

**Wellington City Proposed District Plan
Report 5A
Noise**

**Appendix 2
Recommended Responses to
Submissions and Further Submissions**

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	40.5	General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Amend	The NOISE chapter has no provisions for the intermittent use of aircraft for agricultural aviation activities.	Seeks that the NOISE chapter includes provisions for the intermittent use of aircraft for agricultural aviation activities as permitted activity.	Accept in part	Yes
Shailesh Kumar Patel	49.1	General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Amend	Considers that current restrictions on subdivision and infill housing in the Air Noise boundary are against the spirit of current government housing solutions and the housing crisis. It disadvantages house owners within the boundary who cannot add value to their property/section.	Seeks that council ease restrictions on subdivision and infill housing in the Air Noise Boundary so that these sites have the development potential available under the MDRS.	Reject	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.74	General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Amend	Considers that the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter should be amended to provide further information on the airport noise issues within the introduction. A major change is occurring with the development of the Outer Air Noise Overlay and it deserves being recognised in the introduction of this chapter.	Amend the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter as follows: ... Some activities that generate noise are exempt from the noise rules set out in this chapter. This is because they are not controlled by the RMA, e.g. vehicles being driven on a road, or aircraft above 1,000 feet in flight over built up areas. In addition, the Civil Aviation Act 1990 imposes certain rules requiring noise abatement procedures for aircraft operating in the vicinity of Wellington International Airport. <u>The air noise boundary overlays (inner and outer) place development restrictions on properties affected by Wellington International Airport.</u>	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.144	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose	WIAL is not opposed to the introduction of this text in principle, however, does not support the drafting as set out in this submission.	Disallow / Seeks that part of the submission be disallowed.	Accept in part	Yes
Yvonne Weeber	340.75	General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Amend	Considers that the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter should be amended to recognise the 2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region in the introduction as these guidelines provide up to date research on adverse effects to aircraft noise on people over an extended period. The 2018 WHO Guidelines are a widely accepted contemporary and authoritative reference on the adverse effects of transportation noise on communities.	Amend the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter to include a reference to the <u>2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region.</u>	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.146	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose	WIAL opposes this amendment to extent that it is not clear of the nature or scale of changes proposed to be introduced by the submitters by including this reference with the Noise chapter of the Proposed Plan.	Disallow	Accept	No
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.34	General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Support	Support	Retain NOISE – Te Oro – Noise as notified.	Accept in part	No
Woolworths New Zealand	359.35	General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Support	Noise levels and standards are generally supported, especially the restricted discretionary activity status where noise standards cannot be complied with.	Retain the Noise chapter as notified.	Accept in part	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Strathmore Park Residents Association Inc	371.1	General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Amend	Considers that a programme not less than the Quieter Homes requirement be also applied to existing homes which become located within the 60dB Outer Air Noise Overlay, and further that the programme be actioned in a much more timely manner than that to date homes within the ANB. The submitters experience to date with properties inside the ANB is that the "Quieter Homes" insulation and ventilation requirement for the defined existing homes within our Suburb has now been delayed for over 20 years since the recommendation became operative & now for 10 years since the detailed proposals and (the 650) house locations were publicly notified. To date the submitter understands that just over 100 existing houses have been treated & also up to 50 or so have been purchased & demolished. Accordingly the submitter requests that a time limit be imposed to provide Quieter Homes remediation from the time that they are formally identified to be within the 60dB contour.	Seeks the addition of rule(s) to require the Quieter Homes ventilation and/or insulation are for existing homes within the 60dB Outer Air Noise Overlay. And impose a time limit to provide the Quieter Homes package in a more timely manner once they are formally identified to be within the 60dB Outer Noise Overlay.	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.148	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose	As set out in WIAL's primary submission, it is inappropriate for the Noise chapter to duplicate aircraft noise management and mitigation measures imposed on WIAL through the recently settled Main Site and East Side Area Designations. Furthermore, the Main Site and East Side Area Designations are not subject to amendment as part of the Proposed Plan review.	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.160	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Support	Kāinga Ora supports the request as this will enhance residential intensification outcomes and a well-functioning urban environment.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
BP Oil New Zealand, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited (the Fuel Companies)	372.99	General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Support	Supports the introduction to the Noise Chapter.	Retain the introduction to the Noise Chapter.	Accept	No
BP Oil New Zealand, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited (the Fuel Companies)	372.100	General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Support	The Noise chapter is generally supported.	Retain the Noise Chapter, with amendment.	Accept in part	Yes
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.284	General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose in part	All rules in the Noise chapter are opposed due to the fact that the technical nature of these breaches requires technical and/or engineering assessments, and public participation by way of limited or public notification will unlikely add anything to the consideration of the effects of these breaches. Amendments sought.	Oposes all Rules in the Noise chapter and seeks amendment.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.149	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose	WIAL opposes the submission to the extent that it would provide for a more flexible land use management framework than WIAL has sought in its primary submission for noise sensitive activities to establish within the Air Noise Boundary and 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary at Wellington International	Disallow	Accept	No
New Zealand Defence Force	FS104.14	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose	Oppose the deletion of all rules in the Noise Chapter.	Disallow	Accept	No
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.114	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	Accept in part	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.114	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	Accept in part	No
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.285	General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Amend	Considers that all rules in the Noise chapter should have a notification preclusion statement (for both public and limited notification) for restricted discretionary activities. The technical nature of these breaches requires technical and/or engineering assessments, and public participation by way of limited or public notification will unlikely add anything to the consideration of the effects of these breaches. Particularly, the notification statuses for SUB-R1 generally relate to the land use activity and associated standards, and the subdivision itself is not generating additional effects that should trigger notification.	Amend all Rules in the Noise chapter to include a notification preclusion statement for activities under Restricted Discretionary as follows: Notification: Applications under this rule are precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with section 95A or section 95B of the RMA.	Reject	No
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.66	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose	Considers it necessary to be notified of activities that cannot comply with noise provisions when located near the rail corridor to ensure KiwiRail has the opportunity to be included in the planning assessment process. Considers the relief sought should be rejected because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore contrary to, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; (b) is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will not enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of people of Wellington City; and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA.	Disallow	Accept	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
New Zealand Defence Force	FS104.15	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose	Oppose proposed amendment to preclude both public and limited notification for activities that would require resource consent as Restricted Discretionary Activity with respect to a rule in the Noise Chapter. Notification decisions should involve consideration of the proposal with respect to the subject site, surrounding environment, proposed effects, along with measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects, in order to reach a conclusion on the overall level of effects in terms of	Disallow	Accept	No

The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.115	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	Reject	No
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.115	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.404	General District wide Matters / NOISE / General NOISE	Amend	Supports the specific recognition afforded to aircraft activities.	Retain NOISE chapter introduction as notified.	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.76	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / General NOISE	Support	Seeks recognition of aircraft noise in the General District Wide Matters for Noise. [Inferred reference to submission 406.404]	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.95	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / General NOISE	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.405	General District wide Matters / NOISE / General NOISE	Amend	Considers that a new suite of policies is required to address the management of noise sensitive activities within the Air Noise Boundary and 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary. [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Add new Policy to NOISE chapter as follows: <u>NOISE-P7 Management of Activities Sensitive to Aircraft Noise:</u> <u>Within the Air Noise Boundary or 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary:</u> <u>1. Avoid the establishment of new noise sensitive activities within the Open Space, Natural Open Space and General Industrial Zones;</u> <u>2. Discourage the establishment of new or the intensification of existing noise sensitive activities within all other zones unless the reverse sensitivity effects on Wellington International Airport can be appropriately avoided.</u>	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.77	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / General NOISE	Oppose	Seeks recognition that there may need to be more policies within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. Guardians of the Bays Incorporated are concerned however that WIAL additions and amendments go too far in relationship to normal activities that occur within the zones of the Air Noise Boundary overlay. Guardians of the Bays Incorporated are also concerned that WIAL proposed changes are based on 'anticipating' (forward forecasting) more noise in the next 30 years within these areas with no actual factual information. Considers that WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise policies, within the plan but not 'restrict' normal development within these areas.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbL s.	Accept	No
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.125	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the new policy which is a duplication of other policies in the NOISE chapter and specific zone chapters.	Disallow	Accept	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.96	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.406	General District wide Matters / NOISE / General NOISE	Amend	Considers that a new suite of policies is required to address the management of noise sensitive activities within the Air Noise Boundary and 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary. [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Add new Policy to NOISE chapter as follows: <u>NOISE-P8: Acoustic treatment of activities sensitive to aircraft noise</u> <u>Require, as necessary, sound insulation and/or mechanical ventilation within any new buildings or any additions or alterations to existing buildings that contain noise sensitive activities within the Air Noise Boundary or 60dB Ldn noise contour.</u>	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.78	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / General NOISE	Oppose	Seeks recognition that there may need to be more policies within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. Guardians of the Bays Incorporated are concerned however that WIAL additions and amendments go too far in relationship to normal activities that occur within the zones of the Air Noise Boundary overlay. Guardians of the Bays Incorporated are also concerned that WIAL proposed changes are based on 'anticipating' (forward forecasting) more noise in the next 30 years within these areas with no actual factual information. Considers that WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise policies, within the plan but not 'restrict' normal development within these areas.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbl s.	Accept	No
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.126	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the new policy which is a duplication of other policies. It is noted that WIAL have not sought to add a definition for the 60dB Ldn noise contour although it is assumed this may be the same as the 60dB Ldn noise boundary. Kāinga Ora opposes any consequential amendments sought by WIAL which remove reference to the Inner and Outer Noise Overlays in favour of reference to the Air Noise Boundary which are clearer	Disallow	Accept	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.97	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.407	General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Amend	Submitter expresses concern about the approach to Aircraft noise and land use effects in the NOISE chapter. Considers that the PDP is more permissive than the NZ Standard for Airport Noise Management and Land Use Planning recommendations, but less permissive than the Operative District Plan. Considers that the decision requested will recognise that aircraft noise is anticipated to increase over time, therefore the noise environment that is experienced now is not the noise environment that will be experienced, 10, 20 or 30 years into the future as aircraft operations increase within the authorised limits of the ANB and 60dB Ldn.	Seeks that all new noise sensitive activities within the Air Noise Boundary or 60dB ldn noise boundary should be subject to a resource consent requirement and WIAL being considered an affected party to any application under section 95E of the RMA.	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.79	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / General NOISE	Oppose	Seeks recognition that there may need to be more policies within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. Guardians of the Bays Incorporated are concerned however that WIAL additions and amendments go too far in relationship to normal activities that occur within the zones of the Air Noise Boundary overlay. Guardians of the Bays Incorporated are also concerned that WIAL proposed changes are based on 'anticipating' (forward forecasting) more noise in the next 30 years within these areas with no actual factual information. Considers that WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise policies, within the plan but not 'restrict' normal development within these areas.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbl s.	Accept in part	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.127	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the decision sought which would result in a significant constraint to development within zones appropriately zoned for noise sensitive activities and where adverse effects can be adequately managed.	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
Strathmore Park Residents Association Incorporated	FS122.1	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose	Considers WIAL is seeking to enforce Resource Consent rules on property owners for noise sensitive activities that are almost all community related. Also they wish their status to be as interested party. Considers this is giving an elevated status to the polluter.	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.98	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.408	General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - see original submission]	Seeks that the Outer Air Noise Overlay is amended to establish a policy framework where resource consents can be rejected within existing residential zones for noise sensitive activities on reverse sensitivity grounds;	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.80	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / General NOISE	Oppose	Seeks recognition that there may need to be more policies within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. Guardians of the Bays Incorporated are concerned however that WIAL additions and amendments go too far in relationship to normal activities that occur within the zones of the Air Noise Boundary overlay. Guardians of the Bays Incorporated are also concerned that WIAL proposed changes are based on 'anticipating' (forward forecasting) more noise in the next 30 years within these areas with no actual factual information. Considers that WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise policies, within the plan but not 'restrict' normal development within these areas.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbLs.	Accept	No
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.128	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the decision sought on the basis that adverse effects from noise can be appropriately managed and the concept of reverse sensitivity is not supported.	Disallow	Accept	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.99	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.104	General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Support in part	Supports the inclusion of noise controls, however, has proposed the standard noise control sought by KiwiRail which provides an alternative framework for developers to achieve a compliance pathway, thus providing greater flexibility. KiwiRail is also seeking vibration controls to ensure that vibration effects are appropriately addressed.	Supports the inclusion of noise controls, with amendments.	Accept in part	Yes
Save Our Venues	445.5	General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Not specified	Considers that live music venues, which are an integral part of the cultural identity of Wellington, are confronted with the possibility of closure where residential urban densification has not coincided with adequate protections within the current WCC District Plan for their intrinsic need to produce sound. Considers that protecting established and new venues in the urban mixed-use areas is crucial and would significantly contribute to a sustainable future for live music in the city. Considers that there is a reality that a new neighbour has the right to complain about the sound output of a music venue and restrict their ability to operate at a reasonable volume is an existential threat to their continued viability.	Not specified.	Accept in part	Yes
Save Our Venues	445.6	General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Amend	Considers that there are significant issues with current Noise Control enforcement processes. Considers that the current methodology of measuring sound is subjective and places the onus on the complainant. Considers that a model where measuring the sound at the boundary of the property from where the sound is emanating, as well as inside the complainant's property, would enable Council to ensure that both the venue and the residential property are compliant with noise standards and acoustic mitigation standards respectively. This will allow for mediation between both parties and ensure reasonable steps can be taken to mitigate noise before the venue is restricted in its ability to operate at all. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Seeks that a standardised objective model for Noise control should be incorporated into the new District Plan.	Reject	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Guardians of the Bays	452.29	General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Amend	Considers amendments are required to the introduction to provide further information on the airport noise issues within the introduction. A major change is occurring with the development of the Outer Air Noise Overlay and it deserves being recognised in the introduction of this chapter.	Amend paragraph two of the introduction as follows: <u>The air noise boundary overlays (inner and outer) place development restrictions on properties affected by Wellington International Airport.</u>	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays	452.30	General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Amend	Considers amendments are required to introduction to recognise the 2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region in the introduction as these guidelines provide up to date research on adverse effects to aircraft noise on people over an extended period. The 2018 WHO Guidelines are a widely accepted contemporary and authoritative reference on the adverse effects of transportation noise on communities.	Amend introduction to include the wording as follows: <u>2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region.</u>	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.145	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose	WIAL is not opposed to the introduction of this text in principle, however, does not support the drafting as set out in this submission.	Disallow / Seeks that part of the submission be disallowed.	Accept	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.147	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose	WIAL opposes this amendment to extent that it is not clear of the nature or scale of changes proposed to be introduced by the submitters by including this reference with the Noise chapter of the Proposed Plan.	Disallow	Accept	No
New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	40.6	General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Amend	Considers that the PDP should provide for the intermittent use of rural airstrips and helicopter landing areas by agricultural aircraft for the purposes of agricultural aviation including primary production and conservation purposes as a permitted activity.	Add a new rule NOISE-R14 (General Rural Zone, Open Space Zone and Natural Open Space Zone) as follows: <u>General Rural Zone, Open Space Zone and Natural Open Space Zone</u> <u>Activity Status: Permitted:</u> <u>Agricultural aviation noise for the purposes of agricultural aviation activities for primary production and conservation purposes.</u>	Accept in part	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.157	General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Amend	<p>Considers that due to urban growth, population changes and commitments to response times, FENZ may need to locate anywhere within the urban and rural environment.</p> <p>Noise will be produced on site by operational activities such as cleaning and maintaining equipment, training activities and noise produced by emergency sirens. Training may take place anywhere between 7:00am and 10:00pm. Cleaning and maintenance will generally take place during the day; however, it can take place after a call out which can occur at any time. Generally, FENZ has assessed that a fire station will be capable of meeting the standards set out in NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3 - Guideline residential upper noise limits), with the exemption of noise created by emergency sirens (discussed later).</p> <p>Some of the noise limits within residential zones in the district plan are more restrictive than those provided for in NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3 - Guideline residential upper noise limits). In order to ensure that fire stations and associated training activities can take place in residential areas in compliance with the District Plan, it is necessary that a new or amended rule makes an allowance for such essential activities within both urban and rural environments, within reasonable limits. It is considered that the standards within NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3) set reasonable limits for the protection of residential amenity in accordance with NOISE1P1.</p> <p>Notwithstanding the above, it is not possible for emergency sirens to comply with NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3). Sirens play a crucial role in facilitating a prompt emergency response and can be the most effect means of communication in alerting volunteers who generally live and work in close proximity to fire stations. Sirens also provide assurance to the people who have made the call and the general public that help is on its way.</p> <p>Allowing noise associated with the operation of emergency services provides for the operational requirements of FENZ and enables it to meet its statutory obligations in a manner that provides for the ongoing health and safety of people and communities.</p> <p>As such, FENZ has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated</p>	<p>Add new NOISE objective:</p> <p><u>NOISE-OX</u> Where the locational, functional or operational needs are such that activities of importance to the community could not otherwise meet noise and vibration standards, enable these activities by allowing a whole or partial exemption, or relaxation, from those noise standards.</p>	Reject	No
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.158	General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Amend	<p>Considers that due to urban growth, population changes and commitments to response times, FENZ may need to locate anywhere within the urban and rural environment.</p> <p>Noise will be produced on site by operational activities such as cleaning and maintaining equipment, training activities and noise produced by emergency sirens. Training may take place anywhere between 7:00am and 10:00pm. Cleaning and maintenance will generally take place during the day; however, it can take place after a call out which can occur at any time. Generally, FENZ has assessed that a fire station will be capable of meeting the standards set out in NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3 - Guideline residential upper noise limits), with the exemption of noise created by emergency sirens (discussed later).</p> <p>Some of the noise limits within residential zones in the district plan are more restrictive than those provided for in NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3 - Guideline residential upper noise limits). In order to ensure that fire stations and associated training activities can take place in residential areas in compliance with the District Plan, it is necessary that a new or amended rule makes an allowance for such essential activities within both urban and rural environments, within reasonable limits. It is considered that the standards within NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3) set reasonable limits for the protection of residential amenity in accordance with NOISE1P1.</p> <p>Notwithstanding the above, it is not possible for emergency sirens to comply with NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3). Sirens play a crucial role in facilitating a prompt emergency response and can be the most effect means of communication in alerting volunteers who generally live and work in close proximity to fire stations. Sirens also provide assurance to the people who have made the call and the general public that help is on its way. Allowing noise associated with the operation of emergency services provides for the operational requirements of FENZ and enables it to meet its statutory obligations in a manner that provides for the ongoing health and safety of people and communities.</p> <p>As such, FENZ has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated by emergency sirens.</p>	<p>Add new NOISE policy:</p> <p><u>NOISE-PX</u> To allow a marginal relaxation of noise standards, but not exceeding National Standards, where the noise generating activity is of importance to the safety of the community, such as the operation of emergency services.</p>	Reject	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.159	General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Amend	<p>Considers that due to urban growth, population changes and commitments to response times, FENZ may need to locate anywhere within the urban and rural environment.</p> <p>Noise will be produced on site by operational activities such as cleaning and maintaining equipment, training activities and noise produced by emergency sirens. Training may take place anywhere between 7:00am and 10:00pm. Cleaning and maintenance will generally take place during the day; however, it can take place after a call out which can occur at any time. Generally, FENZ has assessed that a fire station will be capable of meeting the standards set out in NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3 - Guideline residential upper noise limits), with the exemption of noise created by emergency sirens (discussed later).</p> <p>Some of the noise limits within residential zones in the district plan are more restrictive than those provided for in NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3 - Guideline residential upper noise limits). In order to ensure that fire stations and associated training activities can take place in residential areas in compliance with the District Plan, it is necessary that a new or amended rule makes an allowance for such essential activities within both urban and rural environments, within reasonable limits. It is considered that the standards within NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3) set reasonable limits for the protection of residential amenity in accordance with NOISEIP1.</p> <p>Notwithstanding the above, it is not possible for emergency sirens to comply with NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3). Sirens play a crucial role in facilitating a prompt emergency response and can be the most effect means of communication in alerting volunteers who generally live and work in close proximity to fire stations. Sirens also provide assurance to the people who have made the call and the general public that help is on its way. Allowing noise associated with the operation of emergency services provides for the operational requirements of FENZ and enables it to meet its statutory obligations in a manner that provides for the ongoing health and safety of people and communities.</p> <p>As such, FENZ has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated by emergency sirens.</p>	<p>Add new NOISE policy:</p> <p><u>NOISE-PX</u> <u>To allow noisy activities of limited duration and frequency which are of importance to the community, such as noise associated with the operation of emergency services and temporary military training activities, subject to appropriate controls.</u></p>	Reject	No
New Zealand Defence Force	FS104.12	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Support	<p>Support the intent of including a new Noise Policy on the basis that noise generating activities that are of importance to the community, such as temporary military training activities (TMTA), should be provided for in the Proposed Plan, subject to appropriate controls. However, if the submitters relief is accepted, a new definition of "activities of importance to the community" should be included in Part 1 – Introduction and General Provisions – Definitions, of the Plan. NZDF also seeks to clarify that the majority of TMTA are not typically "noisy activities". Higher noise generating TMTA is limited to noise resulting from discharge of ammunition or explosives, which is subject to specific management through the District Plan. Such activities are much less likely to take place than the essential activities</p>	<p>Amend / Seeks that the submission be allowed in part to include the proposed new Noise Policy.</p> <p>If the submitter's relief is accepted, then define "Activities of Importance to the Community" in Part 1 of the Proposed Plan.</p>	Reject	No
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.160	General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Amend	<p>Notes that due to urban growth, population changes and commitments to response times, FENZ may need to locate anywhere within the urban and rural environment. Noise will be produced on site by operational activities such as cleaning and maintaining equipment, training activities and noise produced by emergency sirens. Training may take place anywhere between 7:00am and 10:00pm. Cleaning and maintenance will generally take place during the day; however, it can take place after a call out which can occur at any time. Generally, FENZ has assessed that a fire station will be capable of meeting the standards set out in NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3 - Guideline residential upper noise limits), with the exemption of noise created by emergency sirens.</p> <p>Some of the noise limits within residential zones in the district plan are more restrictive than those provided for in NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3 - Guideline residential upper noise limits). In order to ensure that fire stations and associated training activities can take place in residential areas in compliance with the District Plan, it is necessary that a new or amended rule makes an allowance for such essential activities within both urban and rural environments. For reasons set out above for new objective NOISE -O3 and policy NOISE -P5, FENZ also has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated by emergency sirens. FENZ therefore also seeks the addition of an exemption for noise activity under new rule NOISE -R16 to ensure that emergency</p>	<p>Add new NOISE rule:</p> <p><u>NOISE -RX:</u> <u>Noise from Emergency Services Facilities and Temporary Emergency Services Training Activity (All zones)</u> <u>1. Activity status: Permitted</u> <u>Where:</u> <u>a. Compliance is achieved with the following standards:</u> <u>i. NOISE-S1 or</u> <u>ii. NOISE -S13.</u> <u>b. The noise source is a warning device or siren (including their routine testing and maintenance) associated with an Emergency Service Activity or Temporary Emergency Services Training Activity when measured within the site boundary of a receiving site, or within the notional boundary of rural dwellings or habitable buildings.</u></p>	Reject	No
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.161	General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Amend	<p>As noted in the previous submission point, FENZ proposes a new standard that has a partial relaxation on some of the DP's residential noise standards to allow for the operational needs of fire stations in residential areas.</p>	<p>Add new NOISE standard:</p> <p><u>NOISE-SX</u> <u>Noise emitted from Emergency Services Facilities and Temporary Emergency Services Training Activity within All Zones as received in other areas (All Zones) must not exceed the guideline residential upper noise limits set out in NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3 - Guideline residential upper noise limits), as identified below, unless otherwise permitted in accordance with NOISE-S1.</u></p> <p><u>Table X – Guideline residential upper noise limits</u> <u>Daytime: 55dB LAeq (15min)</u> <u>Evening: 50dB LAeq (15min)</u> <u>Night-time: 45dB LAeq (15min)</u> <u>Night-time Lmax: 75dB LAFmax</u></p>	Reject	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Bruce Crothers	319.15	General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Amend	Considers that there should be stronger noise restrictions for aircraft and stricter limits on the number of flights unless they are electrically powered and much quieter.	Seeks stronger noise restrictions for aircrafts, including limits on the number of flights allowed.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.150	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose	As set out in WIAL's primary submission, it is inappropriate for the Noise chapter to duplicate aircraft noise management and mitigation measures imposed on WIAL through the recently settled Main Site and East Side Area Designations. Furthermore, the Main Site and East Side Area Designations are not subject to amendment as part of the Proposed Plan review.	Disallow	Accept	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.409	General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Amend	[See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Add new Objective to NOISE chapter as follows: NOISE-O3: <u>Reverse sensitivity effects on Wellington International Airport</u> <u>Wellington International Airport is protected from reverse sensitivity effects.</u>	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.81	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Oppose	Seeks recognition that there may need to be more policies within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. Guardians of the Bays Incorporated are concerned however that WIAL additions and amendments go too far in relationship to normal activities that occur within the zones of the Air Noise Boundary overlay. Guardians of the Bays Incorporated are also concerned that WIAL proposed changes are based on 'anticipating' (forward forecasting) more noise in the next 30 years within these areas with no actual factual information. Considers that WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise policies, within the plan but not 'restrict' normal development within these areas.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbL s.	Accept	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.83	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated recognise reverse sensitivity issues but are concerned the changes are too onerous on residential property owners to 'remedy and mitigate' against all Wellington Airports noise which is 'protected'. [Inferred reference to submission 406.409]	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbL s.	Accept	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.85	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated recognise that there may need to be more objectives, Standards, within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. However, these are major changes to the plan that will create major hardship in the additional burden of acoustic treatments that need to be resolved in some way between the property owner and the noise producer Wellington International Airport. WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise objectives and standards in the plan but not put undue financial burden on the homeowners in these properties to undertake modifications and additions.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbL s.	Accept	No
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.129	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the introduction of the proposed objective which has the potential to unnecessarily constrain urban development where adverse effects from existing noise generating activities such as the airport can be appropriately managed.	Disallow	Accept	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.100	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.410	General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Amend	[See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Add new Objective to NOISE chapter as follows: NOISE-O4: <u>Noise Management at Wellington International Airport</u> <u>The adverse effects of noise from Wellington International Airport on noise sensitive activities are appropriately remedied or mitigated.</u>	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.84	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated recognise reverse sensitivity issues but are concerned the changes are too onerous on residential property owners to 'remedy and mitigate' against all Wellington Airports noise which is 'protected'. [Inferred reference to submission 406.410]	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbL s.	Accept	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.86	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated recognise that there may need to be more objectives, Standards, within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. However, these are major changes to the plan that will create major hardship in the additional burden of acoustic treatments that need to be resolved in some way between the property owner and the noise producer Wellington International Airport. WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise objectives and standards in the plan but not put undue financial burden on the homeowners in these properties to undertake modifications and additions.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbL.	Accept	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.101	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.411	General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Amend	[See paragraphs 4.65 to 4.72 of original submission for full reason] [Submitter is seeking independent expert advice on the specific ventilation requirements. NOISE-S17 is drafted based on the general structure of NOISE-S6, with the starting assumption being that the Council has undertaken technical assessments to confirm the standard is fit for purpose. Accordingly submitter reserves its position on this matter in the context of this submission]	Add new Standard to NOISE chapter as follows: <u>NOISE-S16 Acoustic Treatment – Noise Sensitive Activities within the Air Noise Boundary or 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary</u> <u>All Zones</u> <u>1. Any new habitable room within the Air Noise Boundary or 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary must be designed and constructed to achieve an internal level of Ldn 40dB with doors and windows closed. The certification of an approved acoustical engineer will be accepted as evidence that the design meets the insulation standard.</u>	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.82	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Oppose	Seeks recognition that there may need to be more policies within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. Guardians of the Bays Incorporated are concerned however that WIAL additions and amendments go too far in relationship to normal activities that occur within the zones of the Air Noise Boundary overlay. Guardians of the Bays Incorporated are also concerned that WIAL proposed changes are based on 'anticipating' (forward forecasting) more noise in the next 30 years within these areas with no actual factual information. Considers that WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise policies, within the plan but not 'restrict' normal development within these areas.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbL s.	Accept	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.87	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated recognise that there may need to be more objectives, Standards, within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. However, these are major changes to the plan that will create major hardship in the additional burden of acoustic treatments that need to be resolved in some way between the property owner and the noise producer Wellington International Airport. WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise objectives and standards in the plan but not put undue financial burden on the homeowners in these properties to undertake modifications and additions.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbL.	Accept	No
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.130	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the new standard which is a duplication of standards NOISE-S4 and NOISE-S5.	Disallow	Accept	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.102	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.412	General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Amend	[See paragraphs 4.65 to 4.72 of original submission for full reason] [Submitter is seeking independent expert advice on the specific ventilation requirements. NOISE-S17 is drafted based on the general structure of NOISE-S6, with the starting assumption being that the Council has undertaken technical assessments to confirm the standard is fit for purpose. Accordingly submitter reserves its position on this matter in the context of this submission]	Add new Standard to NOISE chapter as follows: <u>NOISE-S17 Acoustic Treatment – Noise Sensitive Activities within the Air Noise Boundary or 60B Ldn Noise Boundary</u> <u>All Zones</u> <u>1. The internal design level in NOISE-S16 must be achieved at the same time as the ventilation requirements of the New Zealand Building Code. An alternative means of ventilation must be provided unless compliance with the above acoustic insulation standards can be met with ventilating windows open.</u> <u>2. Where a habitable room relies on openable windows to meet the ventilation requirements of the New Zealand Building Code, and where these windows must remain closed to achieve compliance with NOISE-S4, a positive supplementary source of fresh air ducted from outside is required at the time of fit-out. For the purposes of this requirement, a bedroom is any room intended to be used for sleeping. The supplementary source of air is to achieve a minimum of 7.5 litres per second per person; and</u> <u>3. Confirmation of compliance with this standard will be required by a qualified professional.</u>	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.88	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated recognise that there may need to be more objectives, Standards, within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. However, these are major changes to the plan that will create major hardship in the additional burden of acoustic treatments that need to be resolved in some way between the property owner and the noise producer Wellington International Airport. WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise objectives and standards in the plan but not put undue financial burden on the homeowners in these properties to undertake modifications and additions.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbL.	Accept	No
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.131	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the new standard which is a duplication of standard NOISE-S6.	Disallow	Accept	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.103	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.413	General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - see original submission]	Seeks a requirement that acoustic treatment and/or mechanical ventilation for new, or additions or alterations to existing buildings containing noise sensitive activities.	Accept in part	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.89	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated recognise that there may need to be more objectives, Standards, within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. However, these are major changes to the plan that will create major hardship in the additional burden of acoustic treatments that need to be resolved in some way between the property owner and the noise producer Wellington International Airport. WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise objectives and standards in the plan but not put undue financial burden on the homeowners in these properties to undertake modifications and additions.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbL.	Accept in part	No
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.67	General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Support	Supports provisions requiring noise sensitive activities to have appropriate acoustic treatment and mechanical ventilation. Considers the relief sought should be allowed because it will (a) will promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore consistent with Part 2 and other provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and the Enabling Housing Supply Amendment Act 2021 (Amendment Act); (b) is consistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of the people of Wellington	Allow	Accept in part	No
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.132	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the new standard would be duplication of standards NOISE-S4 and NOISE-S5. These standards already apply to alterations and additions to existing buildings.	Disallow	Accept in part	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.104	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept in part	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.414	General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - see original submission]	Seeks that standalone reverse sensitivity requirements are added for noise sensitive activities within the Air Noise Boundary and Outer Air Noise Overlay.	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.90	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated recognise that there may need to be more objectives, Standards, within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. However, these are major changes to the plan that will create major hardship in the additional burden of acoustic treatments that need to be resolved in some way between the property owner and the noise producer Wellington International Airport. WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise objectives and standards in the plan but not put undue financial burden on the homeowners in these properties to undertake modifications and additions.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbL.	Accept	No
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.133	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the decision sought as it is unclear what the additional requirements would achieve, however, the requirements would unnecessarily constrain urban development where effects can be appropriately mitigated.	Disallow	Accept	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.105	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.415	General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - see original submission]	Seeks that military aircraft operations are given their own provisions within the NOISE chapter, where WIAL does not have control over the military aircraft operations.	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.91	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Support	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated support military aircraft being subject to their own rules and standards where WIAL does not have control over military aircraft operations at Wellington International Airport.	Allow	Reject	No
New Zealand Defence Force	FS104.13	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Oppose	Amend the Noise Chapter as set out in NZDF's original submission (referenced by Council in the summary of submissions as 'NZDF Submission Point 423.20'). NZDF would be happy to undertake further discussion with WIAL on this matter.	Disallow	Accept	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.106	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.105	General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Amend	Supports an alternative rule and standard framework. Seeks a new standard NOISE-SX to provide options for developers in achieving an appropriate level of amenity for residents who live within 100m of the rail corridor. KiwiRail seeks that this standard be considered under the Part One Schedule 1 process of the RMA.	Add NOISE-SX as follows: [Refer to original submission for full detail of new NOISE-SX including a table detailing building type, occupancy/activity, and maximum railway noise level LAeq(1h)]. <u>Within 100m of a Railway Corridor</u> <u>Indoor railway noise</u> <u>1. Any new building or alteration to an existing building that contains an activity sensitive to noise where the building or alteration:</u> <u>(a) Shall be designed, constructed and maintained to achieve indoor design noise levels resulting from the railway not exceeding the maximum values in the following table; or</u> <u>(b) is at least 50 metres from any railway network, and is designed so that a noise barrier completely blocks line-of-sight from all parts of doors and windows, to all points 3.8 metres above railway tracks</u> <u>Indoor railway vibration</u> <u>2. Any new buildings or alterations to existing buildings containing an activity sensitive to noise, closer than 60 metres from the boundary of a railway network:</u> <u>(a) is designed, constructed and maintained to achieve rail vibration levels not exceeding 0.3 mm/s.vw,95 or</u> <u>(b) is a single-storey framed residential building with:</u> <u>i. a constant level floor slab on a full-surface vibration isolation bearing with natural frequency not exceeding 10 Hz, installed in accordance with the supplier's instructions and recommendations; and</u> <u>ii. vibration isolation separating the sides of the floor slab from the ground; and</u> <u>iii. no rigid connections between the building and the ground.</u> <u>3. A report is submitted to the council demonstrating compliance with clauses (1) to (2) above (as relevant) prior to the construction or alteration of any building containing an activity sensitive to noise. In the design:</u> <u>(a) railway noise is assumed to be 70 LAeq(1h) at a distance of 12 metres from the track, and must be deemed to reduce at a rate of 3 dB per doubling of distance up to 40 metres and 6 dB per doubling of distance beyond 40 metres.</u> <u>Assessment criteria where the standard is infringed:</u>	Accept in part	Yes
Onslow Residents Community Association	FS80.44	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Support	Supports noise and vibration requirements for developments near the railway corridor.	Allow / Seeks to incorporate changes as outlined by the submitter.	Accept in part	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.31	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / New Noise	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the relief sought. Kāinga Ora considers that effects should only be mitigated by noise sensitive activities in the receiving environment following adopting of the Best Practicable Option ("BPO") to minimise and mitigate at source and in the vicinity of the corridor the off-site effects as far as possible. Restrictions on neighbouring noise sensitive activities should be no more stringent than necessary. Any such controls should be informed by evidential noise modelling.	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
Stride Investment Management Limited	FS107.21	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Oppose	Stride is opposed to the insertion of a new noise standard within 100m of the rail corridor. It is inappropriate to apply these requirements to such a great distance from the railway corridor, especially in the case of Johnsonville where there are no through trains or freight.	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
Investore Property Limited	FS108.21	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Oppose	Investore is opposed to the insertion of a new noise standard within 100m of the rail corridor. It is inappropriate to apply these requirements to such a great distance from the railway corridor, especially in the case of Johnsonville where there are no through trains or freight.	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.170	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Oppose	The RVA acknowledges that acoustic insulation for noise and vibration may be appropriate in some areas located within or adjacent to high noise areas with a purpose of providing protection / amenity to residents in such areas. The RVA considers however that such requirements need to be determined on a case-by-case basis, with consideration given to the distance of noise sensitive	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.170	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Oppose	Ryman acknowledges that acoustic insulation for noise and vibration may be appropriate in some areas located within or adjacent to high noise areas with a purpose of providing protection / amenity to residents in such areas. Ryman considers however that such requirements need to be determined on a case-by-case basis, with consideration given to the distance of noise sensitive activities from	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays	452.31	General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Amend	Considers an amendment to Rule four as Wellington Airport International Airport Limited Designation for the East Side Area does not allow take-off or landing within the designation as per condition 34	Add new category of activity in NOISE-R4 as follows: <u>Activity Status: Prohibited activity</u> <u>Where no activity for the landing and take-off of helicopter will be granted within the East Side Area designation.</u>	Reject	No
Wellington Helicopters	F55.2	Part 2/General District Wide Matters / Noise / New Noise	Oppose	There is already provisions in the airport noise plan to mitigate this.	Disallow	Accept	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.151	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose	As set out in WIAL's primary submission, it is inappropriate for the Noise chapter to duplicate aircraft noise management and mitigation measures imposed on WIAL through the recently settled Main Site and East Side Area Designations. Furthermore, the Main Site and East Side Area Designations are not subject to amendment as part of the Proposed Plan review.	Disallow	Accept	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.92	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Support	Wellington Airport International Airport Limited Designation for the East Side Area does not allow take-off or landing within the designation as per condition 34.	Allow / Seeks that the submission point and addition of wording be allowed to add a new category of activity in NOISE-R4 as follows: <u>Activity Status: Prohibited activity</u> <u>Where no activity for the landing and take-off of helicopter will be granted within the East Side Area designation.</u>	Reject	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Yvonne Weeber	340.76	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-01	Support	NOISE-01 is supported. The management of noise generating activities such as the airport and consideration of the effects it has on people's health and wellbeing are supported.	Retain Objective NOISE-01 (Managing noise generation and effects) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Waka Kotahi	370.211	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-01	Support	Supports the inclusion of this objective to protect the health and amenity of occupants from noise. Waka Kotahi promotes the protection of noise sensitive activities from adverse noise and effects in the existing environment	Retain NOISE-01 (Managing noise generation and effects) as notified.	Accept in part	No
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.68	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-01	Support	Considers the relief sought should be allowed because it will (a) will promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore consistent with Part 2 and other provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and the Enabling Housing Supply Amendment Act 2021 (Amendment Act); (b) is consistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of the people of Wellington	Allow	Accept in part	No
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.286	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-01	Support in part	Objective NOISE-01 is partially supported and an amendment is sought.	Retain Objective NOISE-01 (Managing noise generation and effects) with amendment.	Accept in part	Yes
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.116	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-01	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	Accept in part	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.116	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-01	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	Accept in part	Yes
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.287	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-01	Amend	Considers that Objective NOISE-01 should be amended to articulate the balance more clearly between providing for noise generating activities, whilst appropriately managing effects on the community. Amendments sought.	Amend Objective NOISE-01 (Managing noise generation and effects) as follows: Amenity values and peoples' health and well-being are <u>not compromised</u> protected from adverse noise <u>generating activities levels</u> , consistent with the anticipated outcomes for the receiving environment.	Accept in part	Yes
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS103.16	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-01	Oppose	The original wording is considered to be better for ensuring a healthy noise environment for residents.	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.117	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-01	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	Accept in part	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.117	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-01	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.416	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-01	Oppose	[See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason] Opposes NOISE-01 (Managing noise generation effects).	Not specified.	Reject	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.107	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-01	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.32	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-01	Support	Supports the management of noise generating activities such as the airport and consideration of the effects it has on people's health and wellbeing.	Retain NOISE-01 (Managing noise generation and effects) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.162	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-02	Support	Supports the objective as it seeks to protect existing and authorised noise generating activities from reverse sensitivity effects.	Retain NOISE-02 (Reverse sensitivity) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.77	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-02	Not specified	Considers that authorised activities that generate high levels of noise should be constantly reducing their noise levels through different technology and management therefore, reducing the need to be 'protected from reverse sensitivity effects'.	Not specified	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.152	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-02	Oppose	Considers that reverse sensitivity is a significant issue for WIAL. While WIAL continues to explore opportunities to reduce the noise generated from its activities at Wellington International Airport, this is separate management function to that of requiring adjacent land use activities establishing	Disallow	Accept	No
Waka Kotahi	370.212	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-02	Support	Supports the inclusion of this objective to protect existing and authorised activities that generate high levels of noise. This will enable the continued operation of existing state highway operations.	Retain NOISE-02 (Reverse sensitivity) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.288	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-02	Oppose	Objective NOISE-02 is opposed, as noise generating activities should not compromise health and wellbeing. Deletion is sought.	Delete Objective NOISE-02 (Reverse sensitivity) in its entirety.	Reject	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.69	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2	Oppose	Rejects the deletion of the objective to protect rail activities from reverse sensitivity effects. Considers the relief sought should be rejected because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore contrary to, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; (b) is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will not enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of people of Wellington City; and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the	Disallow	Accept	No
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS103.17	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2	Oppose	It is appropriate that the plan recognise the listed noise emitters and acknowledge the contribution they make to the 4 well-beings.	Disallow	Accept	No
New Zealand Defence Force	FS104.11	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2	Oppose	Oppose the deletion of objective NOISE-O2. It is appropriate for the policy direction of the Proposed Plan to protect existing and authorised activities that generate high levels of noise from reverse sensitivity effects.	Disallow / Reject submitter's relief and retain Objective NOISE-O2 as notified.	Accept	No
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.118	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	Reject	No
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.118	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.417	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-O2	Oppose	[See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]. Opposes NOISE-O2 (Reverse Sensitivity).	Not specified.	Reject	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.108	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-O2	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.106	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2	Support	Supports the recognition of the value and function of existing rail activities, and the aim to protect these activities from reverse sensitivity effects. The Wellington yard, ferry terminal, Johnsonville Line, Wairarapa Line and North Island Main Trunk are existing assets which extend through Wellington	Retain NOISE-O2 (Reverse sensitivity) as notified.	Accept in part	No
New Zealand Defence Force	423.13	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2	Support	Considers that protecting existing and authorised activities that generate high levels of noise from reverse sensitivity effects, such as the Point Jerningham Saluting Battery Site and operations at the Rongotai Air Movement Terminal, is important and appropriate.	Retain NOISE-O2 (Reverse sensitivity) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.33	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2	Not specified	The submitter considers authorised activities that generate high levels of noise should be constantly reducing their noise levels through different technology and management therefore, reducing the need to be 'protected from reverse sensitivity effects'.	Not specified.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.153	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2	Oppose	Considers that reverse sensitivity is a significant issue for WIAL. While WIAL continues to explore opportunities to reduce the noise generated from its activities at Wellington International Airport, this is separate management function to that of requiring adjacent land use activities establishing that give rise to reverse sensitivity effects.	Disallow	Accept	No
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.163	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P1	Support	Supports the policy as it permits noise generation within reasonable limits. For reasons set out above for new objective NOISE-OX and policy NOISE-PX, FENZ has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated by emergency sirens, whilst also having more flexible noise limits in residential areas to allow for day-to-day operational activities. FENZ therefore seeks the addition of a new rule to allow for more permissible noise activity in association with emergency service operations to ensure that FENZ is able to meet its statutory obligations in a manner that	Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.78	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P1	Support	NOISE-P1 is supported. The provision seeks to maintain amenity values of the receiving environment in a way that does not compromise the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities.	Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.289	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P1	Support in part	NOISE-P1 is supported as it enables the generation of noise where appropriate, but an amendment is sought.	Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) with amendment.	Accept in part	No
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.119	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P1	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	Accept in part	No
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.119	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P1	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	Accept in part	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.290	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P1	Amend	Considers that NOISE-P1 should be amended to not require amenity values to be maintained. The District Plan should recognise that amenity values change over time.	Amend NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as follows: Enable the generation of noise from activities that: 1. <u>Maintain</u> <u>Are compatible with the anticipated</u> amenity values of the receiving environment; and 2. Does not compromise the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities	Accept in part	Yes
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.70	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P1	Oppose	Rejects the amendment sought as it fails to protect rail activities from reverse sensitivity effects. It is possible to maintain neighbouring amenity and enable noise generating activities with appropriate controls and KiwiRail considers that the policy, as notified, recognises this. Considers the relief sought should be rejected because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore contrary to, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; (b) is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will not enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of people of Wellington City; and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS103.18	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P1	Support	Amenity values are anticipated to change over time. As more intensive development occurs in the city, that may include rising levels of background noise (but should remain consistent with accepted levels of indoor noise).	Allow / That the submission in relation to changing over time be allowed.	Accept in part	Yes
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.120	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P1	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	Accept in part	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.120	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P1	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.418	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P1	Support in part	Supports this policy insofar as it relates to the management of land based noise emanating from the Airport Zone.	Supports NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) and seeks amendment.	Reject	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.109	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P1	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.419	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P1	Amend	Supports this policy insofar as it relates to the management of land based noise emanating from the Airport Zone.	Amend NOISE-P1 (general management of noise) as follows: NOISE-P1 General Management of noise Enable the generation of <u>land based</u> noise from activities that: ... (Option A).	Reject	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.110	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P1	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.420	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P1	Oppose	Supports this policy insofar as it relates to the management of land based noise emanating from the Airport Zone.	Delete NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) in its entirety. (Option B).	Reject	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.111	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P1	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
New Zealand Defence Force	423.14	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P1	Support	Considers that providing for noise generating activities that maintain the amenity values of the receiving environment and do not compromise the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities is appropriate.	Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.34	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P1	Support	Supports the general management of noise to maintain amenity values of the receiving environment in a way that does not compromise the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities.	Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.79	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P2	Not specified	[No specific reason given - refer to original submission].	Not specified.	Accept in part	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Waka Kotahi	370.213	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P2	Support	Supports the proposed policy which enables construction activity subject to appropriate management of effects. Construction is an essential activity in relation to the state highway network and it is not always practicable to achieve specific noise limits, so the approach should be to focus on	Retain NOISE-P2 (Construction noise) as notified.	Accept	No
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.291	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P2	Support	NOISE-P2 is generally supported.	Retain NOISE-P2 (Construction noise) as notified.	Accept	No
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.121	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P2	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	Reject	No
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.121	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P2	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.421	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P2	Support	Supports NOISE-P2	Retain NOISE-P2 (Construction noise) as notified.	Accept	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.112	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P2	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.35	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P2	Not specified	Submitter is 'neutral' on provision. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Not specified.	Accept	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.80	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P3	Not specified	[No specific reason given - refer to original submission].	Not specified.	Accept	No
Waka Kotahi	370.214	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P3	Support	Supports the proposed policy which provides for higher noise levels to be generated within State Highway networks. This protects the continued operation of the existing state highway operations and the associated noise effects	Retain NOISE-P3 (Higher noise areas) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.292	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P3	Oppose in part	NOISE-P3 is partially opposed, despite the support of the provision of a higher noise level to be able to be generated in the identified zones. The policy as notified reads overly ambiguous with no clear direction or outcome.	Opposes NOISE-P3 (Higher noise areas) and seeks amendment.	Reject	No
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS103.19	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P3	Oppose	The policy in the proposed plan (appropriately) recognises that there are places where there is a need to provide for higher noise levels due to functional or operational needs of activities in those locations.	Disallow	Accept	No
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.122	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P3	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	Reject	No
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.122	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P3	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	Reject	No
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.293	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P3	Amend	Considers that NOISE-P3 should be amended to clarify what direction and outcomes are sought.	Clarify NOISE-P3 (Higher noise areas) to more clearly specify the direction and outcomes sought from allowing higher noise levels in the identified zones.	Reject	No
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.123	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P3	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	Reject	No
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.123	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P3	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	Reject	No
CentrePort Limited	402.133	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P3	Support	Support the intent of this policy.	Retain NOISE-P3 (Higher noise areas) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.422	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P3	Support	Supports this policy insofar as it relates to the management of land based noise emanating from the Airport Zone.	Retain NOISE-P3 (Higher noise areas) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.113	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P3	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept in part	No
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.107	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P3	Support	Supports recognition that railway network activities generate higher noise levels	Retain NOISE-P3 (Higher noise areas) as notified.	Accept in part	No
New Zealand Defence Force	423.15	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P3	Support	Considers that it is important and appropriate to allow for higher level of noises to be generated within the Airport Zone and associated airspace, in order to enable military aircraft operations associated with the operation of NZDF's Rongotai Air Movement Terminal at WIA.	Retain NOISE-P3 (Higher noise areas) as notified.	Accept in part	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Guardians of the Bays	452.36	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P3	Not specified	Submitter is 'neutral' on provision. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Not specified.	Accept in part	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.81	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Amend	Considers that NOISE-P4 should be amended to describe the Airport Noise Overlay with both the Inner and Outer Noise Overlay.	Amend NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as follows: Require sound insulation and / or mechanical ventilation for new noise sensitive activities within: ... 6. The Air Noise Overlay (<u>Inner Air Noise Overlay and Outer Air Noise Overlay</u>); and 7. Identified corridors adjacent to the State Highways and railway networks. ...	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.154	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Support	WIAL supports this submission in principle, however notes that WIAL is proposing to replace the reference to overlays with the commonly used and understood terms "Air Noise Boundary" and "60dB Ldn Noise Boundary".	Allow / Seeks that part of submission to be allowed.	Accept in part	Yes
Waka Kotahi	370.215	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Support	Support this policy with the inclusion of the identified state highway corridor mapped.	Retain NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Strathmore Park Residents Association Inc	371.2	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Amend	Considers that the policy is not clear whether the Air Noise Boundary refers to both the Inner Air Noise Overlay and the Outer Air Noise Overlay.	Amend NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as follows: Require sound insulation and / or mechanical ventilation for new noise sensitive activities within: 1. The City Centre Zone; 2. The Waterfront Zone; 3. The Centres Zones; 4. The Mixed Use Zones; 5. Outer Port Noise Overlay; 6. The Air Noise Overlay (<u>Inner & Outer</u>); and 7. Identified corridors adjacent to the State Highways and railway networks. Two standards of acoustic insulation are prescribed to achieve acceptable indoor acoustic amenity in habitable rooms.	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.155	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Support	WIAL supports this submission in principle, however notes that WIAL is proposing to replace the reference to overlays with the commonly used and understood terms "Air Noise Boundary" and "60dB Ldn Noise Boundary".	Allow / Seeks that part of submission to be allowed.	Accept in part	Yes
BP Oil New Zealand, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited (the Fuel Companies)	372.101	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Support in part	NOISE-P4 is supported as it encourages acoustic treatment for new Noise Sensitive Activities within specific zones and overlays. However, it is considered that this policy should also extend to new noise sensitive activities that share a common boundary with the specified zones and overlays to ensure adequate acoustic treatment is encouraged to minimise reverse sensitive effects from existing lawfully established activities.	Retain NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) with amendment.	Accept in part	No
BP Oil New Zealand, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited (the Fuel Companies)	372.102	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Amend	Considers that this policy should also extend to new noise sensitive activities that share a common boundary with the specified zones and overlays to ensure adequate acoustic treatment is encouraged to minimise reverse sensitive effects from existing lawfully established activities. This could be achieved by the following amendment to NOISE-P4 which would be consistent with the intent of APP4- Permitted Noise Standards which contains separate noise limits for different receiving environments.	Amend NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as follows: Require sound insulation and / or mechanical ventilation for new noise sensitive activities within, <u>or</u> on a site which shares a common boundary with: 1. The City Centre Zone; 2. The Waterfront Zone; 3. The Centres Zones; 4. The Mixed Use Zones; 5. Outer Port Noise Overlay; 6. The Air Noise Overlay; and 7. Identified corridors adjacent to the State Highways and railway networks. Two standards of acoustic insulation are prescribed to achieve acceptable indoor acoustic amenity in habitable rooms.	Reject	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	O Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.71	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Support	Supports the amendment to ensure noise sensitive activities adjacent to the specified zones, overlays and corridors require sound insulation and/or mechanical ventilation. Considers the relief sought should be allowed because it will (a) will promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore consistent with Part 2 and other provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and the Enabling Housing Supply Amendment Act 2021 (Amendment Act); (b) is consistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of the people of Wellington	Allow	Reject	No
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.13	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Oppose	The RVA opposes the relief sought in this submission as reverse sensitivity should be managed through appropriate setback provisions rather than requiring activities contemplated in the zone to manage the effects of activities outside the zone.	Disallow	Accept	No
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.13	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Oppose	Ryman opposes the relief sought in this submission as reverse sensitivity should be managed through appropriate setback provisions rather than requiring activities contemplated in the zone to manage the effects of activities outside the zone.	Disallow	Accept	No
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.294	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Oppose in part	NOISE-P4 is partially opposed and an amendment is sought.	Opposes NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) and seeks amendment.	Reject	No
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.124	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	Reject	No
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.124	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	Reject	No
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.295	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Amend	Considers that NOISE-4 should be amended.	Amend NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as follows: Require Encourage and promote sound insulation and / or mechanical ventilation for new noise sensitive activities within: 1. The City Centre Zone; 2. The Waterfront Zone; 3. The Centres Zones; 4. The Mixed Use Zones; 5. Outer Port Noise Overlay; 6. The Air Noise Overlay; and 7. Identified corridors adjacent to the State Highways and railway networks. Two standards of acoustic insulation are prescribed to achieve acceptable indoor acoustic amenity in habitable rooms.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.157	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Oppose	WIAL has a submission filed that seeks to introduce new policies with respect to the management of noise sensitive activities within the Air Noise Boundary and 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary at Wellington International Airport. However, in the instance that this submission is not successful, WIAL submits that it is inappropriate for acoustic treatment to be "encouraged", rather it should be a mandatory requirement where new activities are located within the Air Noise Boundary and 60dB Ldn Noise	Disallow	Accept	No
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.72	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Oppose	Rejects the softening of this policy from 'require' to 'encourage and promote' for sound insulation and mechanical ventilation for new noise sensitive activities. KiwiRail also rejects the deletion of rail corridors from this policy. Considers the relief sought should be rejected because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore contrary to, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; (b) is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will not enable the social, economic and cultural	Disallow	Accept	No
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS103.20	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Oppose	It is appropriate that existing sources of noise are protected from new noise-sensitive activities moving to the nuisance effect.	Disallow	Accept	No
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.125	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	Reject	No
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.125	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	Reject	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Ministry of Education	400.81	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Amend	Seeks NOISE-P4 be amended to exclude educational facilities. The submitter seeks an amendment to this proposed rule to exclude educational facilities as these facilities have their own acoustic treatment requirements specific to school uses.	Amend NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as follows: Require sound insulation and / or mechanical ventilation for new noise sensitive activities (<u>excluding educational facilities</u>) within: ...	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.158	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Oppose	Considers that education facilities comprise a noise sensitive activity as they include areas that comprise critical listening environments. It is therefore inappropriate to provide a flexible framework for such activities where located within the Air Noise Boundary of 60dB Ldn at Wellington	Disallow	Accept	No
CentrePort Limited	402.134	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Support	Support the intent of this policy.	Retain NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as notified.	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.423	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P4	Oppose in part	Considers that it is the buildings that contain a noise sensitive activities rather than the noise sensitive activity itself that can be acoustically treated. Amendments are therefore required to the chapeau of the policy to make this matter clear.	Opposes NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) and seeks amendment.	Accept	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.93	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P4	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated recognise that there may need to be more information in Noise P4 and within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. Considers that these are major changes to the plan that will create major hardship in the additional burden of acoustic treatments that need to be resolved in some way between the property owner and the noise producer Wellington International Airport. Considers that WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise objectives and standards in the plan but not put undue	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbL.	Accept in part	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.114	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P4	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.424	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P4	Amend	Considers that it is the buildings that contain noise sensitive activities rather than the noise sensitive activity itself that can be acoustically treated. Amendments are therefore required to the chapeau of the policy to make this matter clear. [Note that submitter has recommended replacing the definition "Air Noise Overlay", as set out earlier in the submission].	Amend NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as follows: Require sound insulation and / or mechanical ventilation of <u>new buildings or additions or alterations to existing buildings that contain</u> for new noise sensitive activities within: 1. The City Centre Zone; 2. The Waterfront Zone; 3. The Centres Zones; 4. The Mixed Use Zones; 5. Outer Port Noise Overlay; 6. The Air Noise Overlay <u>The Air Noise Boundary or 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary</u> ; and 7. Identified corridors adjacent to the State Highways and railway networks. <u>Two standards of acoustic insulation are prescribed to achieve acceptable indoor acoustic amenity in habitable rooms.</u> (Option A).	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.94	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P4	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated recognise that there may need to be more information in Noise P4 and within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. Considers that these are major changes to the plan that will create major hardship in the additional burden of acoustic treatments that need to be resolved in some way between the property owner and the noise producer Wellington International Airport. Considers that WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise objectives and standards in the plan but not put undue	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbL.	Reject	No
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.134	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the proposed amendments.	Disallow	Reject	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.115	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.425	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P4	Oppose in part	Considers that it is the buildings that contain noise sensitive activities rather than the noise sensitive activity itself that can be acoustically treated. Amendments are therefore required to the chapeau of the policy to make this matter clear. [Note that submitter has recommended replacing the definition "Air Noise Overlay", as set out earlier in the submission].	Delete NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) in its entirety. (Option B).	Reject	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.74	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Oppose	Opposes the deletion of policy direction requiring acoustic treatment and mechanical ventilation for noise sensitive activities. Considers the relief sought should be rejected because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore contrary to, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; (b) is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will not enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of people of Wellington City; and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the	Disallow	Accept	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.95	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated recognise that there may need to be more information in Noise P4 and within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. Considers that these are major changes to the plan that will create major hardship in the additional burden of acoustic treatments that need to be resolved in some way between the property owner and the noise producer Wellington International Airport. Considers that WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise objectives and standards in the plan but not put undue	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbL.	Accept in part	No
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.135	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Oppose	Kāinga Ora seeks that the policy is amended in accordance with their original submission noting that the policy relates to rules and standards.	Disallow	Accept in part	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.116	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.108	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Amend	The rail network is a 24 hour a day, seven day a week operation, and the frequency, length and weight of trains can change without community consultation. Noise and vibration can have an impact on the internal amenity of a building. Appropriate mitigation, installed to ensure that the health and wellbeing of those living and working near to the rail network are not adversely affected, is pivotal to ensure that undue restrictions are not placed on the operation of the rail network. Rail activities not only generate noise, but also vibration effects. KiwiRail seek amendment to require acoustic and vibration treatment for sensitive activities within identified corridors adjacent to the railway networks to ensure an appropriate level of internal amenity is achieved in buildings adjacent to the rail corridor.	Amend NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as follows: Acoustic <u>and vibration</u> treatment for noise sensitive activities Require sound <u>and vibration</u> insulation and / or mechanical ventilation for new noise sensitive activities within: <u>All residential zones</u> 1. The City Centre Zone; 2. The Waterfront Zone; 3. The Centres Zones; 4. The Mixed Use Zones; 5. Outer Port Noise Overlay; 6. The Air Noise Overlay; and Identified corridors adjacent to the State Highways and railway networks. Two standards of acoustic insulation are prescribed to achieve acceptable indoor acoustic amenity in habitable rooms.	Reject	No
Stride Investment Management Limited	FS107.22	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Oppose	Stride is opposed to imposing additional requirements in relation to rail network vibration. This is unnecessary and inappropriate.	Disallow	Reject	No
Investore Property Limited	FS108.22	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Oppose	Investore is opposed to imposing additional requirements in relation to rail network vibration. This is unnecessary and inappropriate.	Disallow	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.37	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Amend	Considers an amendment appropriate to describe the Airport Noise Overlay with both the Inner and Outer Noise Overlay.	Amend NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as follows: ... 6. The Air Noise Overlay (<u>Inner Air Noise Overlay and Outer Air Noise Overlay</u>)...	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.156	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Support	WIAL supports this submission in principle, however notes that WIAL is proposing to replace the reference to overlays with the commonly used and understood terms "Air Noise Boundary" and "60dB Ldn Noise Boundary".	Allow / Seeks that part of submission to be allowed.	Reject	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.82	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	Amend	Considers that NOISE-P6 should be amended to describe the Airport Noise Overlay with both the Inner and Outer Noise Overlay.	Amend NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as follows: Restrict the development of noise sensitive activities within: 1. The Inner Air Noise Overlay (<u>Inner Air Noise Overlay and Outer Air Noise Overlay</u>); and 2. Other locations where ventilation and acoustic insulation standards are not met.	Accept in part	Yes
Waka Kotahi	370.216	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	Support	Support policy wording that restricts development of noise sensitive activities where noise and acoustic insulation standards are not met.	Retain NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as notified.	Accept in part	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Strathmore Park Residents Association Inc	371.3	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	Amend	Considers that the policy needs to refer to both the inner and outer ANB.	Amend NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as follows: Restrict the development of noise sensitive activities within: 1. The Inner and Outer Air Noise Overlay; and 2. Other locations where ventilation and acoustic insulation standards are not met.	Accept in part	Yes
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.296	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	Oppose in part	NOISE-P6 is partially opposed and an amendment is sought.	Opposes NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) and seeks amendment.	Reject	No
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.126	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	Accept in part	No
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.126	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	Accept in part	No
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.297	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	Amend	Considers that NOISE-P6 should be amended to enable noise sensitive activities within the Inner Air Noise Overlay where appropriate ventilation and acoustic insulation can be achieved.	Amend NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as follows: Restrict the development of noise sensitive activities within: 1. The Inner Air Noise Overlay where ventilation and acoustic insulation standards are not met; and 2. Other locations where ventilation and acoustic insulation standards are not met.	Accept in part	Yes
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.127	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	Accept in part	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.127	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	Accept in part	Yes
Ministry of Education	400.82	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	Amend	Seeks NOISE-P6 be amended to exclude educational facilities. The submitter seeks that educational facilities are excluded as the policy restricts the development of noise sensitive activities in the Inner Air Overlay.	Amend NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as follows: Restrict the development of noise sensitive activities (excluding educational facilities) within: 1. The Inner Air Noise Overlay; and 2. Other locations where ventilation and acoustic insulation standards are not met.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.159	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	Oppose	Considers that education facilities comprise a noise sensitive activity as they include areas that comprise critical listening environments. It is therefore inappropriate to provide a flexible framework for such activities where located within the Air Noise Boundary of 60dB Ldn at Wellington	Disallow	Accept	No
CentrePort Limited	402.135	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	Support	Support the intent of this policy.	Retain NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.426	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	Oppose	Considers that a new suite of policies is required to address the management of noise sensitive activities within the Air Noise Boundary and 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary. [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Opposes NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) and seeks amendment.	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.96	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated recognise that there may need to be more information in Noise P4 and within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. Considers that these are major changes to the plan that will create major hardship in the additional burden of acoustic treatments that need to be resolved in some way between the property owner and the noise producer Wellington International Airport. Considers that WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise objectives and standards in the plan but not put undue	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbL.	Accept in part	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.117	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.427	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	Amend	Considers that a new suite of policies is required to address the management of noise sensitive activities within the Air Noise Boundary and 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary. [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Amend NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as follows: Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities Restrict the development of noise sensitive activities within: 1. The Inner Air Noise Overlay; and 2. Other locations where ventilation and acoustic insulation standards are not met.	Accept in part	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.97	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P6	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated recognise that there may need to be more information in Noise P4 and within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. Considers that these are major changes to the plan that will create major hardship in the additional burden of acoustic treatments that need to be resolved in some way between the property owner and the noise producer Wellington International Airport. Considers that WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise objectives and standards in the plan but not put undue	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbL.	Accept in part	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.118	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P6	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.109	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	Support	Supports policy which restricts development of noise sensitive activities in certain locations where ventilation and acoustic insulation standards are not met.	Retain NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as notified.	Accept in part	No
New Zealand Defence Force	423.16	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	Support	Considers that restricting the development of noise sensitive activities within the Inner Air Noise Overlay is appropriate in the management of reserve sensitivity effects on activities undertaken within the Airport Zone and associated airspace, including military aircraft operations.	Retain NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.38	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P6	Amend	Considers an amendment appropriate to describe the Airport Noise Overlay with both the Inner and Outer Noise Overlay.	Amend NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as follows: Restrict the development of noise sensitive activities within: 1. The Inner Air Noise Overlay (Inner Air Noise Overlay and Outer Air Noise Overlay) ; and 2. Other locations where ventilation and acoustic insulation standards are not met.	Accept in part	Yes
Yvonne Weeber	340.83	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R1	Not specified	[No specific reason given - refer to original submission].	Not specified.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.428	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R1	Support	Supports the default activity status, subject to compliance with NOISE-S1.	Retain NOISE-R1 (Noise not otherwise provided for in this chapter) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.119	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R1	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept in part	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.39	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R1	Not specified	Submitter is 'neutral' on provision. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Not specified.	Accept	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.84	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R2	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain NOISE-R2 (Noise from construction, maintenance, earthworks, and demolition activities) as notified.	Accept	No
Waka Kotahi	370.217	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R2	Support	Support permitted hours and thresholds for construction, maintenance, earthworks, and demolition works, and RD activity status where not met.	Retain NOISE-R2 (Noise from construction, maintenance, earthworks, and demolition activities) as notified.	Accept	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.429	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R2	Support	Supports the retention of a construction specific noise rule within the Proposed Plan.	Retain NOISE-R2 (Noise from construction, maintenance, earthworks, and demolition activities) as notified.	Accept	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.120	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R2	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.40	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R2	Support	Supports NOISE-R2 (Noise from construction, maintenance, earthworks, and demolition activities).	Retain NOISE-R2 (Noise from construction, maintenance, earthworks, and demolition activities) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Shailesh Kumar Patel	49.2	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Amend	Considers that sites in the Air Noise Boundary should have the same development rights as sites outside this (i.e. be able to build in accordance with the MDRS).	Seeks that Council amends NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) to allow increased development potential in line with the MDRS, subject to noise attenuation measures described at NOISE-S4.	Reject	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.85	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.77	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Oppose in part	Considers the standards referred to in NOISE-R3 should be amended to integrate consideration of noise matters on a case-by-case basis for new buildings, and in alterations / additions to existing buildings.	Amend NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) by integrating consideration of noise matters on a case-by-case basis for new buildings, or in alterations/additions to an existing building.	Accept in part	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Stride Investment Management Limited	FS107.43	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Support	Stride supports integrating consideration of individual site characteristics to activities, as this would enable a flexible approach to noise management.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
Investore Property Limited	FS108.43	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Support	Investore supports integrating consideration of individual site characteristics to activities, as this would enable a flexible approach to noise management.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
Waka Kotahi	370.218	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Support in part	NOISE-R3.1 is supported.	Retain NOISE-R3.1 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building), subject to amendments.	Accept	No
Waka Kotahi	370.219	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Amend	Considers amendments to have immediate legal effect and to require compliance with ventilation standards. In lieu of the provision having immediate legal effect, Waka Kotahi seeks that this rule be included as	Amend NOISE-R3.1 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) to require compliance with NOISE-S6 (Ventilation Requirements) and amend rule so that it has immediate legal effect.	Reject	No
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.75	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Support	Agrees that compliance with ventilation standards should be a requirement and noise provisions should have immediate legal effect. Considers the relief sought should be allowed because it will (a) will promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore consistent with Part 2 and other provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and the Enabling Housing Supply Amendment Act 2021 (Amendment Act); (b) is consistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of the people of Wellington	Allow	Reject	No
Waka Kotahi	370.220	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Support in part	Support the inclusion of NOISE-R3.2, with default distance from State Highway to be extended to 100m or otherwise incorporate the Waka Kotahi noise contours along state highways so that the provisions only apply as needed.	Retain NOISE-R3.2 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building), subject to amendments.	Accept in part	Yes
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.76	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Support	Seeks that noise provisions for noise sensitive activities also apply within 100m of the rail corridor as sought in our primary submission. Considers the relief sought should be allowed because it will (a) will promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore consistent with Part 2 and other provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and the Enabling Housing Supply Amendment Act 2021 (Amendment Act); (b) is consistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of the people of Wellington	Amend / Adopt amendment sought and include 100m from rail corridor	Accept in part	Yes
Waka Kotahi	370.221	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Amend	In relation to NOISE-R3.2 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building), the submitter would prefer that the noise contours are included rather than a blanket rule of 100m. As above, Waka Kotahi also support this with amendment to have immediate legal effect and condition to comply with ventilation standard. In lieu of the provision having immediate legal effect, Waka Kotahi seeks that this rule be included as a qualifying matter for development in the Medium and High-Density Zones. It is noted that the submitter would generally define distances from edge of traffic lane (as that where is the source of noise is).	Amend NOISE-R3.2 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as follows (or amend to adopt Waka Kotahi noise contours) and amend rule so that it has immediate legal effect: 2. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Compliance with NOISE-S5 (Moderate Noise Areas) and NOISE-S6 (Ventilation Requirements) is achieved within: i. The area between 40m and 80m - 100m of a State Highway;...	Accept in part	Yes
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.77	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Support	Seeks that noise provisions for noise sensitive activities also apply within 100m of the rail corridor as sought in our primary submission. KiwiRail supports compliance with ventilation requirements. Considers the relief sought should be allowed because it will (a) will promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore consistent with Part 2 and other provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and the Enabling Housing Supply Amendment Act 2021 (Amendment Act); (b) is consistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of the people of Wellington City; and (f) is the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA.	Amend / Adopt amendment sought and include 100m from rail corridor	Accept in part	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Stride Investment Management Limited	FS107.30	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Oppose	Stride is opposed to extending the area where R3 applies to within 100m of a state highway and to including this rule as a qualifying matter. For the reasons given above, this is inappropriate and unnecessary.	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
Investore Property Limited	FS108.30	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Oppose	Investore is opposed to extending the area where R3 applies to within 100m of a state highway and to including this rule as a qualifying matter. For the reasons given above, this is inappropriate and unnecessary.	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
Waka Kotahi	370.222	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Support in part	Support NOISE-R3.3 restricted discretionary activity status where NOISE-S4 or NOISE-S5 cannot be achieved.	Retain NOISE-R3.3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building), subject to amendments.	Accept in part	Yes
Waka Kotahi	370.223	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Amend	Considers the wording of NOISE-R3.3b is confusing and seems to contradict with R3.1, Would interpret that this is intended to apply to those activities that do not comply with the requirements of NOISE-S4 and NOISE-S5, AND are within land subject to R3.2. This should be amended to be made more clear. The rule should also be amended to include noncompliance with the ventilation standards.	Amend NOISE-R3.3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as follows: 3. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where: a. Compliance with the requirements of <u>NOISE-S4</u> , or <u>NOISE-S5</u> , or <u>NOISE-S6</u> cannot be achieved; and b. Any noise sensitive activity is proposed on a site within land subject to NOISER3.2; or c. Two residential units are proposed on a site within the Inner Air Noise Overlay; or and d. Four or more residential units are proposed on a site within the Outer Air Noise Overlay. Matters of discretion are: 1. The matters of assessment in <u>NOISE-S4</u> and <u>NOISE-S5</u> ; and 2. The extent and effect of non-compliance with any relevant standard as specified in the associated assessment criteria for the infringed standard Note: This rule does not oblige Wellington International Airport Limited (WIAL) to provide or upgrade mechanical ventilation or noise insulation in a residential unit which has already received such treatment.	Accept in part	Yes
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.78	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Support	Supports clarification on what standards apply to this provision. Considers the relief sought should be allowed because it will (a) will promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore consistent with Part 2 and other provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and the Enabling Housing Supply Amendment Act 2021 (Amendment Act); (b) is consistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of the people of Wellington City; and (f) is the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
Waka Kotahi	370.224	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Amend	The submitter does not understand the intent of this rule and requests that the wording is amended to clarify that any noise sensitive activity within the areas in NOISE-R3.1.a (including within 40m of the state highway) is a discretionary activity. If this is the intention, this conflicts with the permitted activity status for noise sensitive activities in these areas that comply with the stated standards.	Amend NOISE-R3.4 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as follows: 4. Activity status: Discretionary Where: a. Any noise sensitive activity is proposed on a site within land subject to NOISE-R3.1 where <u>NOISE-S4</u> and <u>NOISE-S6</u> cannot be achieved; and...	Accept in part	Yes
Ministry of Education	400.83	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Support	Supports NOISE-R3.1 as the submitter supports the requirement for acoustic insulation in high noise areas to reduce any reverse sensitivity effects and to enable productive classroom environments for educational facilities.	Retain NOISE-R3.1 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as notified.	Accept in part	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Ministry of Education	400.84	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Support	Supports NOISE-R3.2 as the submitter supports the requirement for acoustic insulation in high noise areas to reduce any reverse sensitivity effects and to enable productive classroom environments for educational facilities.	Retain NOISE-R3.2 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as notified.	Accept in part	Yes
Ministry of Education	400.85	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Support in part	Supports NOISE-R3.3 in part.	Retain NOISE-R3.3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) with amendments.	Accept in part	Yes
Ministry of Education	400.86	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Amend	Seeks that NOISE-R3.3 be amended. The submitter seeks clarity on NOISE-R3.3.b as the submitter considers that it currently reads that any noise sensitive activity on land subject to NOISE-R3.2 is automatically a restricted discretionary activity, despite compliance with the permitted activity standards.	Amend NOISE-R3.3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as follows: 3. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where: a. Compliance with the requirements of NOISE-S4 or NOISE-S5 cannot be achieved; b. Any noise sensitive activity that does not comply with proposed on a site within land subject to NOISE-R3.2;	Accept in part	Yes
Ministry of Education	400.87	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Support	Supports NOISE-R3.4 as the submitter supports the management of noise sensitive activities in NOISE-R3.	Retain NOISE-R3.4 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as proposed.	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.430	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R3	Oppose	Opposes NOISE-R3. [See paragraphs 4.65 to 4.72 of original submission for full reason]	Opposes NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) and seeks amendment.	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.98	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R3	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated recognise that there may need to be more information in Noise R3 and within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. However, these are major changes to the plan that will create major hardship in the additional burden of acoustic treatments that need to be resolved in some way between the property owner and the noise producer Wellington International Airport. WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise objectives and standards in the plan but not put undue financial burden on the	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbL.	Accept	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.121	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R3	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.431	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R3	Amend	Opposes NOISE-R3. [See paragraphs 4.65 to 4.72 of original submission for full reason]	(Option A). Amend NOISE R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as follows: 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: b. Compliance with NOISE-S4 (High Noise Areas) is achieved within: i. 40m of a State Highway; ii. 40m of a Railway corridor; iii. General Industrial Zone; or iv. Inner Air Noise Overlay. Note: Distances from a state highway or railway corridor shall be measured from the closest habitable room to the closest point of a state highway or railway designation. 2. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. ... ix. Outer Air Noise Overlay. ... 3. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where: a. Compliance with the requirements of NOISE-S4 or NOISE-S5 cannot be achieved <u>and</u> e. Two residential units are proposed on a site within the Inner Air Noise Overlay. d. Four or more residential units are proposed on a site within the Outer Air Noise Overlay. ... Note: This rule does not obligate Wellington International Airport Limited (WIAL) to provide or upgrade mechanical ventilation or noise insulation in a residential unit which has already received such treatment.	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.99	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R3	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated recognise that there may need to be more information in Noise R3 and within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. However, these are major changes to the plan that will create major hardship in the additional burden of acoustic treatments that need to be resolved in some way between the property owner and the noise producer Wellington International Airport. WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise objectives and standards in the plan but not put undue financial burden on the	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbL.	Accept	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.136	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the proposed amendments which would require all new sensitive activities in the Air Noise Boundary areas to obtain a resource consent even where acoustic insulation and ventilation is proposed. The requirement would result in a significant constraint to development within zones appropriately	Disallow	Accept	No
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.137	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes deletion of this rule.	Disallow	Accept	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.122	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.432	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R3	Oppose	Opposes NOISE-R3. [See paragraphs 4.65 to 4.72 of original submission for full reason]	Delete NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) in its entirety. (Option B).	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.100	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R3	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated recognise that there may need to be more information in Noise R3 and within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. However, these are major changes to the plan that will create major hardship in the additional burden of acoustic treatments that need to be resolved in some way between the property owner and the noise producer Wellington International Airport. WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise objectives and standards in the plan but not put undue financial burden on the	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbL.	Accept	No
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.79	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Oppose	Opposes the deletion of this rule which is required to protect the rail corridor from noise sensitive activities. Considers the relief sought should be rejected because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore contrary to, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; (b) is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will not enable the social, economic and cultural	Disallow	Accept	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.123	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.110	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Amend	Supports the inclusion of noise and vibration controls requiring acoustic insulation and ventilation to be installed in new (or altered) sensitive uses within 100m of the railway corridor. However, KiwiRail provide an alternative rule and standard framework which includes compliance pathways to mitigate noise impacts, including building setbacks and noise barriers. This approach is intended to assist developers and the Council in achieving compliance without requiring expert noise input into design. The standards seek to provide options for developers to protect future noise sensitive activities from noise associated with the rail corridor. KiwiRail also seeks controls within 60m of the railway corridor, for buildings containing new (or altered) sensitive uses to be constructed to manage the impacts of vibration. These controls are important to ensure new development is undertaken in a way that achieves a healthy living environment for people locating within proximity to the railway corridor, minimising the potential for complaints about the effects of the railway network.	Amend NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as follows: 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Compliance with NOISE-S4 (High Noise Areas) and NOISE-S5 is achieved within: i. 40m of a State Highway; ii. 100 40m of a Railway corridor; iii. General Industrial Zone; or iv. Inner Air Noise Overlay. ... 2. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Compliance with NOISE-S5 (Moderate Noise Areas) is achieved within: i. The area between 40m and 80m of a State Highway; ii. The area between 40m and 100m of a Railway corridor; iii. City Centre Zone; iv. ...	Accept in part	Yes
Stride Investment Management Limited	FS107.23	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Oppose	Stride is opposed to the requested amendment to NOISE-R3 and any consequential amendments as this would increase the area covered by the "high noise area" from within 40m of a railway corridor to within 100m. It is inappropriate to apply the more onerous requirements of the "high noise area" to such a great distance from the railway corridor, especially in the case of Johnsonville where there	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
Investore Property Limited	FS108.23	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Oppose	Investore is opposed to the requested amendment to NOISE-R3 and any consequential amendments as this would increase the area covered by the "high noise area" from within 40m of a railway corridor to within 100m. It is inappropriate to apply the more onerous requirements of the "high noise area" to such a great distance from the railway corridor, especially in the case of Johnsonville	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.171	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Oppose	The RVA acknowledges that acoustic insulation for noise and vibration may be appropriate in some areas located within or adjacent to high noise areas with a purpose of providing protection / amenity to residents in such areas. The RVA considers however that such requirements need to be determined on a case-by-case basis, with consideration given to the distance of noise sensitive	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.171	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Oppose	Ryman acknowledges that acoustic insulation for noise and vibration may be appropriate in some areas located within or adjacent to high noise areas with a purpose of providing protection / amenity to residents in such areas. Ryman considers however that such requirements need to be determined on a case-by-case basis, with consideration given to the distance of noise sensitive activities from	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
Save Our Venues	445.7	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Amend	Considers that new residential developments in the immediate vicinity of existing live music venues currently have a low acoustic insulation standard. This creates an issue of reverse sensitivity. Considers that venues, otherwise compliant with DP noise guidelines, may still face enforcement action in response to noise complaints from new residents, severely restricting their ability to operate. Considers that in other high-noise locations - such as near the airport, or close to motorways - it has been amply demonstrated that it is possible to build dwellings to an acoustic standard that adequately mitigates potential noise issues when required by planning rules, and the modest cost of doing so has not been a disincentive to residential development in those areas. However, in a highly competitive residential market, developers have little incentive to take mitigation action above and beyond the minimum required.	Amend NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as follows: 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Compliance with NOISE-S4 (High Noise Areas) is achieved within: i. 40m of a State Highway; ii. 40m of a Railway corridor; iii. General Industrial Zone; or iv. Inner Air Noise Overlay; v. Within 40m of a lawfully established live music venue; vi. Central Area. ...	Accept in part	Yes
Save Our Venues	445.8	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Amend	Considers that as currently proposed, NOISE-R3 does not take effect until the new plan is fully ratified (which could take years), whereas the new permitted residential development rules would take immediate legal effect Considers that this is likely to result in a rush on development, meaning that by the time the new	Seeks that the WCC give immediate effect to NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) alongside densification provisions.	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.41	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Support	Supports the NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building).	Retain NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as notified.	Accept in part	Yes
New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	40.7	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R4	Amend	Considers that the PDP should provide for the intermittent use of helicopter landing areas by agricultural aircraft for the purposes of agricultural aviation including primary production purposes and conservation purposes as a permitted activity.	Amend NOISE-R4.2 (Helicopter landing noise) as follows: ... 2. a. Compliance with the recommended limits and noise management provisions as set out in NZS6807:1994 Noise Management and Land Use Planning for Helicopter Landing Areas is achieved; <u>or</u> b. <u>The activity is for the purposes of agricultural aviation activities for primary production and conservation purposes.</u>	Accept in part	Yes
Paul Van Houtte	92.2	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R4	Amend	Considers that helicopter landing noise from commercial activity should not be permitted at the waterfront, as this compromises amenity values and the enjoyment of pedestrians.	Seeks that NOISE-R4 (Helicopter Landing Areas) is amended to so that helicopter landing noise from commercial activity is not permitted at the waterfront.	Reject	No
Wellington Helicopters	FS5.5	Part 2/General District Wide Matters/ Noise/ NOISE-R4	Oppose	Helicopters have operated at the Queens Wharf waterfront helipad in excess of 30 years. Any restriction on operation and or noise would have a severely negative affect on our business and lead to closure. Wellington Helicopters believe that the helicopter operation adds to the diversity of businesses in the CBD and is also an essential asset for the Wellington Regional Emergency Management Office.	Disallow	Accept	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.86	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R4	Amend	Considers that NOISE-R4 should be amended to have a prohibited activity status where no activity for the landing and take-off of helicopter will be granted within the East Side Area designation. Wellington Airport International Airport Limited Designation for the East Side Area does not allow take-off or landing within the designation as per condition 34 which states: There shall be no aircraft engine testing, take-off or landing on land within the ESA Designation.	Amend NOISE-R4 to add a 'Non-compliant' activity status for the landing and take-off of helicopters within the East Side Area designation.	Reject	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Wellington Helicopters	FS5.7	Part 2/General District Wide Matters/ Noise/ NOISE-R4	Oppose	Wellington Helicopters believe that helicopter activities should be permitted within the airport zone.	Disallow	Accept	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.161	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R4	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate for the Noise chapter to duplicate or introduce aircraft noise management and mitigation measures imposed on WIAL through the recently settled Main Site and East Side Area Designations. Furthermore, the Main Site and East Side Area Designations are not subject to amendment as part of the Proposed Plan review.	Disallow	Accept	No
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.78	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R4	Oppose in part	Considers that acoustic insulation standards referred to in NOISE-R4 should be amended to allow noise matters to be considered on a case-by-case basis, with consideration given to the distance of noise sensitive activities from high noise areas.	Amend NOISE-R4 (Acoustic insulation - high noise areas) to integrate consideration of individual site characteristics/circumstances, and the distance of noise sensitive activities from high noise areas.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.160	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R4	Oppose	WIAL has sought, via its primary submission, the inclusion of new rules within the Air Noise Boundary and 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary at Wellington International Airport. This includes acoustic insulation requirements that reflects the nature of the noise received within these aircraft noise boundaries.	Disallow	Accept	No
Stride Investment Management Limited	FS107.44	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R4	Support	Stride supports integrating consideration of individual site characteristics to activities, as this would enable a flexible approach to noise management.	Allow	Reject	No
Investore Property Limited	FS108.44	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R4	Support	Investore supports integrating consideration of individual site characteristics to activities, as this would enable a flexible approach to noise management.	Allow	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.433	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R4	Support	Supports the permitted activity status for helicopter operations within the Airport Zone.	Retain NOISE-R4 (Helicopter landing noise) as notified.	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington Helicopters	FS5.6	Part 2/General District Wide Matters/ Noise/ NOISE-R4	Support	Wellington Helicopters support that helicopter activity be allowed within the airport zone.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.124	Part 2/General District Wide Matters/ Noise/ NOISE-R4	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
New Zealand Defence Force	423.17	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R4	Support	Considers that it is appropriate to provide for noise associated with helicopter landings in the Airport Zone as a permitted activity with no permitted activity criteria.	Retain NOISE-R4.1 (Helicopter landing noise) as notified.	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington Helicopters	FS5.3	Part 2/General District Wide Matters/ Noise/ NOISE-R4	Support	It is appropriate that helicopters be able to operate within the airport zone.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
New Zealand Defence Force	423.18	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R4	Support	It is appropriate to provide for helicopter landings in all other zones as a permitted activity subject to compliance with the recommended limits and noise management provisions as set out in NZS6807:1994 Noise Management and Land Use Planning for Helicopter Landing Areas is achieved. This is consistent with NZDF's bespoke noise standards for TMTA provided in Attachment 3. [See original submission for attachment 3]	Retain NOISE-R4.2 (Helicopter landing noise) as notified.	Accept	No
Wellington Helicopters	FS5.4	Part 2/General District Wide Matters/ Noise/ NOISE-R4	Support	It is appropriate that helicopters be able to operate within the airport zone.	Allow	Accept	No
Ministry of Education	400.88	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R5	Support	Supports NOISE-R5 as Wellington Girls College and Thorndon School are located within approximately 300m of the Wellington Stadium. The submitter supports the matter of discretion to consider noise effects on these noise sensitive activities.	Retain NOISE-R5 (Noise from Wellington Regional Stadium and the Basin Reserve) as notified.	Accept in part	Yes
Horokiwi Quarries Ltd	271.58	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R6	Support	Supports NOISE-R6 noting the standard NOISE-S7 defers to the noise limits set out in APP5 – Fixed Plant Standards.	Retain NOISE-R6 (Fixed plant noise) as notified.	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.434	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R8	Oppose	Submitter is required to undertake wildlife management activities at the Airport. Submitter seeks to ensure that its activities, while not comparable to a shooting range, are not inadvertently captured by this rule.	Opposes NOISE-R8 (Shooting range and firearm noise) and seeks amendment.	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.101	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R8	Not specified	While Guardians of the Bays Incorporated understands the changes being proposed by Wellington International Airport, they also suggest that all due restraint and other methods of bird removal from the airport be considered as the local community do feel as though they are in the middle of a shooting range when bird control activities take place.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.125	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R8	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.435	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R8	Amend	Submitter is required to undertake wildlife management activities at the Airport. Submitter seeks to ensure that its activities, while not comparable to a shooting range, are not inadvertently captured by this rule.	Amend NOISE-R8 (Shooting range and firearm noise) as follows: NOISE-R8 <u>Airport Zone</u> <u>1. Activity Status: Permitted</u> All Zones (except the Airport Zone) <u>2. Activity Status: Discretionary</u> (Option A).	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.102	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R8	Not specified	While Guardians of the Bays Incorporated understands the changes being proposed by Wellington International Airport, they also suggest that all due restraint and other methods of bird removal from the airport be considered as the local community do feel as though they are in the middle of a shooting range when bird control activities take place.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.126	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R8	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.436	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R8	Oppose	Submitter is required to undertake wildlife management activities at the Airport. Submitter seeks to ensure that its activities, while not comparable to a shooting range, are not inadvertently captured by this rule.	Delete NOISE-R8 (Shooting range and firearm noise) in its entirety. (Option B).	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.103	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R8	Not specified	While Guardians of the Bays Incorporated understands the changes being proposed by Wellington International Airport, they also suggest that all due restraint and other methods of bird removal from the airport be considered as the local community do feel as though they are in the middle of a shooting range when bird control activities take place.	Allow	Reject	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.127	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R8	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
Horokiwi Quarries Ltd	271.59	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R9	Support	Considers that given the importance and necessity of blasting to quarry activities, Horokiwi supports the recognition of blasting associated with quarry activities (clause 2.b), and the permitted activity status.	Retain NOISE-R9 (Blasting noise) as notified.	Accept	No
CentrePort Limited	402.136	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R12	Support in part	Support the intent of this rule subject to amendments in relation to Table 20 which are required to comply with the methodology in NZS 6809:1999 (Port Noise Standard).	Retain NOISE-R12 (Port noise), subject to amendments sought in relation to Table 20 in APP4 Permitted Noise Standards.	Accept	Yes
Yvonne Weeber	340.87	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R13	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain NOISE-R13 (Airport noise) as notified.	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.437	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R13	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter. [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Oposes NOISE-R13 (Airport noise) and seeks amendment.	Accept in part	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.128	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R13	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.438	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R13	Amend	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter. [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	(Option A). Amend NOISE-R13 (Airport noise) as follows: NOISE-R13 Airport Noise All Zones 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Compliance is achieved with the following standards: i. NOISE-S1; ii. NOISE-S8 ; iii. NOISE-S9 ; iv. NOISE-S10 ; v. NOISE-S11 ; vi. NOISE-S12 ; vii. NOISE-S14; and viii. NOISE-S15. 2. 3. Activity status: Non-complying Where: a. Compliance is not achieved with: i. NOISE-S9; ii. NOISE-S10; and b.g. Noise from any land based activity in the Airport Zone exceeds the limits in NOIS-S14 by more than 5dB. Notification Status: An application for resource consent made in respect of this rule must be publicly notified.	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.104	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R13	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	Accept in part	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.129	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R13	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.439	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R13	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter. [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Delete NOISE-R13 (Airport Noise) in its entirety. (Option B).	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.105	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R13	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	Accept	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.130	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R13	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.42	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R13	Support	Supports NOISE-R13 (Airport noise).	Retain NOISE-R13 (Airport noise) as notified.	Accept in part	Yes
Yvonne Weeber	340.88	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S1	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain NOISE-S1 (Maximum permitted activity noise levels by zone) as notified.	Accept	No
BP Oil New Zealand, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited (the Fuel Companies)	372.103	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S1	Support	NOISE-S1 is supported, as well as its proposed limits set out in 'APP4 – Permitted Noise standards' which includes noise limits for activities contained within a single zone and separate standards for activities generated in one zone but received in another.	Retain NOISE-S1 (Maximum permitted activity noise levels by zone) as notified.	Accept	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.43	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S1	Support	Supports NOISE-S1 (Maximum permitted activity noise levels by zone).	Retain NOISE-S1 (Maximum permitted activity noise levels by zone) as notified.	Accept	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.89	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S2	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain NOISE-S2 (Maximum permitted noise levels by activity) as notified.	Accept in part	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Waka Kotahi	370.225	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S2	Support	Considers that the use of NZS 6803 for construction noise is appropriate	Retain NOISE-S2 (Maximum permitted noise levels by activity) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Ministry of Education	400.89	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S2	Support	Supports NOISE-S2.2 as it limits the noise effects of blasting on any nearby sensitive activity, including educational facilities.	Retain NOISE-S2.2 (Maximum permitted noise levels by activity: 2. Blasting) as notified.	Accept	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.44	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S2	Support	Supports NOISE-S2 (Maximum permitted noise levels by activity).	Retain NOISE-S2 (Maximum permitted noise levels by activity) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.90	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S3	Support in part	NOISE-S3 is supported, but an amendment is sought.	Retain NOISE-S3 (Noise management plans) with amendment.	Accept in part	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.91	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S3	Amend	Considers that NOISE-S3 should be amended to have an additional bullet 2.g to include a timeframe to increase the speed of completion in the "Methods necessary for the Airport to complete implementation of the Quieter Homes Programme".	Amend NOISE-S3 (Noise management plans) as follows: Airport Activities 1. The Airport must at all times maintain and implement an Airport Noise Management Plan (ANMP). Any alteration or update to the ANMP is subject to certification by the Council. 2. The ANMP must include, as a minimum: ... g. Methods necessary for the Airport to complete implementation of the Quieter Homes Programme within an identified timeframe once the properties within the 60dbh contour have been identified;	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.162	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S3	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate for the Noise chapter to duplicate or introduce aircraft noise management and mitigation measures imposed on WIAL through the recently settled Main Site and East Side Area Designations. Furthermore, the Main Site and East Side Area Designations are not subject to amendment as part of the Proposed Plan review.	Disallow	Accept	No
CentrePort Limited	402.137	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S3	Support in part	Support the intent of this standard subject to amendments in relation to Table 20 which are required to comply with the methodology in NZS 6809:1999 (Port Noise Standard).	Retain NOISE-S3 (Noise management plans), subject to amendments sought in relation to Table 20 in APP4 Permitted Noise Standards.	Accept	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.440	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S3	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter. [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Delete NOISE-S3 (Noise management plans) in its entirety.	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.106	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S3	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	Accept in part	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.138	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S3	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes deletion of this standard which serves the purpose to requiring WIAL to manage their noise through a management plan through Plan rules as opposed to solely through the Designation conditions.	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.131	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S3	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays	452.45	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S3	Support in part	Supports the NOISE S3 (Noise management plans) standards as proposed.	Retain NOISE-S3 (Airport activities) subject to amendments.	Accept in part	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.46	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S3	Amend	Considers the need to amend bullet 2.g to include a timeframe to increase the speed of completion in the "Methods necessary for the Airport to complete implementation of the Quieter Homes Programme".	Amend NOISE-S3 (Airport Activities) as follows: ... 2.g. Methods necessary for the Airport to complete implementation of the Quieter Homes Programme within an identified timeframe once the properties within the 60dbh contour have been identified...	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.163	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S3	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate for the Noise chapter to duplicate or introduce aircraft noise management and mitigation measures imposed on WIAL through the recently settled Main Site and East Side Area Designations. Furthermore, the Main Site and East Side Area Designations are not subject to amendment as part of the Proposed Plan review.	Disallow	Accept	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Wellington City Council	266.124	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Amend	Considers the 'exemption' from insulation standards in S.4(4) (Acoustic insulation - high noise areas) and S.5(4) (Acoustic insulation - moderate noise areas) doesn't adopt the correct noise units when setting out those exemption levels and needs amending. Considers it would be counter to the National Planning Standards to use 1 hour LAeq when NZ Standards NZS6809 (port) and NZS6806(traffic) specify 24 hour units for those two types of noise. Considers there are no NZ Stds dealing with rail noise. KiwiRail have developed guidance based on worst case 1hr rail noise, so that seems appropriate for rail.	Amend NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) as follows: not exceed the following noise limits at all points 1.5m above ground level, and any part of the floor levels above ground: a. Less than 55 dB LAeq (1hr) for rail noise; or b. Less than 57 dB LAeq (24hr) for road noise; or c. Less than 57 dB LAeq (24hr) for port noise.	Accept	Yes
Yvonne Weeber	340.92	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Support	NOISE-S4 is supported. Supports the standard for acoustic insulation in the high noise area of the Inner Air Noise Overlay.	Retain NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.79	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Amend	Acknowledges that acoustic insulation may be appropriate in some areas located within or adjacent to high noise areas with a purpose of providing protection / amenity to residents in such areas. However, considers that such requirements need to be determined on a case-by-case basis, with consideration given to the distance of noise sensitive activities from high noise areas.	Amend NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) to integrate consideration of individual site characteristics / circumstances, and the distance of noise sensitive activities from high noise areas.	Reject	No
Stride Investment Management Limited	FS107.45	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Support	Stride supports integrating consideration of individual site characteristics to activities, as this would enable a flexible approach to noise management.	Allow	Reject	No
Investore Property Limited	FS108.45	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Support	Investore supports integrating consideration of individual site characteristics to activities, as this would enable a flexible approach to noise management.	Allow	Reject	No
Waka Kotahi	370.226	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Support in part	Supports, with amendments.	Supports, with amendments.	Accept in part	No
Waka Kotahi	370.227	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Amend	Considers that for noise sensitive activities within 20m of State Highway, buildings should also be constructed to mitigate for road vibration – to avoid adverse effects to human health and property as a result of vibration in the environment. Note should be added for clarity on how to calculate state highway noise levels for the design.	Amend NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) as follows: 5. For noise sensitive activities within 20m of a state highway, buildings must be designed, constructed and maintained to achieve road vibration levels not exceeding 0.3 mm/s _{wv,95} ; Note: for activities within 40m of a State Highway, the design should be based on the measured or predicted road traffic noise levels plus 3 dB;	Reject	No
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.80	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Support	Supports the inclusion of a vibration standard for noise sensitive activities, however, seeks that this provision applies within 60m of the rail corridor as well as within 20m of a State Highway. Considers the relief sought should be allowed because it will (a) will promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore consistent with Part 2 and other provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and the Enabling Housing Supply Amendment Act 2021 (Amendment Act); (b) is consistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of the people of Wellington	Amend / Adopt amendment sought and include 60m from rail corridor	Reject	No
Stride Investment Management Limited	FS107.31	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Oppose	Stride is opposed to imposing additional requirements around vibration and considers that this unfairly imposes the costs of mitigation on private landowners rather than seeking to manage these effects at the source.	Disallow	Accept	No
Investore Property Limited	FS108.31	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Oppose	Investore is opposed to imposing additional requirements around vibration and considers that this unfairly imposes the costs of mitigation on private landowners rather than seeking to manage these effects at the source.	Disallow	Accept	No
Waka Kotahi	370.228	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Oppose	[The submitter comments on the assessment criteria only in this submission point.] Considers the assessment criteria for activities that do not meet the permitted standards for NOISE-S4 to be inappropriate as it invites re-litigation of the bottom line which is that internal conditions need to be healthy to protect the amenity, wellbeing, and health of occupants. Assessment criteria should instead consider the extent of the exceedance or noncompliance, and the effects on occupants and noise generating activities as a result.	Amend the assessment criteria under NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) as follows: Assessment criteria where the standard is infringing: 1. <u>Extent of the exceedance.</u> 2. <u>Human health effects on occupants and their ability to achieve an acceptable level of amenity as a result of the exceedance.</u> 3. <u>Reverse sensitivity effects to existing noise generating activities.</u> 4. <u>Where within 100m of a state highway or railway corridor, extent of consultation with infrastructure providers who are generating the noise.</u> 5. <u>Background noise levels and any special character of noise from any existing activities, the nature and character of any changes to the sound received at any receiving site and the degree to which such sounds are compatible with the surrounding activities;</u> 6. <u>The ability to achieve acceptable outdoor acoustic amenity;</u> 7. <u>Any mitigation of the noise proposed, in accordance with a best practicable option approach (e.g. site layout and design, design and location of structures, buildings and equipment and the timing of operations);</u> 8. <u>The ability to mitigate adverse effects through the imposition of conditions such as noise attenuation; and</u> 9. In relation to a heritage building or a contributing building within a heritage area, the extent to which it is practicable to insulate to the required standard without detracting from identified heritage values	Accept in part	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Stride Investment Management Limited	FS107.32	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Oppose	Stride is opposed amending the standard as requested as this would impose inappropriately onerous requirements on development and fails to provide flexibility.	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
Investore Property Limited	FS108.32	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Oppose	Investore is opposed amending the standard as requested as this would impose inappropriately onerous requirements on development and fails to provide flexibility.	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
Strathmore Park Residents Association Inc	371.4	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Amend	Considers that Council has proposed that this overlay area will be determined by modelling based on the existing 65dB ANB. While the submitter has no issue with the accuracy or methods to achieve this, the submitter believes that the operative 60dB boundary should be established from time to time by modelling from the actual 90 day rolling average noise being experienced at the defined 65dB ANB rather than based on the 65dB limit itself. This would allow the WIAL to more actively manage noise beyond the 65dB boundary rather than just working towards filling the available "noise bucket" as has been WIAL's approach to the Inner Noise Overlay. Building requirement triggers will not be imposed on a wide area of owners until the defined noise level has been established. The implementation of the Outer Noise Overlay in the above manner will, in the submitter's view, more acceptably cater for uncertainty to the future of Airline travel, climate change regulations, introduction of Wide bodied jet aircraft in Wellington and move toward quieter and/or electric engine aircraft.	Seeks that NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation - moderate noise areas) is amended to change the means to establish the 60dB Outer Air Noise Overlay area to use actual 90 day rolling average noise as measured at the defined 65dB ANB.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.164	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Oppose	WIAL has sought the inclusion of a new standard that applies to the acoustic treatment of buildings containing noise sensitive activities within the aircraft noise boundaries at Wellington International Airport.	Disallow	Accept	No
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.298	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Oppose in part	NOISE-S4 is opposed and a review of the different insulation requirements is sought for the inner and outer air noise overlay and to understand why these levels vary from the level required by the Quieter Homes Programme which is part of the Airport Noise Management Plan and Designation conditions. Clarification is also sought on the extent of the Quieter Homes Programme which appears to only cover the inner air noise overlay. Amendments may be necessary once further clarification and understanding is considered.	Opposes NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) and seeks amendments.	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.124	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Support	Seeks clarification of the Air Noise requirements and the Quieter Homes Programme.	Allow	Reject	No
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.128	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	Reject	No
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.128	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	Reject	No
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.299	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Amend	Considers that NOISE-S4 should be amended so that any mitigation measures and/or Quieter Homes Programme applies to properties under both the inner and outer air noise overlay. The submitter seeks a review of the different insulation requirements for the inner and outer air noise overlay and to understand why these levels vary from the level required by the Quieter Homes Programme which is part of the Airport Noise Management Plan and Designation conditions. Clarification is also sought on the extent of the Quieter Homes Programme which appears to only cover the inner air noise overlay. The requirements proposed by the Plan in respect of acoustic insulation and ventilation are potentially onerous for landowners.	Amend NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) so that any mitigation measures and/or Quieter Homes Programme applies to properties under both the inner and outer air noise overlay, and clarify the Standard after having reviewed the different insulation requirements for the inner and outer air noise overlay between the Plan and the Quieter Homes Programme.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.165	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Oppose	WIAL has sought the inclusion of a new standard that applies to the acoustic treatment (including ventilation) of buildings containing noise sensitive activities within the aircraft noise boundaries at Wellington International Airport. As set out in WIAL's primary submission, it is inappropriate for the Noise chapter to duplicate or introduce aircraft noise management and mitigation measures imposed on WIAL through the recently settled Main Site and East Side Area Designations. Furthermore, the Main Site and East Side Area Designations are not subject to amendment as part of	Disallow	Accept	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.125	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Support	Seeks clarification of the Air Noise requirements and the Quieter Homes Programme.	Allow	Reject	No
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.129	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	Reject	No
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.129	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	Reject	No
Ministry of Education	400.90	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Support	Supports NOISE-S4 as the submitter supports the requirements for acoustic insulation for sensitive activities.	Retain NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.441	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Oppose in part	Opposes standard in part. [See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Opposes NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) and seeks amendment.	Reject	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.132	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.442	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Amend	Opposes standard in part. [See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Amend NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) as follows: NOISE-S4 Acoustic insulation – high noise areas Within 40m of a State Highway/ Within 40m of a Railway Corridor/ Courtenay Place Noise Area/ Inner Air Noise Overlay (Option A).	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.107	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	Accept	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.133	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.443	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Oppose in part	Opposes standard in part. [See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Delete NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) in its entirety. (Option B).	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.108	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	Accept	No
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.81	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Oppose	Rejects the deletion of the acoustic insulation standard for high noise areas. This standard helps to achieve the objectives of the PDP relating to reverse sensitivity. Considers the relief sought should be rejected because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore contrary to, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; (b) is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will not enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of people of Wellington City; and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the	Disallow	Accept	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.134	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-54	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.111	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-54	Amend	Supports an alternative rule and standard framework. Seeks consequential amendment to NOISE-54 to remove 'Within 40m of a Railway Corridor'.	Amend NOISE-54 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) as follows: Within 40m of a State Highway Within 40m of a Railway Corridor Courtenay Place Noise Area Inner Air Noise Overlay	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.47	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-54	Support	Supports NOISE-54 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas).	Retain NOISE-54 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.48	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-54	Support	Supports table ii of NOISE-54	TABLE II - Minimum construction requirements necessary to achieve an advanced external sound insulation level of DnT,w + Ctr > 35 dB as notified.	Accept	No
Wellington City Council	266.125	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-55	Amend	Considers the 'exemption' from insulation standards in S.4(4) (Acoustic insulation - high noise areas) and S.5(4) (Acoustic insulation - moderate noise areas) doesn't adopt the correct noise units when setting out those exemption levels and needs amending. Considers it would be counter to the National Planning Standards to use 1 hour LAeq when NZ Standards NZS6809 (port) and NZS6806(traffic) specify 24 hour units for those two types of noise. Considers there are no NZ Stds dealing with rail noise. KiwiRail have developed guidance based on worst case 1hr rail noise, so that seems appropriate for rail.	Amend NOISE-55 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) as follows: not exceed the following noise limits at all points 1.5m above ground level, and any part of the floor levels above ground: a. Less than 55 dB LAeq (1hr) for rail noise; or b. Less than 57 dB LAeq (24hr) for road noise; or c. Less than 57 dB LAeq (24hr) for port noise.	Accept	Yes
Yvonne Weeber	340.93	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-55	Support	NOISE-55 is supported. Supports the standard for acoustic insulation in the moderate noise area for the Outer Noise Overlay.	Retain NOISE-55 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) as notified..	Accept in part	No
Waka Kotahi	370.229	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-55	Support in part	Support the inclusion of NOISE-55, with default distance from State Highway to be extended to 100m or otherwise incorporate the Waka Kotahi noise contours along state highways so that the provisions only apply as needed.	Retain NOISE-55 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) with amendment.	Accept in part	No
Waka Kotahi	370.230	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-55	Amend	The submitter states to refer to comments on NOISE-R3.2 regarding the distance from the State Highway. Note should be added for clarity on how to calculate State Highway noise levels for the design. Submitter considers that there is a need to correct the noise metric for road noise to be consistent with the requirements of the National Planning Standards	Amend NOISE-55 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) as follows: ... 4. The requirements of (a) above do not apply where an acoustic design certificate signed by a suitably qualified acoustic engineer, confirms the level of noise incident on the most exposed part of the exterior of any habitable room can be shown, under a reasonable maximum use scenario, to not exceed the following noise limits at all points 1.5m above ground level, and any part of the floor levels above ground: a. Less than 55 dB LAeq (1h) for rail noise; or b. Less than 57 dB LAeq (24hr) for road noise; or c. Less than 57 dB LAeq (1 hr) for port noise. ... <u>Note: for activities within 100m of a State Highway, the design should be based on the measured or predicted road traffic noise levels plus 3 dB.</u>	Accept in part	Yes
Waka Kotahi	370.231	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-55	Oppose	[The submitter comments on the assessment criteria only in this submission point] Considers the assessment criteria for activities that do not meet the permitted standards for NOISE-55 to be inappropriate as it invites re-litigation of the bottom line which is that internal conditions need to be healthy to protect the amenity, wellbeing, and health of occupants. Assessment criteria should instead consider the extent of the exceedance or noncompliance, and the effects on occupants and noise generating activities as a result.	Amend the assessment criteria under NOISE-55 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) as follows: Assessment criteria where the standard is infringed: 1. Extent of the exceedance. 2. Human health effects on occupants and their ability to achieve an acceptable level of amenity as a result of the exceedance. 3. Reverse sensitivity effects to existing noise generating activities. 4. Where within 100m of a state highway or railway corridor, extent of consultation with infrastructure providers who are generating the noise. 5. Background noise levels and any special character of noise e from any existing activities, the nature and character of any changes to the sound received at any receiving site and the degree to which such sounds are compatible with the surrounding activities; 6. The ability to achieve acceptable outdoor acoustic amenity; 7. Any mitigation of the noise proposed, in accordance with a best practicable option approach (e.g. site layout and design, design and location of structures, buildings and equipment and the timing of operations);	Accept in part	Yes
Stride Investment Management Limited	FS107.33	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-55	Oppose	Stride is opposed amending the standard as requested as this would impose inappropriately onerous requirements on development, as noted above.	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Investore Property Limited	FS108.33	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Oppose	Investore is opposed to amending the standard as requested as this would impose inappropriately onerous requirements on development, as noted above.	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
Strathmore Park Residents Association Inc	371.5	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Amend	Considers that Council has proposed that this overlay area will be determined by modelling based on the existing 65dB ANB. While the submitter has no issue with the accuracy or methods to achieve this, the submitter believes that the operative 60dB boundary should be established from time to time by modelling from the actual 90 day rolling average noise being experienced at the defined 65dB ANB rather than based on the 65dB limit itself. This would allow the WIAL to more actively manage noise beyond the 65dB boundary rather than just working towards filling the available "noise bucket" as has been WIAL's approach to the Inner Noise Overlay. Building requirement triggers will not be imposed on a wide area of owners until the defined noise level has been established. The implementation of the Outer Noise Overlay in the above manner will, in the submitters view, more acceptably cater for uncertainty to the future of Airline travel, climate change	Seeks that NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation - moderate noise areas) is amended to change the means to establish the 60dB Outer Air Noise Overlay area to use actual 90day rolling average noise as measured at the defined 65dB ANB.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.166	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Oppose	WIAL has sought the inclusion of a new standard that applies to the acoustic treatment of buildings containing noise sensitive activities within the aircraft noise boundaries at Wellington International Airport.	Disallow	Accept	No
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.300	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Oppose in part	NOISE-S5 is opposed and a review of the different insulation requirements is sought for the inner and outer air noise overlay and to understand why these levels vary from the level required by the Quieter Homes Programme which is part of the Airport Noise Management Plan and Designation conditions. Clarification is also sought on the extent of the Quieter Homes Programme which appears to only cover the inner air noise overlay. Amendments may be necessary once further clarification and understanding is considered.	Opposes NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) and seeks amendments.	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.126	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Support	Seeks clarification of the Air Noise requirements and the Quieter Homes Programme.	Allow	Reject	No
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.130	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	Reject	No
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.130	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	Reject	No
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.301	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Amend	Considers that NOISE-S5 should be amended so that any mitigation measures and/or Quieter Homes Programme applies to properties under both the inner and outer air noise overlay. The submitter seeks a review of the different insulation requirements for the inner and outer air noise overlay and to understand why these levels vary from the level required by the Quieter Homes Programme which is part of the Airport Noise Management Plan and Designation conditions. Clarification is also sought on the extent of the Quieter Homes Programme which appears to only cover the inner air noise overlay. The requirements proposed by the Plan in respect of acoustic insulation and ventilation are potentially onerous for landowners.	Amend NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) so that any mitigation measures and/or Quieter Homes Programme applies to properties under both the inner and outer air noise overlay, and clarify the Standard after having reviewed the different insulation requirements for the inner and outer air noise overlay between the Plan and the Quieter Homes Programme.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.167	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Oppose	WIAL has sought the inclusion of a new standard that applies to the acoustic treatment (including ventilation) of buildings containing noise sensitive activities within the aircraft noise boundaries at Wellington International Airport. As set out in WIAL's primary submission, it is inappropriate for the Noise chapter to duplicate or introduce aircraft noise management and mitigation measures imposed on WIAL through the recently settled Main Site and East Side Area Designations. Furthermore, the Main Site and East Side Area Designations are not subject to amendment as part of	Disallow	Accept	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.127	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Support	Seeks clarification of the Air Noise requirements and the Quieter Homes Programme.	Allow	Reject	No
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.131	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	Reject	No
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.131	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	Reject	No
Ministry of Education	400.91	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Support	Supports NOISE-S5 as the submitter supports the requirements for acoustic insulation for sensitive activities.	Retain NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) as notified.	Accept in part	No
CentrePort Limited	402.138	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Support	Support the intent of this standard.	Retain NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.444	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Oppose in part	Opposes standard in part. [See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Opposes NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) and seeks amendment.	Reject	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.109	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S5	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	Accept	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.135	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S5	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.445	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S5	Amend	Opposes standard in part. [See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Amend NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) as follows: NOISE-S5 Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas City Centre Zone/ Mixed Use Zone/General Industrial Zone/ Neighbourhood Centre Zone/ Local Centre Zone/ Metropolitan Centre Zone/Waterfront Zone/ The area between 40m and 100m of a railway corridor/ The area between 40m and 80m of a State Highway/ Outer Port Noise Overlay/ Outer Air Noise Overlay. (Option A).	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.110	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S5	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	Accept	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.136	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S5	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.446	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S5	Oppose in part	Opposes standard in part. [See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Delete Amend NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) in its entirety. (Option B).	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.111	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S5	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	Accept	No
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.82	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Oppose	Rejects the deletion of the acoustic insulation standard for moderate noise areas. This standard helps to achieve the objectives of the PDP relating to reverse sensitivity. Considers the relief sought should be rejected because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore contrary to, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; (b) is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will not enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of people of Wellington City; and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the	Disallow	Accept	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.137	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.112	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Amend	Supports an alternative rule and standard framework. Seeks consequential amendment to NOISE-S5 to remove 'The area between 40m and 100m of a railway corridor'.	Amend NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) as follows: City Centre Zone Mixed Use Zone General Industrial Zone Neighbourhood Centre Zone Local Centre Zone Metropolitan Centre Zone Waterfront Zone The area between 40m and 100m of a railway corridor The area between 40m and 80m of a State Highway Outer Port Noise Overlay Outer Air Noise Overlay	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.49	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Support	Supports NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas).	Retain NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.50	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Support	Supports table 1 of NOISE-S5	Retain TABLE 1 - Minimum construction requirements necessary to achieve a moderate external sound insulation level of DnT,w + Ctr > 30 dB as notified.	Accept in part	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.94	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S6	Support	NOISE-S6 is supported. Supports the standard for ventilation requirements.	Retain NOISE-S6 (Ventilation requirements) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Waka Kotahi	370.232	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S6	Amend	The ventilation system must be adequate to provide thermal comfort so that residents have a free choice not to open windows.	Amend NOISE-S6 (Ventilation requirements) as follows: 1. The minimum external to internal noise reduction levels in NOISE-S4 and NOISE-S5 must be achieved at the same time as the ventilation requirements of the New Zealand Building Code. An alternative means of ventilation must be provided unless compliance with the above acoustic insulation standards can be met with ventilating windows open <u>An alternative ventilation system must be adjustable by the occupant to control the ventilation rate in increments up to a high air flow setting that provides at least six air changes per hour, with relief for equivalent volumes of spill air. The system must not generate more than 35 dB LAeq(30s) when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser.</u> 2. Where bedrooms rely on openable windows to meet the ventilation requirements of the New Zealand Building Code, and where these windows must remain closed to achieve compliance with NOISE-S4 and NOISE-S5 acoustic insulation standards, a positive supplementary source of fresh air ducted from outside an alternative ventilation system is required at the time of fitout. For the purposes of this requirement, a bedroom is any room intended to be used for sleeping. The supplementary source of air is to achieve a minimum of 7.5 litres per second per person. An alternative ventilation system must be adjustable by the occupant to control the ventilation rate in increments up to a high air flow setting that provides at least six air changes per hour, with relief for equivalent volumes of spill air. The system must not generate more than 35 dB LAeq(30s) when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; and 3. Confirmation of compliance with this standard will be required by a qualified professional...	Accept in part	Yes
Strathmore Park Residents Association Inc	371.6	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S6	Amend	Considers that Council has proposed that this overlay area will be determined by modelling based on the existing 65dB ANB. While the submitter has no issue with the accuracy or methods to achieve this, the submitter believes that the operative 60dB boundary should be established from time to time by modelling from the actual 90 day rolling average noise being experienced at the defined 65dB ANB rather than based on the 65dB limit itself. This would allow the WIAL to more actively manage noise beyond the 65dB boundary rather than just working towards filling the available "noise bucket" as has been WIAL's approach to the Inner Noise Overlay. Building requirement triggers will not be imposed on a wide area of owners until the defined noise level has been established. The implementation of the Outer Noise Overlay in the above manner will, in the submitters view, more acceptably cater for uncertainty to the future of Airline travel, climate change	Seeks that NOISE-S6 (Acoustic insulation - moderate noise areas) is amended to change the means to establish the 60dB Outer Air Noise Overlay area to use actual 90day rolling average noise as measured at the defined 65dB ANB.	Submission point error - Submitter has mentioned NOISE-S6 in error. On NOISE-S5 where it applies: Reject	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.168	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-56	Oppose	WIAL has sought the inclusion of a new standard that applies to the acoustic treatment of buildings containing noise sensitive activities within the aircraft noise boundaries at Wellington International Airport.	Disallow	Accept	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.447	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-56	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - see original submission]	Seeks that ventilation standards in NOISE-56 (Ventilation requirements) do not create an untenable internal living environment for occupants of noise sensitive activities and that any requisite ventilation is affordable for residents to operate.	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.116	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-56	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.128	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-56	Support	Seeks that a ventilation system operation and maintenance should be affordable to the residents.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.138	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-56	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.113	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-56	Amend	The ventilation requirements as proposed, rely on the requirements of New Zealand Building Code. KiwiRail seeks amendment to this standard to ensure habitable rooms achieve an appropriate level of comfort and amenity for occupants.	Amend NOISE-56 (Ventilation requirements) as follows: 1. The minimum external to internal noise reduction levels in NOISE-54 and NOISE-55 must be achieved at the same time as the following ventilation requirements, of the New Zealand Building Code. An alternative means of ventilation must be provided unless compliance with the above acoustic insulation standards can be met with ventilating windows open. 2. If windows must be closed to achieve minimum external to internal noise reduction levels in NOISE-54 and NOISE-55, the building is designed, constructed and maintained with a mechanical ventilation system that a. For habitable rooms for a residential activity, achieves the following requirements: i. provides mechanical ventilation to satisfy clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code; and ii. is adjustable by the occupant to control the ventilation rate in increments up to a high air flow setting that provides at least 6 air changes per hour; and iii. provides relief for equivalent volumes of spill air; iv. provides cooling and heating that is controllable by the occupant and can maintain the inside temperature between 18°C and 25°C; and v. does not generate more than 35 dB LAeq(30s) when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser. b. For other spaces, is as determined by a suitably qualified and experienced person. 2. Where bedrooms rely on openable windows to meet the ventilation requirements of the New Zealand Building Code, and where these windows must remain closed to achieve compliance with NOISE-54 and NOISE-55 acoustic insulation standards, a positive supplementary source of fresh air	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.169	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-56	Support	WIAL has sought the inclusion of a new standard that applies to the acoustic treatment (including ventilation) of buildings containing noise sensitive activities within the aircraft noise boundaries at Wellington International Airport. WIAL therefore supports this relief to the extent that it no longer applies within the aircraft noise boundaries for Wellington International Airport.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.172	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-56	Oppose	The RVA acknowledges that acoustic insulation for noise and vibration may be appropriate in some areas located within or adjacent to high noise areas with a purpose of providing protection / amenity to residents in such areas. The RVA considers however that such requirements need to be determined on a case-by-case basis, with consideration given to the distance of noise sensitive	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.172	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-56	Oppose	Ryman acknowledges that acoustic insulation for noise and vibration may be appropriate in some areas located within or adjacent to high noise areas with a purpose of providing protection / amenity to residents in such areas. Ryman considers however that such requirements need to be determined on a case-by-case basis, with consideration given to the distance of noise sensitive activities from	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays	452.51	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-56	Support	Supports NOISE-56 (Ventilation requirements).	Retain NOISE-56 (Ventilation requirements) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.95	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-57	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain NOISE-57 (Fixed Plant Noise) as notified.	Accept	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.52	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-57	Support	Supports NOISE-57 (Fixed Plant Noise).	Retain NOISE-57 (Fixed Plant Noise) as notified.	Accept	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.96	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-58	Support	NOISE-58 is supported. Supports the standard for hours of aircraft operation and the assessment criteria.	Retain NOISE-58 (Hours of aircraft operation) with amendment.	Accept in part	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.448	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-58	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter. [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Delete NOISE-58 (Hours of aircraft operation) in its entirety.	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.112	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-58	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14, S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	Accept	No
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.141	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-58	Oppose	Kāinga Ora considers that these standards should remain to ensure sufficient control over aircraft and airport noise and to provide clarity for Plan users.	Disallow	Accept	No
New Zealand Defence Force	FS104.16	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-58	Oppose	Oppose the deletion of NOISE-58 (Hours of aircraft operation), in particular, Clause 11, which exempts aircraft carrying heads of state and/or senior dignitaries acting in their official capacity or other military aircraft operations from this activity standard. While this Standard is included in Condition 27 of the Airport Main Purposes Designation – Main Site Area (Designation WIAL4), if wholly contained in the designation conditions, this would not provide certainty for NZDF military aircraft operations given the Minister of Defence is not the Requiring Authority for this designation.	Disallow / Reject submitter's relief and retain NOISE-58 as notified.	Accept	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.139	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-58	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
New Zealand Defence Force	423.19	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-58	Support	Activity standard NOISE-58 sets out restrictions on the hours of aircraft operation within the Airport Zone. NZDF supports clause 11 of this standard, which exempts aircraft carrying heads of state and/or senior dignitaries acting in their official capacity or other military aircraft operations from this	Retain NOISE-58 (Hours of aircraft operation) as notified.	Accept in part	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Guardians of the Bays	452.53	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S8	Support	Supports NOISE-S8 (Hours of aircraft operations).	Retain NOISE-S8 (Hours of aircraft operations) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.97	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S9	Support	NOISE-S9 is supported. Supports the standard for calculations and management of aircraft noise, assessment criteria and the position of a permanent noise monitoring equipment as proposed in Figure 6.	Retain NOISE-S9 (Calculation and management of aircraft noise) as notified.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.449	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S9	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter. [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Delete NOISE-S9 (Calculation and management of aircraft noise) in its entirety.	Accept	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.142	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S9	Oppose	Kāinga Ora considers that these standards should remain to ensure sufficient control over aircraft and airport noise and to provide clarity for Plan users.	Disallow	Reject	No
New Zealand Defence Force	FS104.17	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S9	Oppose	Oppose the deletion of NOISE-S9 (Calculation and management of aircraft noise) to provide certainty for NZDF military aircraft operations and amend Noise Standard NOISE-S9 as set out in NZDF's original submission (referenced by Council in the summary of submissions as 'NZDF Submission Point 423.20'). NZDF would be happy to undertake further discussion with WIAL on this matter.	Disallow / Reject submitter's relief and amend NOISE-S9 as set out in NZDF's original submission.	Reject	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.140	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S9	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept	Yes
New Zealand Defence Force	423.20	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S9	Amend	Considers that Activity Standard NOISE-S9.2 relates to the calculation and management of aircraft noise with Standard NOISE-S9.2 setting out the 90 day rolling average sound exposure level that all aircraft operations shall meet. The standard is drafted such that Wellington International Airport Limited (WIAL) shall be responsible for the management of all aircraft operations within the Airport Zone to meet the 90 day rolling average sound exposure level set. the standard as notified does not exempt military aircraft operations from compliance with the 90 day rolling average sound exposure level, and thus NZDF related Aircraft Operations would need to comply (although this is not explicitly stated in the wording of this standard NOISE-S9 as notified). Seeks an amendment to the activity standard NOISE-S9.2 to apply to all aircraft operations (including NZDF) as opposed to just WIAL. NZDF would be happy to undertake further discussion with WIAL.	Amend NOISE-S9.2 (Calculation and management of aircraft noise) as follows: ... 2. The Airport company (WIAL) shall ensure that all Aircraft Operations shall be managed so that the rolling day 90 day average 24 hour night-weighted sound exposure level does not exceed a Day/night Level (Ldn) of 65dBA outside the Air Noise Boundary shown within the District Plan Maps.	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.54	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S9	Support	Supports NOISE-S9 (Calculations and management of aircraft noise).	Retain NOISE-S9 (Calculations and management of aircraft noise) as notified.	Reject	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.98	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S10	Support	NOISE-S10 is supported. Supports the standard and assessment criteria for engine testing noise.	Retain NOISE-S10 (Engine testing noise) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.450	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S10	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter. [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Delete NOISE-S10 (Engine testing noise) in its entirety.	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.113	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S10	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	Accept	No
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.143	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S10	Oppose	Kāinga Ora considers that these standards should remain to ensure sufficient control over aircraft and airport noise and to provide clarity for Plan users.	Disallow	Accept	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.141	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S10	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.55	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S10	Support	Supports NOISE-S10 (Engine testing noise)	Retain NOISE-S10 (Engine testing noise) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Wellington City Council	266.126	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S11	Amend	Considers there is a typo on "LAFmaxli>" and the correction (LAF(Max)) should link to a definition pop-up.	Amend NOISE-S11 (Noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (Main site)) to fix typo as follows and LAF(max) should link to a definition: 1. ... c. All days 10pm to 7am 75 dB LAFmaxli> LAF(max)	Accept in part	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Yvonne Weeber	340.99	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S11	Support	NOISE-S11 is supported. Supports the standard and assessment criteria for noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (Main site)	Retain NOISE-S11 (Noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (Main site)) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.451	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S11	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter. [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Delete NOISE-S11 (Noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (Main site)) in its entirety.	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.114	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S11	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	Accept	No
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.144	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S11	Oppose	Kāinga Ora considers that these standards should remain to ensure sufficient control over aircraft and airport noise and to provide clarity for Plan users.	Disallow	Accept	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.142	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S11	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.56	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S11	Support	Supports NOISE-S11 (Noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (Main site)).	Retain NOISE-S11 (Noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (Main site)) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.100	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S12	Support	NOISE-S12 is supported. Supports the standard and assessment criteria for Noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (East Side).	Retain NOISE-S12 (Noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (East Side)) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.452	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S12	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter. [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Delete NOISE-S12 (Noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (East Side)) in its entirety.	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.115	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S12	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	Accept in part	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.145	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S12	Oppose	Kāinga Ora considers that these standards should remain to ensure sufficient control over aircraft and airport noise and to provide clarity for Plan users.	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.143	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S12	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays	452.57	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S12	Support	Supports NOISE-S12 (Noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (East Side)).	Retain NOISE-S12 (Noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (East Side)) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.101	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S13	Support	NOISE-S13 is supported. Supports the standard for Airport East Side Precinct residential noise mitigation.	Retain NOISE-S13 (Airport East Side Precinct residential noise mitigation) as notified.	Reject	No
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.302	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S13	Oppose in part	NOISE-S13 is opposed as dwellings identified in Attachment 2 of designation WIAL5 are not provided with acoustic insulation in accordance with NOISE-S4, despite being eligible for mechanical ventilation prior to construction activity in the East Precinct. Amendments may be necessary once further clarification and understanding is considered.	Opposes NOISE-S13 (Airport East Side Precinct residential noise mitigation) and seeks amendment.	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.129	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S13	Support	Guardians of the Bays Inc agree that dwellings identified in NOISE-S13 (Airport East Side Precinct residential noise mitigation) should be eligible for mechanical ventilation prior to construction activity in the East Precinct are also provided with acoustic insulation in accordance with the	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.132	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S13	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	Accept in part	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.132	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S13	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	Accept in part	Yes
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.303	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S13	Amend	Considers that NOISE-S13 should be amended so that the dwellings identified in Attachment 2 of designation WIAL5 which are eligible for mechanical ventilation prior to construction activity in the East Precinct are also provided with acoustic insulation in accordance with the standards identified in NOISE-S4. The Quieter Homes Programme has a lesser standard of acoustic insulation, requiring they are designed to achieve an indoor design sound level of 45 dB Ldn or less, whereas NOISE-S4 and NOISE-S5 require acoustic insulation to achieve a minimum external to internal noise reduction for	Amend NOISE-S13 (Airport East Side Precinct residential noise mitigation) so that the dwellings identified in Attachment 2 of designation WIAL5 which are eligible for mechanical ventilation prior to construction activity in the East Precinct are also provided with acoustic insulation in accordance with the standards identified in NOISE-S4.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.170	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S13	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate for the Noise chapter to duplicate or introduce aircraft noise management and mitigation measures imposed on WIAL through the recently settled Main Site and East Side Area Designations. Furthermore, the Main Site and East Side Area Designations are not subject to amendment as part of the Proposed Plan review.	Disallow	Accept	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.130	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S13	Support	Guardians of the Bays Inc agree that dwellings identified in NOISE-S13 (Airport East Side Precinct residential noise mitigation) should be eligible for mechanical ventilation prior to construction activity in the East Precinct are also provided with acoustic insulation in accordance with the standards identified in NOISE54.	Allow	Reject	No
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.133	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S13	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	Reject	No
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.133	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S13	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.453	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S13	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter. [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Delete NOISE-S13 (Airport East Side Precinct residential noise mitigation) in its entirety.	Accept	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.117	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S13	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	Reject	No
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.146	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S13	Oppose	Kāinga Ora considers that these standards should remain to ensure sufficient control over aircraft and airport noise and to provide clarity for Plan users.	Disallow	Reject	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.144	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S13	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept	Yes
Guardians of the Bays	452.58	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S13	Support	Supports NOISE-S13 (Airport East Side Precinct residential noise mitigation).	Retain NOISE-S13 (Airport East Side Precinct residential noise mitigation) as notified.	Reject	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.102	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S14	Support	NOISE-S14 is supported. Supports the standard and assessment criteria for Land based noise in the Airport Zone.	Retain NOISE-S14 (Land based noise) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.454	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S14	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter. Furthermore, there are a range of methods available which can demonstrate where standards are infringed. It is therefore inappropriate for the statement at the end of the assessment criteria, to include the level of specificity stated. It is also not clear what status (if any) this statement has. [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Opposes NOISE-S14 (Land based noise) and seeks amendment.	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.118	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S14	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	Accept in part	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.145	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S14	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.455	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S14	Amend	<p>Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter.</p> <p>Furthermore, there are a range of methods available which can demonstrate where standards are infringed. It is therefore inappropriate for the statement at the end of the assessment criteria, to include the level of specificity stated. It is also not clear what status (if any) this statement has.</p> <p>[See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]</p>	<p>(Option A). Amend NOISE-S14 (Land based noise) as follows:</p> <p>1. Noise emission levels from any activity within the Airport Zone designations, other than aircraft operations, engine testing and the operation of GPUs and APUs, when measured at any adjoining residential zone, shall not exceed the following limits:</p> <p>a. Monday to Saturday Sunday 7am to 10pm 55 dB LAeq(15min) b. At all other times 45 dB LAeq(15min) c. All days 10pm to 7am 75 dB LAFmax</p> <p>2. In the East Side Precinct, for the purposes of calculating compliance with this limit, account shall be taken of the cumulative effect of all land based activities undertaken within the Airport Zone, other than aircraft operations, the operation of APUs and any engine testing.</p> <p>Assessment criteria where the standard is infringed:</p> <p>1. Type, intensity and duration of the noise; 2. Number of annual occurrences; 3. Mitigation or management measures; 4. Health and safety; 5. Effects on internal and external noise amenity for dwellings outside the Airport zone; and 6. The requirements of NZS 6803:1999 Acoustics – Construction Noise; and 7. The Airport Noise Management Plan.</p> <p>in assessing noise effects, data may be used from a continuous noise monitoring station established to confirm compliance and may also be obtained from other locations</p>	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.119	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S14	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	Accept in part	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.139	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S14	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes any subsequent amendments sought by WIAL to remove reference to the Airport Noise Management Plan	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.147	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S14	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the proposed amendments which would allow for higher noise levels on Sundays.	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.146	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S14	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.456	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S14	Oppose in part	<p>Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter.</p> <p>Furthermore, there are a range of methods available which can demonstrate where standards are infringed. It is therefore inappropriate for the statement at the end of the assessment criteria, to include the level of specificity stated. It is also not clear what status (if any) this statement has.</p> <p>[See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]</p>	Delete NOISE-S14 (Land based noise) in its entirety. (Option B).	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.120	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S14	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	Accept	No
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.148	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S14	Oppose	Kāinga Ora seeks retention of NOISE-S14 as notified.	Disallow / Kāinga Ora seeks retention of NOISE-S14 as notified.	Accept	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.147	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S14	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.59	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S14	Support	Supports NOISE-S14 (Land based noise).	Retain NOISE-S14 (Land based noise) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.103	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S15	Not specified	[No specific reason given - refer to original submission].	Not specified.	Accept in part	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.457	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S15	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter. Furthermore, many of the conditions here have already been achieved by existing development undertaken by WIAL on site and it is therefore unnecessary for those matters to be dealt with here (as well as in the Designation).	Opposes NOISE-S15 (Miramar South Precinct) and seeks amendment.	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.121	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S15	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	Accept in part	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.148	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S15	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.458	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S15	Amend	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter. Furthermore, many of the conditions here have already been achieved by existing development undertaken by WIAL on site and it is therefore unnecessary for those matters to be dealt with here (as well as in the Designation). [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	(Option A). Amend NOISE-S15 (Miramar South Precinct) as follows: ... 3. Noise during construction activities shall comply with the requirements of NZS 6803:1999 Acoustics—Construction Noise. 4. A close boarded fence (or other acoustically effective barrier) with a density of at least 10 kg/m2 and a height of two metres shall be installed around the perimeter of the site excluding site access points. This shall be inspected regularly and maintained to ensure its continued acoustic effectiveness. 5. Entry / egress for trucks shall not be located opposite residential zoned areas. Trucks shall not drive along the Residential zoned parts of Miro Street, Kedah Street, or Kauri Street except where there are specific circumstances where this is necessary. 6. Truck engines shall not be left to idle on the Site and signage shall be placed in appropriate locations within the Site to advise drivers of this requirement. The Airport or its agents shall actively monitor this requirement. 2.3. Building services shall be designed such that noise levels from this source at the Site boundary are at least 10 dB lower than the limits set out in 1. above. 8. All warehouse doors shall be fast closing and shall remain closed at night time unless in use. ... Assessment criteria where the standard is infringed: ... 4. Effects on internal and external noise amenity for dwellings outside the Miramar South Precinct; and 5. The requirements of NZS 6803:1999 Acoustics – Construction Noise. 6. The Airport Miramar South Construction Noise Management Plan; 7. The acoustic assessment report prepared by the Airport for development of the Site; and 8. The Airport Noise Management Plan.	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.122	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S15	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	Accept in part	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.140	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S15	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes any subsequent amendments sought by WIAL to remove reference to the Airport Noise Management Plan	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.149	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S15	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the proposed amendments which would not provide sufficient control over noise from airport activities.	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.149	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S15	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.459	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S15	Oppose in part	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter. Furthermore, many of the conditions here have already been achieved by existing development undertaken by WIAL on site and it is therefore unnecessary for those matters to be dealt with here (as well as in the Designation). [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Delete NOISE-S15 (Miramar South Precinct) in its entirety. (Option B).	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.123	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S15	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	Accept	No
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.150	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S15	Oppose	Kāinga Ora seeks retention of NOISE-S15 as notified.	Disallow / Kāinga Ora seeks retention of NOISE-S15 as notified.	Accept	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.150	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S15	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.60	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S15	Not specified	Submitter is 'neutral' on provision. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Not specified.	Accept in part	No
Wellington City Council	266.171	Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP4 Permitted Noise Standards	Amend	Considers it necessary to amend Table 19 of Appendix 4 (Permitted Noise Standards) to increase the permitted noise levels in the Open Space Zone and Sport/Active Recreation Zones so that sports events can occur on Sundays without unnecessary noise restrictions. Considers allowing 55 dB LAeq (15 mins) until 10pm is a pragmatic way to allow for higher daytime and evening noise levels for	Amend Table 19 by replacing it with table provided in full submission. [Refer to original submission, including detailed table attached]	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington City Council	266.172	Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP4 Permitted Noise Standards	Amend	Considers there is a type in Table 19 (no 'd' at the end of receive)	Amend the title of Table 19 in Appendix 4 - Permitted Noise Standards as follows: Noise emitted from activities within the Town Belt Zone, Natural Open Space Zone, Open Space Zone, Sport and Active Recreation Zone as received in other areas (any Residential Zone, Town Belt Zone, Natural Open Space Zone, Open Space Zone, and Sport and Active Recreation Zone) must not exceed the following limits.	Accept in part	No
Claire Nolan, James Fraser, Biddy Bunzl, Margaret Franken, Michelle Wolland, and Lee Muir	275.38	Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP4 Permitted Noise Standards	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer back to original submission]	Retain Appendix 4 Permitted Noise Standards as notified.	Accept in part	No
Steve Dunn	288.13	Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP4 Permitted Noise Standards	Amend	Considers that there is a cumulative effect of increased noise on the local community from emergency aircraft using the rooftop of Wellington Regional Hospital as well as ventilation.	Seeks that noise standards or measures are added to manage noise from emergency aircraft on top of Wellington Regional Hospital	Accept in part	Yes
Steve Dunn	288.14	Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP4 Permitted Noise Standards	Amend	Considers that there is a cumulative effect of increased noise on the local community from emergency aircraft using the rooftop of Wellington Regional Hospital as well as ventilation.	Seeks that ambient noise levels are regulated and enforced [inferred around Wellington Regional Hospital] to give added protection from noise and ensure the local community is not affected.	Accept in part	Yes
Woolworths New Zealand	359.94	Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP4 Permitted Noise Standards	Amend	Considers that APP4 should be amended to provide more clarity on the terminology of zones used. It is assumed that reference to noise emitted from "the Commercial and Mixed-Use Zones" within Table 15 – APP4, Table 16 – APP4, Table 17 – APP4, Table 18 – APP4 is encompassing of all of the Centres Zones (being the City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Local Centre and Neighbourhood Centre Zones) as well as the Commercial Zone and a Mixed-Use Zone. This clarification is sought as the PDP as notified otherwise does not include standards for the Centre Zones and proposes the creation of both a Commercial Zone and a Mixed-Use Zone within the broader umbrella of Commercial and Mixed-Use Zones. It is noted that the use of emergency generators is expressly exempt from compliance however this is limited to generators operated by emergency services or lifeline utilities, or for the continuation of radiocommunication broadcasts. It is considered that the proposed exclusion could be comfortably extended to include emergency use by supermarkets given the essential role they play as community infrastructure in crises, for example making sure there is access	Amend Appendix 4 - Permitted Noise Standards to clarify the terminology of zones used in Tables 15, 16, 17 and 18.	Accept in part	Yes
BP Oil New Zealand, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited (the Fuel Companies)	372.155	Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP4 Permitted Noise Standards	Support	APP4 is supported as it includes noise limits for activities contained within a single zone and separate standards for activities generated in one zone but received in another.	Retain Appendix 4 - Permitted Noise Standards as notified.	Accept in part	No
New Zealand Defence Force	402.211	Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP4 Permitted Noise Standards	Amend	Alterations to Table 20 are required to comply with the methodology in NZS 6809:1999 (Port Noise Standard).	Amend Table 20 in APP4 Permitted Noise Standards as per submission. [Refer to original submission for changes sought to Table 20 in APP4].	Accept in part	Yes
CentrePort Limited	402.212	Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP4 Permitted Noise Standards	Support	Support the intent of this standard subject to the alterations to Table 20 which are required to comply with the methodology in NZS 6809:1999 (Port Noise Standard).	Amend Table 20 in APP4 Permitted Noise Standards as per submission. [Refer to original submission for changes sought to Table 20 in APP4].	Accept in part	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.548	Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP4 Permitted Noise Standards	Oppose	Opposes APP4 Permitted Noise Standards. [See paragraphs 4.70 and 4.74 of original submission for full reason]	Delete Table 21 in APP4 in its entirety.	Accept	Yes
Living Streets Aotearoa	482.60	Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP4 Permitted Noise Standards	Amend	Considers that it is unclear why the plan has two different noise levels for night time in residential receiving environments.	Clarify the LAEQ and LAFmax noise levels for night time in residential zones. [Inferred decision requested].	Reject	No
Living Streets Aotearoa	482.61	Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP4 Permitted Noise Standards	Not specified	Considers that noise levels up to 85 decibels is questionable in a public space. It is important in public spaces, even in industrial zones, to not have dangerous noise levels.	Not specified.	Reject	No
Claire Nolan, James Fraser, Biddy Bunzl, Margaret Franken, Michelle Wolland, and Lee Muir	275.39	Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP5 Fixed Plant Noise Standards	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer back to original submission]	Retain Appendix 5 Fixed Plant Noise Standards as notified.	Accept in part	No
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.7	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Oppose in part	Considers that the defined term 'retirement village' should be used for clarity [Note, the submitter incorrectly references THW-02].	Opposes the definition of NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY and seeks amendment as follows: means any lawfully established: a. residential activity, including activity in visitor accommodation or retirement accommodation villages; b. educational activity; c. health care activity; d. congregation within any place of worship; and activity at a marae.	Reject	No
BP Oil New Zealand, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited (the Fuel Companies)	372.16	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Support	The definition of Noise Sensitive Activity is supported. Service stations frequently generate noise effects, not uncommonly on a 24/7 basis, and are required to comply with permitted noise limits of the district plan or limits otherwise included as conditions in an approved land use consent. These service stations are also frequently located at the edge of centre or commercial zones or in close proximity to residential activities which may be more sensitive to noise generating activities.	Retain the Definition of 'Noise Sensitive Activity' as notified.	Accept in part	No
Ministry of Education	400.8	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Support	Supports the inclusion of educational facilities in the definition of 'noise sensitive activities' as it aims to protect educational facilities.	Retain the definition of [Noise Sensitive] as notified.	Accept in part	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.35	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Oppose in part	Considers that the definition of noise sensitive activity does not adequately capture the range of activities typically considered to be sensitive to aircraft noise.	Opposes the definition of "NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY" and seeks amendment.	Accept in part	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.36	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Amend	Considers that the definition of noise sensitive activity does not adequately capture the range of activities typically considered to be sensitive to aircraft noise.	Amend definition of "NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY" as follows: Noise Sensitive Activity means any lawfully established: a. residential activity, including activity in visitor accommodation or retirement accommodation; b. educational activity; c. health care <u>and hospital activities</u> activity; ...	Accept in part	Yes
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.9	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Amend	Supports the activities listed within this definition subject to amendments to clarify certain activities.	Amend definition of NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY as follows: means any lawfully established: a. residential activity, including activity in visitor accommodation or retirement accommodation, <u>including boarding houses, residential visitor accommodation and papakāinga</u> ; b. educational activity; c. health care activity, <u>including hospitals</u> ; d. congregation within any place of worship; and e. activity at a marae. Or any such alternative relief to ensure that all appropriate noise sensitive activities are covered by this definition.	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.27	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / New definition	Amend	The definition of Air Noise Overlay conflates the two functions of the aircraft noise boundaries that relate to Wellington International Airport. That is: 1. the Air Noise Boundary and 60db Ldn noise boundaries are used as a trigger for specific land use management requirements; and, 2. the Air Noise Boundary is used as a compliance boundary for aircraft noise generated at Wellington International Airport. The chapeau of the definition focuses on the latter. The terms 'Air Noise Boundary' and '60dB Ldn noise boundary' are well established and widely used throughout New Zealand with respect to the aircraft noise and land use management obligations surrounding airports. The term 'Air Noise Boundary' is also consistent with NZS6805, the Operative District Plan and WIAL's communications regarding their 'Quieter Homes' programme.	Add new definition: <u>60db Ldn Noise Boundary means the boundary shown the district plan maps, the location of which is based on predicted day/night sound levels of Ldn 60dB from future airport operations at Wellington International Airport.</u>	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays		Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / New definition	Neutral	There is confusion between the plan and the maps and what is being described as the Air Noise Boundaries Overlay (inner air noise overlay on the maps) and the 60db Ldn Noise Boundary (outer air noise overlay on the maps) in the proposed District Plan.	We seek clarification so everyone knows the relationship to these two zoned areas in the words of the plan and the maps. The definition of Air Noise Overlay therefore needs greater clarification to link with the actual maps and wording on the maps.	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.496	Part 3 General / Part 3 General	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - see original submission]	Seeks an amendment that prohibiting noise sensitive activities within zones where such activities are not generally anticipated (i.e. the general industrial and Open Space Zones) are a prohibited activity.	Reject	No
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.151	Part 3 / Part 3 General / Part 3 General / Part 3 General	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes this decision requested as prohibiting activities is excessive and does not consider options for well-functioning urban environments when there may be opportunities to mitigate effects.	Disallow	Accept	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.151	Part 3 / Part 3 General / Part 3 General / Part 3 General	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.27	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / New definition	Amend	The definition of Air Noise Overlay conflates the two functions of the aircraft noise boundaries that relate to Wellington International Airport. That is: 1. the Air Noise Boundary and 60db Ldn noise boundaries are used as a trigger for specific land use management requirements; and, 2. the Air Noise Boundary is used as a compliance boundary for aircraft noise generated at Wellington International Airport. The chapeau of the definition focuses on the latter. The terms 'Air Noise Boundary' and '60dB Ldn noise boundary' are well established and widely used throughout New Zealand with respect to the aircraft noise and land use management obligations surrounding airports. The term 'Air Noise Boundary' is also consistent with NZS6805, the Operative District Plan and WIAL's communications regarding their 'Quieter Homes' programme.	Add new definition: <u>Air Noise Boundary means the boundary shown on the district plan maps, the location of which is based on predicted day/night sound levels of Ldn 65dB from future airport operations at Wellington International Airport.</u>	Accept in part	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.2	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / New definition	Not specified	Considers that there is confusion between the plan and the maps and what is being described as the Air Noise Boundaries Overlay (inner air noise overlay on the maps) and the 60db Ldn Noise Boundary (outer air noise overlay on the maps) in the proposed District Plan.	Not specified / Seeks clarification so everyone knows the relationship of these two zoned areas in the words of the plan and the maps. The definition of Air Noise Overlay therefore needs greater clarification to link with the actual maps and wording on the maps.	Accept in part	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.111	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / New Definition	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the introduction of the '60db Ldn noise boundary' as an unnecessary and confusing addition which duplicates outer noise overlays. The definitions of inner and outer air noise overlay provide greater clarity and relate more clearly to mapped overlays.	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
Strathmore Park Residents Association Incorporated	FS122.2	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / New definition	Oppose	Considers that as an organisation representing lay public the use of the term Overlays to specify (and map) Airport Noise Zones seems a positive step forward in giving a better understanding to DP provisions. Considers the boundaries & the means to establish them is a prescriptive, and a standards based analysis & decision. Considers the rules which apply to the areas enclosed by boundaries (i.e. Overlays) is that defined by the District. Considers that an example is that the Inner Noise "Overlay" is divided into 3 zones for the purpose of residential noise mitigation and these zones have no reference in NZ Standards.	Not specified / Seeks that references to Airport Noise Overlays when referring to rules and recommendations within the formal Noise Boundaries are maintained.	Accept in part	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.16	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / New definition	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.28	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / New definition	Amend	The definition of Air Noise Overlay conflates the two functions of the aircraft noise boundaries that relate to Wellington International Airport. That is: 1. the Air Noise Boundary and 60db Ldn noise boundaries are used as a trigger for specific land use management requirements; and, 2. the Air Noise Boundary is used as a compliance boundary for aircraft noise generated at Wellington International Airport. The chapeau of the definition focuses on the latter. The terms 'Air Noise Boundary' and '60dB Ldn noise boundary' are well established and widely used throughout New Zealand with respect to the aircraft noise and land use management obligations surrounding airports. The term 'Air Noise Boundary' is also consistent with NZS6805, the Operative District Plan and Submitter's communications regarding their 'Quieter Homes' programme.	Add new definition: <u>60db Ldn Noise Boundary means the boundary shown the district plan maps, the location of which is based on predicted day/night sound levels of Ldn 60dB from future airport operations at Wellington International Airport.</u>	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.3	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / New definition	Not specified	Considers that there is confusion between the plan and the maps and what is being described as the Air Noise Boundaries Overlay (inner air noise overlay on the maps) and the 60db Ldn Noise Boundary (outer air noise overlay on the maps) in the proposed District Plan.	Not specified / Seeks clarification so everyone knows the relationship of these two zoned areas in the words of the plan and the maps. The definition of Air Noise Overlay therefore needs greater clarification to link with the actual maps and wording on the maps.	Accept in part	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.112	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / New Definition	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the introduction of the '60dB Ldn noise boundary' as an unnecessary and confusing addition which duplicates outer noise overlays. The definitions of inner and outer air noise overlay provide greater clarity and relate more clearly to mapped overlays.	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
Strathmore Park Residents Association Incorporated	FS122.3	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / New definition	Oppose	Considers that as an organisation representing lay public the use of the term Overlays to specify (and map) Airport Noise Zones seems a positive step forward in giving a better understanding to DP provisions. Considers the boundaries & the means to establish them is a prescriptive, and a standards based analysis & decision. Considers the rules which apply to the areas enclosed by boundaries (i.e. Overlays) is that defined by the District. Considers that an example is that the Inner Noise "Overlay" is divided into 3 zones for the purpose of residential noise mitigation and these zones have no reference in NZ Standards.	Not specified / Seeks that references to Airport Noise Overlays when referring to rules and recommendations within the formal Noise Boundaries are maintained.	Accept in part	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.17	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / New definition	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.29	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / AIR NOISE OVERLAY	Oppose	The definition of Air Noise Overlay conflates the two functions of the aircraft noise boundaries that relate to Wellington International Airport. That is: 1. the Air Noise Boundary and 60db Ldn noise boundaries are used as a trigger for specific land use management requirements; and, 2. the Air Noise Boundary is used as a compliance boundary for aircraft noise generated at Wellington International Airport.	Delete definition of "AIR NOISE OVERLAY" in its entirety.	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.4	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / AIR NOISE OVERLAY	Not specified	Considers that there is confusion between the plan and the maps and what is being described as the Air Noise Boundaries Overlay (inner air noise overlay on the maps) and the 60db Ldn Noise Boundary (outer air noise overlay on the maps) in the proposed District Plan.	Not specified / Seeks clarification so everyone knows the relationship of these two zoned areas in the words of the plan and the maps. The definition of Air Noise Overlay therefore needs greater clarification to link with the actual maps and wording on the maps.	Accept in part	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.113	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / AIR NOISE OVERLAY	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes deletion of the air noise overlay definition which relates to clearly mapped overlay areas.	Disallow	Accept	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Strathmore Park Residents Association Incorporated	FS122.4	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / AIR NOISE OVERLAY	Oppose	Considers that as an organisation representing lay public the use of the term Overlays to specify (and map) Airport Noise Zones seems a positive step forward in giving a better understanding to DP provisions. Considers the boundaries & the means to establish them is a prescriptive, and a standards based analysis & decision. Considers the rules which apply to the areas enclosed by boundaries (i.e. Overlays) is that defined by the District. Considers that an example is that the Inner Noise "Overlay" is divided into 3 zones for the purpose of residential noise mitigation and these zones have no reference in NZ Standards.	Not specified / Seeks that references to Airport Noise Overlays when referring to rules and recommendations withing the formal Noise Boundaries are maintained.	Accept	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.18	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / AIR NOISE OVERLAY	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Reject	No
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.7	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Oppose in part	Considers that the defined term 'retirement village' should be used for clarity [Note, the submitter incorrectly references THW-02].	Opposes the definition of NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY and seeks amendment as follows: means any lawfully established: a. residential activity, including activity in visitor accommodation or retirement accommodation villages; b. educational activity; c. health care activity; d. congregation within any place of worship; and activity at a marae.	Reject	No
BP Oil New Zealand, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited (the Fuel Companies)	372.16	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Support	The definition of Noise Sensitive Activity is supported. Service stations frequently generate noise effects, not uncommonly on a 24/7 basis, and are required to comply with permitted noise limits of the district plan or limits otherwise included as conditions in an approved land use consent. These service stations are also frequently located at the edge of centre or commercial zones or in close proximity to residential activities which may be more sensitive to noise generating activities.	Retain the Definition of 'Noise Sensitive Activity' as notified.	Accept in part	No
Ministry of Education	400.8	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Support	Supports the inclusion of educational facilities in the definition of 'noise sensitive activities' as it aims to protect educational facilities.	Retain the definition of [Noise Sensitive] as notified.	Accept in part	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.35	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Oppose in part	Considers that the definition of noise sensitive activity does not adequately capture the range of activities typically considered to be sensitive to aircraft noise.	Opposes the definition of "NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY" and seeks amendment.	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.10	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Support	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated agrees with the addition of noise sensitive activities of hospitals.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.24	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.36	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Amend	Considers that the definition of noise sensitive activity does not adequately capture the range of activities typically considered to be sensitive to aircraft noise. n	Amend definition of "NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY" as follows: Noise Sensitive Activity means any lawfully established: a. residential activity, including activity in visitor accommodation ; b. educational activity; c. health care and hospital activities activity; ...	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.11	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Support	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated agrees with the addition of noise sensitive activities of hospitals.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes

Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.25	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.9	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Amend	Supports the activities listed within this definition subject to amendments to clarify certain activities.	Amend definition of NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY as follows: means any lawfully established: a. residential activity, including activity in visitor accommodation or retirement accommodation, including boarding houses, residential visitor accommodation and papakāinga; b. educational activity; c. health care activity, including hospitals ; d. congregation within any place of worship; and e. activity at a marae. Or any such alternative relief to ensure that all appropriate noise sensitive activities are covered by this definition.	Accept in part	Yes
CentrePort Limited	402.23	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / PORT NOISE OVERLAY	Support in part	Support 'Port Noise Overlay' in part. Port Noise at Miramar and Burnham Wharves is subject to the Port Noise Management Plan required under the Proposed Natural Resources Plan. This definition does not include reference to Port Noise controls adjoining this facility. Without it the PNRP noise rule (requiring compliance with respect to the noise control line) cannot work. The location of the Port Noise Control Line at Burnham, if reinstated, should be determined on the basis of updated	Retain definition of 'Port Noise Overlay', with amendment.	Accept	Yes
CentrePort Limited	402.24	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / PORT NOISE OVERLAY	Amend	Port Noise at Miramar and Burnham Wharves is subject to the Port Noise Management Plan required under the Proposed Natural Resources Plan. This definition does not include reference to Port Noise controls adjoining this facility. Without it the PNRP noise rule (requiring compliance with respect to the noise control line) cannot work. The location of the Port Noise Control Line at Burnham, if reinstated, should be determined on the basis of updated noise modelling which CentrePort currently has underway.	Seeks that the 'Port Noise Overlay' definition is amended to: - Include Port Noise Control Line at Miramar/Burnham Wharf. - Provide note that Port Noise matters for land adjoining Miramar and Burnham Wharves is subject to the provisions in the Proposed Natural Resources Plan.	Accept	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.47	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / WELLINGTON AIR NOISE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE (WANMC)	Oppose	Considers that it is not necessary or appropriate to duplicate the aircraft noise management obligations imposed on WIAL as the requiring authority for WIAL4 in the Operative and Proposed Plan. Furthermore, "NMP" nor "WANMC" are terms used in the designation, therefore it is not clear when this committee would be required.	Delete definition of WELLINGTON AIR NOISE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE (WANMC) in its entirety.	Accept	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.22	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / WELLINGTON AIR NOISE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE (WANMC)	Not specified	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated is neutral in the Delete definition of WELLINGTON AIR NOISE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE (WANMC) but they do note that other community committees in other designations do not appear to be listed in the definitions of the plan.	Not specified	Reject	No
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.116	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / WELLINGTON AIR NOISE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE (WANMC)	Oppose	Kāinga Ora seeks the retention of the definition to provide clarity to Plan users.	Disallow / Seeks the retention of the definition.	Reject	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.34	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / WELLINGTON AIR NOISE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE (WANMC)	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept	No
Waka Kotahi	370.6	Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP	Not specified	Considers that adding a note to zones which provide for noise sensitive activities to draw applicants' attention to the reverse sensitivity provisions would be beneficial for aiding public interpretation on the planning provisions that apply. [Applicant was 'neutral' on the provisions].	Add a note in all Zone chapters that provide for noise sensitive activities: <u>Note: As well as provisions in the zone new buildings or alterations to existing buildings for noise sensitive activities are required to comply with the provisions in the NOISE chapter, which include sound insulation as a requirement in certain areas or limiting the establishment of noise sensitive activities in some cases.</u>	Reject	No

Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.17	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Supports the mapping of ANB and 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary. Considers that this boundary identifies an area within which specific land use controls apply, and identifies the point of compliance with respect to aircraft noise, as defined by WIAL's Main Site and East Side Area Designations.	Retain Air Noise Boundary as notified.	Accept in part	No
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.110	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Oppose	Kāinga Ora considers this should be renamed as 'inner air noise overlay'.	Disallow	Reject	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP resulting from submission?
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.13	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept in part	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.18	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Considers that this boundary identifies an area within which specific land use controls apply, and identifies the point of compliance with respect to aircraft noise, as defined by WIAL's Main Site and East Side Area Designations.	Retain 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary as notified.	Accept in part	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.14	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Accept in part	No
Save Our Venues	445.3	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers that a further solution to the issue of low acoustic insulation standards in new residential developments in the immediate vicinity of existing live music venues, could include the rezoning of existing music venues into Special Entertainment Precincts. This will allow for a higher level of sound output. For the purposes of immediate protection, these overlays could be directly applied to existing music venues but the development of broader zoning classifications that incorporate the sound of live music into city planning could make the development of new music venues in the future more achievable.	Seeks that the WCC consider creating a Special Entertainment Precinct Zone to protect existing and new music venues, and amend the mapping accordingly.	Accept in part	Yes
Save Our Venues	445.4	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers that standards (which provides for entertainment venues and associated noise) can already be seen in the High Noise Area zoned for Courtney Place and could extend further protections to the venues such as Meow, San Fran and Valhalla which are currently located in the Central Area Zone with higher restrictions on noise output standards.	Seeks extension of the Courtenay Place Noise Area to include Cuba Street venues. [Inferred decision requested]	Accept in part	Yes
Waka Kotahi	370.5	Other / Other / Other	Amend	Considers that The operative district plan does not contain provisions to manage noise and vibration effects to new noise sensitive activities established alongside state highway, where there is intensification of noise sensitive activities proposed which has immediate legal effect (such as in HRZ and MRZ zones) the related provisions in the NOISE chapter to manage the effects should also have immediate legal effect (e.g. rules in Noise-R3). Is concerned about the risk of intensification occurring alongside state highways which is not designed to appropriately mitigate noise and vibration effects in the	Seek that Noise R3 rules have immediate legal effect [Inferred decision requested].	Accept in part	Yes
Save Our Venues	445.1	Other / Other / Other	Oppose	Considers that there are significant issues with current Noise Control enforcement processes. Considers that the current methodology of measuring sound is subjective and places the onus on the complainant. The response from noise control officers is then exclusively a punitive process. This can create a huge scope for loss of income and confidence in the venue. A model where measuring the sound at the boundary of the property from where the sound is emanating, as well as inside the complainant's property, would enable Council to ensure that both the venue and the residential property are compliant with noise standards and acoustic mitigation standards respectively. This will allow for mediation between both parties and ensure reasonable steps can be taken to mitigate noise before the venue is restricted in its ability to operate at all. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Seeks that the WCC reforms its Noise Control enforcement processes, equipping officers with decibel meters and requiring that an objective measurement demonstrating non-compliance be recorded before an infringement notice can be issued or enforcement action taken.	Reject	No
Save Our Venues	445.2	Other / Other / Other	Oppose	Considers that there should also be the consideration that the sound of live music is inherently a different frequency to the sound of amplified recorded music or the normal environmental sounds of living in the city and should be measured to a standard that takes that into account. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Seeks that there should be separate consideration that the sound of live music, due to its frequency, [Inferred decision requested]	Reject	No

