

**PROPOSED WELLINGTON CITY DISTRICT PLAN:
HEARING STREAM 9 INFRASTRUCTURE
Chris Horne Summary Statement for Powerco**

1. My evidence only covered a limited number of points arising from Mr Anderson's s42A Report which have all been addressed in Mr Anderson's rebuttal evidence. My brief comments on these are as follows:
2. **Definition of *Regionally Significant Infrastructure***. Mr Anderson has confirmed this is deferred to the Wrap-Up Hearing – noted.
3. **Maintenance and upgrading of existing underground infrastructure in roads, and underground customer connections, in the High Hazard Area of the Coastal Hazard Overlay**. Mr Anderson agrees that maintenance and upgrading of existing underground infrastructure within roads or provision for customer connections in areas of the High Hazard Area of the Coastal Hazard Overlay, where not in the City Centre Zone, should be a permitted activity. Powerco provided an example of an existing gas distribution main at Island Bay that is within this overlay. Mr Anderson recommends the relief as outlined in my evidence be adopted (see paragraph 21 of Mr Andersons' rebuttal evidence). I support this amendment.
4. **Earthworks above Piped Awa (SASM)**. Mr Anderson has confirmed that in his view this would be a permitted activity on the basis any work above piped awa would meet the permitted standards in s42A report version of Rules INF-OL52 (Maintenance and upgrading of existing underground infrastructure) and INF-OL53 (new underground infrastructure). This allows for earthworks subject to various standards including where within ground previously disturbed by infrastructure (which would apply above a piped awa) or is located in a formed road corridor. I am satisfied that the version of these rules attached to Mr Anderson's rebuttal evidence addresses this submission point.
5. **Cabinets in roads in Heritage Overlay**. Mr Anderson agrees it is appropriate to provide for a permitted envelope for utility cabinets in roads in the Heritage Overlay. I agree with his proposed amendments set out in paragraphs 29 and 30 of his rebuttal evidence.