise) as notified.</p>

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R4	New Zealand Defence Force	423.18	Support	It is appropriate to provide for helicopter landings in all other zones as a permitted activity subject to compliance with the recommended limits and noise management provisions as set out in NZS6807:1994 Noise Management and Land Use Planning for Helicopter Landing Areas is achieved. This is consistent with NZDF's bespoke noise standards for TMTA provided in Attachment 3.  [See original submission for attachment 3]	Retain NOISE-R4.2 (Helicopter landing noise) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R5	Ministry of Education	400.88	Support	Supports NOISE-R5 as Wellington Girls College and Thorndon School are located within approximately 300m of the Wellington Stadium. The submitter supports the matter of discretion to consider noise effects on these noise sensitive activities.	Retain NOISE-R5 (Noise from Wellington Regional Stadium and the Basin Reserve) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R6	Horokiwi Quarries Ltd	271.58	Support	Supports NOISE-R6 noting the standard NOISE-S7 defers to the noise limits set out in APP5 – Fixed Plant Standards.	Retain NOISE-R6 (Fixed plant noise) as notified.
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R8	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.434	Oppose	Submitter is required to undertake wildlife management activities at the Airport.  Submitter seeks to ensure that its activities, while not comparable to a shooting range, are not inadvertently captured by this rule.	Opposes NOISE-R8 (Shooting range and firearm noise) and seeks amendment.
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R8	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.435	Amend	Submitter is required to undertake wildlife management activities at the Airport.  Submitter seeks to ensure that its activities, while not comparable to a shooting range, are not inadvertently captured by this rule.	Amend NOISE-R8 (Shooting range and firearm noise) as follows:  NOISE-R8 <u>Airport Zone</u> <u>1. Activity Status: Permitted</u>  All Zones ( <u>except the Airport Zone</u> ) <u>2. Activity Status: Discretionary</u>  (Option A).
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R8	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.436	Oppose	Submitter is required to undertake wildlife management activities at the Airport.  Submitter seeks to ensure that its activities, while not comparable to a shooting range, are not inadvertently captured by this rule.	Delete NOISE-R8 (Shooting range and firearm noise) in its entirety. (Option B).
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R9	Horokiwi Quarries Ltd	271.59	Support	Considers that given the importance and necessity of blasting to quarry activities, Horokiwi supports the recognition of blasting associated with quarry activities (clause 2.b), and the permitted activity status.	Retain NOISE-R9 (Blasting noise) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R12	CentrePort Limited	402.136	Support in part	Support the intent of this rule subject to amendments in relation to Table 20 which are required to comply with the methodology in NZS 6809:1999 (Port Noise Standard).	Retain NOISE-R12 (Port noise), subject to amendments sought in relation to Table 20 in APP4 Permitted Noise Standards.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R13	Yvonne Weeber	340.87	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain NOISE-R13 (Airport noise) as notified.
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R13	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.437	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter.  [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Opposes NOISE-R13 (Airport noise) and seeks amendment.

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R13	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.438	Amend	<p>Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter.</p> <p>[See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]</p>	<p>(Option A). Amend NOISE-R13 (Airport noise) as follows:</p> <p>NOISE-R13 Airport Noise All Zones</p> <p>1. Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. Compliance is achieved with the following standards:</p> <p>i. NOISE-S1; ii. <del>NOISE-S8</del>; iii. <del>NOISE-S9</del>; iv. <del>NOISE-S10</del>; v. <del>NOISE-S11</del>; vi. <del>NOISE-S12</del>; vii. NOISE-S14; and viii. NOISE-S15.</p> <p>2. ....</p> <p>3. Activity status: Non-complying</p> <p>Where:</p> <p><del>a. Compliance is not achieved with:</del></p> <p><del>i. NOISE-S9;</del></p> <p><del>ii. NOISE-S10; and</del></p> <p><del>b. Noise from any land based activity in the Airport Zone exceeds the limits in NOISE-S14 by more than 5dB.</del></p> <p><del>Notification Status: An application for resource consent made in respect of this rule must be publicly</del></p>
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R13	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.439	Oppose	<p>Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter.</p> <p>[See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]</p>	Delete NOISE-R13 (Airport Noise) in its entirety. (Option B).
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R13	Guardians of the Bays	452.42	Support	Supports NOISE-R13 (Airport noise).	Retain NOISE-R13 (Airport noise) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S1	Yvonne Weeber	340.88	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain NOISE-S1 (Maximum permitted activity noise levels by zone) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S1	BP Oil New Zealand, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited (the Fuel Companies)	372.103	Support	NOISE-S1 is supported, as well as its proposed limits set out in 'APP4 – Permitted Noise standards' which includes noise limits for activities contained within a single zone and separate standards for activities generated in one zone but received in another.	Retain NOISE-S1 (Maximum permitted activity noise levels by zone) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S1	Guardians of the Bays	452.43	Support	Supports NOISE-S1 (Maximum permitted activity noise levels by zone).	Retain NOISE-S1 (Maximum permitted activity noise levels by zone) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S2	Yvonne Weeber	340.89	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain NOISE-S2 (Maximum permitted noise levels by activity) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S2	Waka Kotahi	370.225	Support	Considers that the use of NZS 6803 for construction noise is appropriate	Retain NOISE-S2 (Maximum permitted noise levels by activity) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S2	Ministry of Education	400.89	Support	Supports NOISE-S2.2 as it limits the noise effects of blasting on any nearby sensitive activity, including educational facilities.	Retain NOISE-S2.2 (Maximum permitted noise levels by activity: 2. Blasting) as notified.

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S2	Guardians of the Bays	452.44	Support	Supports NOISE-S2 (Maximum permitted noise levels by activity).	Retain NOISE-S2 (Maximum permitted noise levels by activity) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S3	Yvonne Weeber	340.90	Support in part	NOISE-S3 is supported, but an amendment is sought.	Retain NOISE-S3 (Noise management plans) with amendment.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S3	Yvonne Weeber	340.91	Amend	Considers that NOISE-S3 should be amended to have an additional bullet 2.g to include a timeframe to increase the speed of completion in the "Methods necessary for the Airport to complete implementation of the Quieter Homes Programme".	Amend NOISE-S3 (Noise management plans) as follows:  Airport Activities 1. The Airport must at all times maintain and implement an Airport Noise Management Plan (ANMP). Any alteration or update to the ANMP is subject to certification by the Council. 2. The ANMP must include, as a minimum: ... g. Methods necessary for the Airport to complete implementation of the Quieter Homes Programme <u>within an identified timeframe once the properties within the 60dbb contour have been identified;</u>
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S3	CentrePort Limited	402.137	Support in part	Support the intent of this standard subject to amendments in relation to Table 20 which are required to comply with the methodology in NZS 6809:1999 (Port Noise Standard).	Retain NOISE-S3 (Noise management plans), subject to amendments sought in relation to Table 20 in APP4 Permitted Noise Standards.
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S3	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.440	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter.  [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Delete NOISE-S3 (Noise management plans) in it's entirety.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S3	Guardians of the Bays	452.45	Support in part	Supports the NOISE S3 (Noise management plans) standards as proposed.	Retain NOISE-S3 (Airport activities) subject to amendments.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S3	Guardians of the Bays	452.46	Amend	Considers the need to amend bullet 2.g to include a timeframe to increase the speed of completion in the "Methods necessary for the Airport to complete implementation of the Quieter Homes Programme".	Amend NOISE-S3 (Airport Activities) as follows: ... 2.g. Methods necessary for the Airport to complete implementation of the Quieter Homes Programme <u>within an identified timeframe once the properties within the 60dbb contour have been identified...</u>
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Wellington City Council	266.124	Amend	Considers the 'exemption' from insulation standards in S.4(4) (Acoustic insulation - high noise areas) and S.5(4) (Acoustic insulation - moderate noise areas) doesn't adopt the correct noise units when setting out those exemption levels and needs amending. Considers it would be counter to the National Planning Standards to use 1 hour LAeq when NZ Standards NZS6809 (port) and NZS6806(traffic) specify 24 hour units for those two types of noise. Considers there are no NZ Stds dealing with rail noise. Kiwirail have developed guidance based on worst case 1hr rail noise, so that seems appropriate for rail.	Amend NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) as follows:  ..... not exceed the following noise limits at all points 1.5m above ground level, and any part of the floor levels above ground:  a. Less than 55 dB LAeq (1hr) for rail noise; or b. Less than 57 dB LAeq ( <del>24</del> hr) for road noise; or c. Less than 57 dB LAeq ( <del>24</del> hr) for port noise.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Yvonne Weeber	340.92	Support	NOISE-S4 is supported. Supports the standard for acoustic insulation in the high noise area of the Inner Air Noise Overlay.	Retain NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) with amendment.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.79	Amend	Acknowledges that acoustic insulation may be appropriate in some areas located within or adjacent to high noise areas with a purpose of providing protection / amenity to residents in such areas. However, considers that such requirements need to be determined on a case-by-case basis, with consideration given to the distance of noise sensitive activities from high noise areas.	Amend NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) to integrate consideration of individual site characteristics / circumstances, and the distance of noise sensitive activities from high noise areas.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Waka Kotahi	370.226	Support in part	Supports, with amendments.	Supports , with amendments.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Waka Kotahi	370.227	Amend	Considers that for noise sensitive activities within 20m of State Highway, buildings should also be constructed to mitigate for road vibration – to avoid adverse effects to human health and property as a result of vibration in the environment. Note should be added for clarity on how to calculate state highway noise levels for the design.	Amend NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) as follows:  <u>5. For noise sensitive activities within 20m of a state highway, buildings must be designed, constructed and maintained to achieve road vibration levels not exceeding 0.3 mm/s vw,95;</u>  <u>Note: for activities within 40m of a State Highway, the design should be based on the measured or predicted roadtraffic noise levels plus 3 dB;</u>



Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Waka Kotahi	370.228	Oppose	<p>[The submitter comments on the assessment criteria only in this submission point.]</p> <p>Considers the assessment criteria for activities that do not meet the permitted standards for NOISE-S4 to be inappropriate as it invites re-litigation of the bottom line which is that internal conditions need to be healthy to protect the amenity, wellbeing, and health of occupants. Assessment criteria should instead consider the extent of the exceedance or noncompliance, and the effects on occupants and noise generating activities as a result.</p>	<p>Amend the assessment criteria under NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) as follows: Assessment criteria where the standard is infringed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <u>Extent of the exceedance.</u></li> <li>2. <u>Human health effects on occupants and their ability to achieve an acceptable level of amenity as a result of the exceedance.</u></li> <li>3. <u>Reverse sensitivity effects to existing noisegenerating activities.</u></li> <li>4. <u>Where within 100m of a state highway or railway corridor, extent of consultation with infrastructure providers who are generating the noise.</u></li> <li>5. <u>Background noise levels and any special character of noise from any existing activities, the nature and character of any changes to the sound received at any receiving site and the degree to which such sounds are compatible with the surrounding activities;</u></li> <li>6. <u>The ability to achieve acceptable outdoor acoustic amenity;</u></li> <li>7. <u>Any mitigation of the noise proposed, in accordance with a best practicable option approach (e.g. site layout and design, design and location of structures, buildings and equipment and the timing of operations);</u></li> <li>8. <u>The ability to mitigate adverse effects through the imposition of conditions such as noise attenuation; and</u></li> <li>9. In relation to a heritage building or a contributing building within a heritage area, the extent to which it is practicable to insulate to the required standard without detracting from identified heritage values</li> </ol>
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Strathmore Park Residents Association Inc	371.4	Amend	<p>Considers that Council has proposed that this overlay area will be determined by modelling based on the existing 65dB ANB. While the submitter has no issue with the accuracy or methods to achieve this, the submitter believes that the operative 60dB boundary should be established from time to time by modelling from the actual 90 day rolling average noise being experienced at the defined 65dB ANB rather than based on the 65dB limit itself. This would allow the WIAL to more actively manage noise beyond the 65dB boundary rather than just working towards filling the available "noise bucket" as has been WIAL's approach to the Inner Noise Overlay. Building requirement triggers will not be imposed on a wide area of owners until the defined noise level has been established. The implementation of the Outer Noise Overlay in the above manner will, in the submitters view, more acceptably cater for uncertainty to the future of Airline travel, climate change regulations, introduction of Wide bodied jet aircraft in Wellington and move toward quieter and/or electric engine aircraft.</p>	<p>Seeks that NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation - moderate noise areas) is amended to change the means to establish the 60dB Outer Air Noise Overlay area to use actual 90 day rolling average noise as measured at the defined 65dB ANB.</p>
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.298	Oppose in part	<p>NOISE-S4 is opposed and a review of the different insulation requirements is sought for the inner and outer air noise overlay and to understand why these levels vary from the level required by the Quieter Homes Programme which is part of the Airport Noise Management Plan and Designation conditions. Clarification is also sought on the extent of the Quieter Homes Programme which appears to only cover the inner air noise overlay. Amendments may be necessary once further clarification and understanding is considered.</p>	<p>Opposes NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) and seeks amendments.</p>

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.299	Amend	<p>Considers that NOISE-S4 should be amended so that any mitigation measures and/or Quieter Homes Programme applies to properties under both the inner and outer air noise overlay.</p> <p>The submitter seeks a review of the different insulation requirements for the inner and outer air noise overlay and to understand why these levels vary from the level required by the Quieter Homes Programme which is part of the Airport Noise Management Plan and Designation conditions.</p> <p>Clarification is also sought on the extent of the Quieter Homes Programme which appears to only cover the inner air noise overlay. The requirements proposed by the Plan in respect of acoustic insulation and ventilation are potentially onerous for landowners.</p>	Amend NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) so that any mitigation measures and/or Quieter Homes Programme applies to properties under both the inner and outer air noise overlay, and clarify the Standard after having reviewed the different insulation requirements for the inner and outer air noise overlay between the Plan and the Quieter Homes Programme.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Ministry of Education	400.90	Support	<p>Supports NOISE-S4 as the submitter supports the requirements for acoustic insulation for sensitive activities.</p> <p>The submitter also supports the assessment criteria which will help manage the effects of reverse sensitivity.</p>	Retain NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) as notified.
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S4	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.441	Oppose in part	<p>Opposes standard in part.</p> <p>[See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]</p>	Opposes NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) and seeks amendment.
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S4	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.442	Amend	<p>Opposes standard in part.</p> <p>[See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]</p>	<p>Amend NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) as follows:</p> <p>NOISE-S4 Acoustic insulation – high noise areas</p> <p>Within 40m of a State Highway/ Within 40m of a Railway Corridor/ Courtenay Place Noise Area/<del>Inner Air Noise Overlay</del></p> <p>(Option A).</p>
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S4	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.443	Oppose in part	<p>Opposes standard in part.</p> <p>[See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]</p>	Delete NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) in its entirety. (Option B).
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.111	Amend	<p>Supports an alternative rule and standard framework. Seeks consequential amendment to NOISE-S4 to remove 'Within 40m of a Railway Corridor'.</p>	<p>Amend NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) as follows:</p> <p>Within 40m of a State Highway</p> <p><del>Within 40m of a Railway Corridor</del></p> <p>Courtenay Place Noise Area</p> <p>Inner Air Noise Overlay</p>
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Guardians of the Bays	452.47	Support	Supports NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas).	Retain NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Guardians of the Bays	452.48	Support	Supports table ii of NOISE-S4	TABLE II - Minimum construction requirements necessary to achieve an advanced external sound insulation level of $DnT,w + C_{tr} > 35$ dB as notified.

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Wellington City Council	266.125	Amend	Considers the 'exemption' from insulation standards in S.4(4) (Acoustic insulation - high noise areas) and S.5(4) (Acoustic insulation - moderate noise areas) doesn't adopt the correct noise units when setting out those exemption levels and needs amending. Considers it would be counter to the National Planning Standards to use 1 hour LAeq when NZ Standards NZS6809 (port) and NZS6806(traffic) specify 24 hour units for those two types of noise. Considers there are no NZ Stds dealing with rail noise. Kiwirail have developed guidance based on worst case 1hr rail noise, so that seems appropriate for rail.	Amend NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) as follows:  ..... not exceed the following noise limits at all points 1.5m above ground level, and any part of the floor levels above ground:  a. Less than 55 dB LAeq (1hr) for rail noise; or b. Less than 57 dB LAeq (24hr) for road noise; or c. Less than 57 dB LAeq (24hr) for port noise.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Yvonne Weeber	340.93	Support	NOISE-S5 is supported. Supports the standard for acoustic insulation in the moderate noise area for the Outer Noise Overlay.	Retain NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) with amendment.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Waka Kotahi	370.229	Support in part	Support the inclusion of NOISE-S5, with default distance from State Highway to be extended to 100m or otherwise incorporate the Waka Kotahi noise contours along state highways so that the provisions only apply as needed.	Retain NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) with amendment.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Waka Kotahi	370.230	Amend	The submitter states to refer to comments on NOISE-R3.2 regarding the distance from the State Highway.  Note should be added for clarity on how to calculate State Highway noise levels for the design.  Submitter considers that there is a need to correct the noise metric for road noise to be consistent with the requirements of the National Planning Standards	Amend NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) as follows:  ... 4. The requirements of (a) above do not apply where an acoustic design certificate signed by a suitably qualified acoustic engineer, confirms the level of noise incident on the most exposed part of the exterior of any habitable room can be shown, under a reasonable maximum use scenario, to not exceed the following noise limits at all points 1.5m above ground level, and any part of the floor levels above ground: a. Less than 55 dB LAeq (1h) for rail noise; or b. Less than 57 dB LAeq (24h) for road noise; or c. Less than 57 dB LAeq (1 hr) for port noise. ... <u>Note: for activities within 100m of a State Highway, the design should be based on the measured or predicted road traffic noise levels plus 3 dB.</u>
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Waka Kotahi	370.231	Oppose	[The submitter comments on the assessment criteria only in this submission point]  Considers the assessment criteria for activities that do not meet the permitted standards for NOISE-S5 to be inappropriate as it invites re-litigation of the bottom line which is that internal conditions need to be healthy to protect the amenity, wellbeing, and health of occupants. Assessment criteria should instead consider the extent of the exceedance or noncompliance, and the effects on occupants and noise generating activities as a result.	Amend the assessment criteria under NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) as follows: Assessment criteria where the standard is infringed: <u>1. Extent of the exceedance.</u> <u>2. Human health effects on occupants and their ability to achieve an acceptable level of amenity as a result of the exceedance.</u> <u>3. Reverse sensitivity effects to existing noisegenerating activities.</u> <u>4. Where within 100m of a state highway or railway corridor, extent of consultation with infrastructure providers who are generating the noise.</u> <del>5. Background noise levels and any special character of noise from any existing activities, the nature and character of any changes to the sound received at any receiving site and the degree to which such sounds are compatible with the surrounding activities;</del> <del>6. The ability to achieve acceptable outdoor acoustic amenity;</del> <del>7. Any mitigation of the noise proposed, in accordance with a best practicable option approach (e.g. site layout and design, design and location of structures, buildings and equipment and the timing of operations);</del> <del>8. The ability to mitigate adverse effects through the imposition of conditions such as noise attenuation; and</del> 9. In relation to a heritage building or a contributing building within a heritage area, the extent to which it is practicable to insulate to the required standard without detracting from identified heritage values

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Strathmore Park Residents Association Inc	371.5	Amend	Considers that Council has proposed that this overlay area will be determined by modelling based on the existing 65dB ANB. While the submitter has no issue with the accuracy or methods to achieve this, the submitter believes that the operative 60dB boundary should be established from time to time by modelling from the actual 90 day rolling average noise being experienced at the defined 65dB ANB rather than based on the 65dB limit itself. This would allow the WIAL to more actively manage noise beyond the 65dB boundary rather than just working towards filling the available "noise bucket" as has been WIAL's approach to the Inner Noise Overlay. Building requirement triggers will not be imposed on a wide area of owners until the defined noise level has been established. The implementation of the Outer Noise Overlay in the above manner will, in the submitters view, more acceptably cater for uncertainty to the future of Airline travel, climate change regulations, introduction of Wide bodied jet aircraft in Wellington and move toward quieter and/or electric engined aircraft.	Seeks that NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation - moderate noise areas) is amended to change the means to establish the 60dB Outer Air Noise Overlay area to use actual 90day rolling average noise as measured at the defined 65dB ANB.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.300	Oppose in part	NOISE-S5 is opposed and a review of the different insulation requirements is sought for the inner and outer air noise overlay and to understand why these levels vary from the level required by the Quieter Homes Programme which is part of the Airport Noise Management Plan and Designation conditions. Clarification is also sought on the extent of the Quieter Homes Programme which appears to only cover the inner air noise overlay. Amendments may be necessary once further clarification and understanding is considered.	Opposes NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) and seeks amendments.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.301	Amend	Considers that NOISE-S5 should be amended so that any mitigation measures and/or Quieter Homes Programme applies to properties under both the inner and outer air noise overlay.  The submitter seeks a review of the different insulation requirements for the inner and outer air noise overlay and to understand why these levels vary from the level required by the Quieter Homes Programme which is part of the Airport Noise Management Plan and Designation conditions.  Clarification is also sought on the extent of the Quieter Homes Programme which appears to only cover the inner air noise overlay. The requirements proposed by the Plan in respect of acoustic insulation and ventilation are potentially onerous for landowners.	Amend NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) so that any mitigation measures and/or Quieter Homes Programme applies to properties under both the inner and outer air noise overlay, and clarify the Standard after having reviewed the different insulation requirements for the inner and outer air noise overlay between the Plan and the Quieter Homes Programme.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Ministry of Education	400.91	Support	Supports NOISE-S5 as the submitter supports the requirements for acoustic insulation for sensitive activities.  The submitter also supports the assessment criteria which will help manage the effects of reverse sensitivity.	Retain NOISE-S (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	CentrePort Limited	402.138	Support	Support the intent of this standard.	Retain NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) as notified.
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S5	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.444	Oppose in part	Opposes standard in part.  [See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Opposes NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) and seeks amendment.

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S5	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.445	Amend	Opposes standard in part.  [See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Amend NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) as follows:  NOISE-S5 Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas  City Centre Zone/ Mixed Use Zone/General Industrial Zone/ Neighbourhood Centre Zone/ Local Centre Zone/ Metropolitan Centre Zone/Waterfront Zone/ The area between 40m and 100m of a railway corridor/ The area between 40m and 80m of a State Highway/ Outer Port Noise Overlay/ <del>Outer Air Noise Overlay.</del>  (Option A).
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S5	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.446	Oppose in part	Opposes standard in part.  [See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Delete Amend NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) in its entirety. (Option B).
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.112	Amend	Supports an alternative rule and standard framework. Seeks consequential amendment to NOISE-S5 to remove 'The area between 40m and 100m of a railway corridor'.	Amend NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) as follows:  City Centre Zone  Mixed Use Zone  General Industrial Zone  Neighbourhood Centre Zone  Local Centre Zone  Metropolitan Centre Zone  Waterfront Zone  <del>The area between 40m and 100m of a railway corridor</del>  The area between 40m and 80m of a State Highway  Outer Port Noise Overlay  Outer Air Noise Overlay
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Guardians of the Bays	452.49	Support	Supports NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas).	Retain NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Guardians of the Bays	452.50	Support	Supports table i of NOISE-S5	Retain TABLE I - Minimum construction requirements necessary to achieve a moderate external sound insulation level of DnT,w + Ctr > 30 dB as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S6	Yvonne Weeber	340.94	Support	NOISE-S6 is supported. Supports the standard for ventilation requirements.	Retain NOISE-S6 (Ventilation requirements) with amendment.

Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S6	Waka Kotahi	370.232	Amend	The ventilation system must be adequate to provide thermal comfort so that residents have a free choice not to open windows.	Amend NOISE-S6 (Ventilation requirements) as follows: 1. The minimum external to internal noise reduction levels in NOISE-S4 and NOISE-S5 must be achieved at the same time as the ventilation requirements of the New Zealand Building Code. An alternative means of ventilation must be provided unless compliance with the above acoustic insulation standards can be met with ventilating windows open <u>An alternative ventilation system must be adjustable by the occupant to control the ventilation rate in increments up to a high air flow setting that provides at least six air changes per hour, with relief for equivalent volumes of spill air.</u> The system must not generate more than 35 dB LAeq(30s) when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser. 2. Where bedrooms rely on openable windows to meet the ventilation requirements of the New Zealand Building Code, and where these windows must remain closed to achieve compliance with NOISES4 and NOISE-S5 acoustic insulation standards, <del>a positive supplementary source of fresh air ducted from outside</del> an alternative ventilation system is required at the time of fitout. For the purposes of this requirement, a bedroom is any room intended to be used for sleeping. <del>The supplementary source of air is to achieve a minimum of 7.5 litres per second per person.</del> <u>An alternative ventilation system must be adjustable by the occupant to control the ventilation rate in increments up to a high air flow setting that provides at least six air changes per hour, with relief for equivalent volumes of spill air. The system must not generate more than 35 dB LAeq(30s) when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser;</u> and 3. Confirmation of compliance with this standard will be required by a qualified professional...
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S6	Strathmore Park Residents Associational Inc	371.6	Amend	Considers that Council has proposed that this overlay area will be determined by modelling based on the existing 65dB ANB. While the submitter has no issue with the accuracy or methods to achieve this, the submitter believes that the operative 60dB boundary should be established from time to time by modelling from the actual 90 day rolling average noise being experienced at the defined 65dB ANB rather than based on the 65dB limit itself. This would allow the WIAL to more actively manage noise beyond the 65dB boundary rather than just working towards filling the available "noise bucket" as has been WIAL's approach to the Inner Noise Overlay. Building requirement triggers will not be imposed on a wide area of owners until the defined noise level has been established. The implementation of the Outer Noise Overlay in the above manner will, in the submitters view, more acceptably cater for uncertainty to the future of Airline travel, climate change regulations, introduction of Wide bodied jet aircraft in Wellington and move toward quieter and/or electric engined aircraft.	Seeks that NOISE-S6 (Acoustic insulation - moderate noise areas) is amended to change the means to establish the 60dB Outer Air Noise Overlay area to use actual 90day rolling average noise as measured at the defined 65dB ANB.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S6	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.447	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - see original submission]	Seeks that ventilation standards in NOISE-S6 (Ventilation requirements) do not create an untenable internal living environment for occupants of noise sensitive activities and that any requisite ventilation is affordable for residents to operate.

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S6	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.113	Amend	The ventilation requirements as proposed, rely on the requirements of New Zealand Building Code. KiwiRail seeks amendment to this standard to ensure habitable rooms achieve an appropriate level of comfort and amenity for occupants.	Amend NOISE-S6 (Ventilation requirements) as follows:  1. The minimum external to internal noise reduction levels in NOISE-S4 and NOISE-S5 must be achieved at the same time as the <u>following</u> ventilation requirements. <del>of the New Zealand Building Code.</del> An alternative means of ventilation must be provided unless compliance with the above acoustic insulation standards can be met with ventilating windows open.  2. If windows must be closed to achieve minimum external to internal noise reduction levels in NOISE-S4 and NOISE-S5, the building is designed, constructed and maintained with a mechanical ventilation system that a. For habitable rooms for a residential activity, achieves the following requirements: i. provides mechanical ventilation to satisfy clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code; and ii. is adjustable by the occupant to control the ventilation rate in increments up to a high air flow setting that provides at least 6 air changes per hour; and iii. provides relief for equivalent volumes of spill air; iv. provides cooling and heating that is controllable by the occupant and can maintain the inside temperature between 18°C and 25°C; and v. does not generate more than 35 dB LAeq(30s) when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser. b. For other spaces, is as determined by a suitably qualified and experienced person.  2. <del>Where bedrooms rely on openable windows to meet the ventilation requirements of the New Zealand Building Code, and where these windows must remain closed to achieve compliance with NOISE S4 and NOISE S5 acoustic insulation standards, a positive supplementary source of fresh air ducted from outside is required at the time of fit out. For the purposes of this requirement, a bedroom is any room intended to be used for sleeping. The supplementary source of air is to achieve a minimum of 7.5 litres per second per person; and</del>  3. Confirmation of compliance with this standard will be required by a qualified professional.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S6	Guardians of the Bays	452.51	Support	Supports NOISE-S6 (Ventilation requirements).	Retain NOISE-S6 (Ventilation requirements) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S7	Yvonne Weeber	340.95	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain NOISE-S7 (Fixed plant noise) with amendment.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S7	Guardians of the Bays	452.52	Support	Supports NOISE-S7 (Fixed Plant Noise).	Retain NOISE-S7 (Fixed Plant Noise) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S8	Yvonne Weeber	340.96	Support	NOISE-S8 is supported. Supports the standard for hours of aircraft operation and the assessment criteria.	Retain NOISE-S8 (Hours of aircraft operation) with amendment.
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S8	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.448	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter.  [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Delete NOISE-S8 (Hours of aircraft operation) in it's entirety.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S8	New Zealand Defence Force	423.19	Support	Activity standard NOISE-S8 sets out restrictions on the hours of aircraft operation within the Airport Zone. NZDF supports clause 11 of this standard, which exempts aircraft carrying heads of state and/or senior dignitaries acting in their official capacity or other military aircraft operations from this activity standard.	Retain NOISE-S8 (Hours of aircraft operation) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S8	Guardians of the Bays	452.53	Support	Supports NOISE-S8 (Hours of aircraft operations).	Retain NOISE-S8 (Hours of aircraft operations) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S9	Yvonne Weeber	340.97	Support	NOISE-S9 is supported. Supports the standard for calculations and management of aircraft noise, assessment criteria and the position of a permanent noise monitoring equipment as proposed in Figure 6.	Retain NOISE-S9 (Calculation and management of aircraft noise) with amendment.

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S9	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.449	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter.  [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Delete NOISE-S9 (Calculation and management of aircraft noise) in it's entirety.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S9	New Zealand Defence Force	423.20	Amend	Considers that Activity Standard NOISE-S9.2 relates to the calculation and management of aircraft noise with Standard NOISE-S9.2 setting out the 90 day rolling average sound exposure level that all aircraft operations shall meet. The standard is drafted such that Wellington International Airport Limited (WIAL) shall be responsible for the management of all aircraft operations within the Airport Zone to meet the 90 day rolling average sound exposure level set.  the standard as notified does not exempt military aircraft operations from compliance with the 90 day rolling average sound exposure level, and thus NZDF related Aircraft Operations would need to comply (although this is not explicitly stated in the wording of this standard NOISE-S9 as notified).  Seeks an amendment to the activity standard NOISE-S9.2 to apply to all aircraft operations (including NZDF) as opposed to just WIAL.  NZDF would be happy to undertake further discussion with WIAL.	Amend NOISE-S9.2 (Calculation and management of aircraft noise) as follows:  ...  2. <del>The Airport company (WIAL) shall ensure that all</del> All Aircraft Operations shall be managed so that the rolling day 90 day average 24 hour night-weighted sound exposure level does not exceed a Day/night Level (Ldn) of 65dBA outside the Air Noise Boundary shown within the District Plan Maps.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S9	Guardians of the Bays	452.54	Support	Supports NOISE-S9 (Calculations and management of aircraft noise).	Retain NOISE-S9 (Calculations and management of aircraft noise) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S10	Yvonne Weeber	340.98	Support	NOISE-S10 is supported. Supports the standard and assessment criteria for engine testing noise.	Retain NOISE-S10 (Engine testing noise) with amendment.
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S10	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.450	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter.  [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Delete NOISE-S10 (Engine testing noise) in it's entirety.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S10	Guardians of the Bays	452.55	Support	Supports NOISE-S10 (Engine testing noise)	Retain NOISE-S10 (Engine testing noise) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S11	Wellington City Council	266.126	Amend	Considers there is a typo on "LAFmaxli>" and the correction (LAF(Max)) should link to a definition pop-up.	Amend NOISE-S11 (Noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (Main site)) to fix typo as follows and LAF(max) should link to a definition:  1. ... c. All days 10pm to 7am 75 dB LAFmaxli> LAF(max)
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S11	Yvonne Weeber	340.99	Support	NOISE-S11 is supported. Supports the standard and assessment criteria for noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (Main site)	Retain NOISE-S11 (Noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (Main site)) with amendment.
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S11	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.451	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter.  [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Delete NOISE-S11 (Noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (Main site)) in it's entirety.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S11	Guardians of the Bays	452.56	Support	Supports NOISE-S11 (Noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (Main site)).	Retain NOISE-S11 (Noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (Main site)) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S12	Yvonne Weeber	340.100	Support	NOISE-S12 is supported. Supports the standard and assessment criteria for Noise from ground power unites and auxiliary power units (East Side).	Retain NOISE-S12 (Noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (East Side)) with amendment.
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S12	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.452	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter.  [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Delete NOISE-S12 (Noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (East Side)) in it's entirety.



Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S12	Guardians of the Bays	452.57	Support	Supports NOISE-S12 (Noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (East Side)).	Retain NOISE-S12 (Noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (East Side)) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S13	Yvonne Weeber	340.101	Support	NOISE-S13 is supported. Supports the standard for Airport East Side Precinct residential noise mitigation.	Retain NOISE-S13 (Airport East Side Precinct residential noise mitigation) with amendment.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S13	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.302	Oppose in part	NOISE-S13 is opposed as dwellings identified in Attachment 2 of designation WIAL5 are not provided with acoustic insulation in accordance with NOISE-S4, despite being eligible for mechanical ventilation prior to construction activity in the East Precinct. Amendments may be necessary once further clarification and understanding is considered.	Opposes NOISE-S13 (Airport East Side Precinct residential noise mitigation) and seeks amendment.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S13	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.303	Amend	Considers that NOISE-S13 should be amended so that the dwellings identified in Attachment 2 of designation WIAL5 which are eligible for mechanical ventilation prior to construction activity in the East Precinct are also provided with acoustic insulation in accordance with the standards identified in NOISE-S4. The Quieter Homes Programme has a lesser standard of acoustic insulation, requiring they are designed to achieve an indoor design sound Level of 45 dB Ldn or less, whereas NOISE-S4 and NOISE-S5 require acoustic insulation to achieve a minimum external to internal noise reduction for habitable rooms of not less than 30 or 35 dB.	Amend NOISE-S13 (Airport East Side Precinct residential noise mitigation) so that the dwellings identified in Attachment 2 of designation WIAL5 which are eligible for mechanical ventilation prior to construction activity in the East Precinct are also provided with acoustic insulation in accordance with the standards identified in NOISE-S4.
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S13	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.453	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter.  [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Delete NOISE-S13 (Airport East Side Precinct residential noise mitigation) in its entirety.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S13	Guardians of the Bays	452.58	Support	Supports NOISE-S13 (Airport East Side Precinct residential noise mitigation).	Retain NOISE-S13 (Airport East Side Precinct residential noise mitigation) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S14	Yvonne Weeber	340.102	Support	NOISE-S14 is supported. Supports the standard and assessment criteria for Land based noise in the Airport Zone.	Retain NOISE-S14 (Land based noise) with amendment.
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S14	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.454	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter.  Furthermore, there are a range of methods available which can demonstrate where standards are infringed. It is therefore inappropriate for the statement at the end of the assessment criteria, to include the level of specificity stated. It is also not clear what status (if any) this statement has.  [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Opposes NOISE-S14 (Land based noise) and seeks amendment.

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S14	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.455	Amend	<p>Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter.</p> <p>Furthermore, there are a range of methods available which can demonstrate where standards are infringed. It is therefore inappropriate for the statement at the end of the assessment criteria, to include the level of specificity stated. It is also not clear what status (if any) this statement has.</p> <p>[See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]</p>	<p>(Option A). Amend NOISE-S14 (Land based noise) as follows:</p> <p>1. Noise emission levels from any activity within the Airport <del>Zone</del> <u>designations</u>, other than aircraft operations, engine testing and the operation of GPUs and APUs, when measured at any adjoining residential zone, shall not exceed the following limits:</p> <p>a. Monday to <del>Saturday</del> <u>Sunday</u> 7am to 10pm 55 dB LAeq(15min)                      b. At all other times 45 dB LAeq(15min)                      c. All days 10pm to 7am 75 dB LAFmax</p> <p>2. In the East Side Precinct, for the purposes of calculating compliance with this limit, account shall be taken of the cumulative effect of all land based activities undertaken within the Airport <u>Zone</u>, other than aircraft operations, the operation of APUs and any engine testing.</p> <p>Assessment criteria where the standard is infringed:</p> <p>1. Type, intensity and duration of the noise;                      2. Number of annual occurrences;                      3. Mitigation or management measures;                      4. Health and safety;                      5. Effects on internal and external noise amenity for dwellings outside the Airport zone; <u>and</u>                      6. The requirements of NZS 6803:1999 Acoustics – Construction Noise; <u>and</u>                      7. <del>The Airport Noise Management Plan.</del></p> <p><del>In assessing noise effects, data may be used from a continuous noise monitoring station established to confirm compliance and may also be obtained from other locations</del></p>
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S14	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.456	Oppose in part	<p>Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter.</p> <p>Furthermore, there are a range of methods available which can demonstrate where standards are infringed. It is therefore inappropriate for the statement at the end of the assessment criteria, to include the level of specificity stated. It is also not clear what status (if any) this statement has.</p> <p>[See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]</p>	Delete NOISE-S14 (Land based noise) in its entirety. (Option B).
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S14	Guardians of the Bays	452.59	Support	Supports NOISE-S14 (Land based noise).	Retain NOISE-S14 (Land based noise) as notified.
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S15	Yvonne Weeber	340.103	Not specified	[No specific reason given - refer to original submission].	Not specified.
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S15	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.457	Oppose	<p>Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter.</p> <p>Furthermore, many of the conditions here have already been achieved by existing development undertaken by WIAL on site and it is therefore unnecessary for those matters to be dealt with here (as well as in the Designation).</p> <p>[See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]</p>	Opposes NOISE-S15 (Miramar South Precinct) and seeks amendment.

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S15	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.458	Amend	<p>Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter.</p> <p>Furthermore, many of the conditions here have already been achieved by existing development undertaken by WIAL on site and it is therefore unnecessary for those matters to be dealt with here (as well as in the Designation).</p> <p>[See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]</p>	<p>(Option A). Amend NOISE-S15 (Miramar South Precinct) as follows:</p> <p>...</p> <p><del>3. Noise during construction activities shall comply with the requirements of NZS 6803:1999 Acoustics – Construction Noise.</del></p> <p><del>4. A close boarded fence (or other acoustically effective barrier) with a density of at least 10 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and a height of two metres shall be installed around the perimeter of the site excluding site access points. This shall be inspected regularly and maintained to ensure its continued acoustic effectiveness.</del></p> <p><del>5. Entry / egress for trucks shall not be located opposite residential zoned areas. Trucks shall not drive along the Residential zoned parts of Miro Street, Kedah Street, or Kauri Street except where there are specific circumstances where this is necessary.</del></p> <p><del>6. Truck engines shall not be left to idle on the Site and signage shall be placed in appropriate locations within the Site to advise drivers of this requirement. The Airport or its agents shall actively monitor this requirement.</del></p> <p><del>7.3. Building services shall be designed such that noise levels from this source at the Site boundary are at least 10 dB lower than the limits set out in 1 above.</del></p> <p><del>8. All warehouse doors shall be fast closing and shall remain closed at night time unless in use.</del></p> <p>...</p> <p>Assessment criteria where the standard is infringed:</p> <p>...</p> <p>4. Effects on internal and external noise amenity for dwellings outside the Miramar South Precinct; <u>and</u></p> <p>5. The requirements of NZS 6803:1999 Acoustics – Construction Noise,</p> <p><del>6. The Airport Miramar South Construction Noise Management Plan;</del></p> <p><del>7. The acoustic assessment report prepared by the Airport for development of the Site; and</del></p> <p><del>8. The Airport Noise Management Plan.</del></p>
General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S15	Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.459	Oppose in part	<p>Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter.</p> <p>Furthermore, many of the conditions here have already been achieved by existing development undertaken by WIAL on site and it is therefore unnecessary for those matters to be dealt with here (as well as in the Designation).</p> <p>[See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]</p>	Delete NOISE-S15 (Miramar South Precinct) in its entirety. (Option B).
General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S15	Guardians of the Bays	452.60	Not specified	<p>Submitter is 'neutral' on provision.</p> <p>[Refer to original submission for full reason]</p>	Not specified.